Following the end of the Thirty Years’ War, the continent of Europe did not fall into a settled peace, and one of the largest and longest-lasting conflicts was the series of military and political struggles now known as the Northern Wars. The rotating cast included Sweden, Denmark, the Cossacks, the Polish-Lithuanian Commonwealth, Russia, Prussia, and, for one year, György II Rákóczi, Prince of Transylvania. Though Rákóczi entered the war in January 1657 with the dream of a Transylvanian wearing the crown of Poland, by December he was fleeing from the Tartars to a diet that awaited his resignation. The year 1658 only saw further decline for Transylvania, as Ottoman forces marched through the principality, reasserting their control.

The stories of Transylvania during this two-year period offer an interesting example of many of the topics that appear in seventeenth-century news. A country which invades its neighbour is then subject to an invasion itself; a prince claims authority in a foreign land and then has his own power taken from him in his home country; Calvinists fight Catholics, then Tartars and Turks; and all the while Transylvania is rarely the author of its own news, but rather the subject of reporting by regions which are often less than friendly. This dynamic affects both how news is covered, and even what news is considered worthy of attention.

The news of Transylvania came not only via the nearest news centre in Vienna with ties to Hungary, but also through the Polish-Prussian system in the north, with each system concentrating on those events which most affected the reporting region, rather than demonstrating any more general interest in Transylvania. As a result, the two waves of events – the invasion of Poland by Rákóczi in 1657 and the invasion of Transylvania by the Ottomans in 1658 – are told from different perspectives. This paper will first explore these two reporting systems, defining which cities report news of Transylvania, and further, which locations are cited as a source of the information conveyed. Afterwards, the subjects of politics, religion, and violence will be examined, and distinctions drawn about the selection of events covered and patterns in language usage for each reporting location. From the Prussia-Poland system comes news of Rákóczi’s march, littered with words describing acts of violence, prayers to
God for protection, and accompanied by promises to preserve the rights of the population. From the Vienna-Hungary system comes news of the struggle for authority within the principality, conveyed with fewer words of violence, but more references to the participants' religious affiliation and the need to preserve Christendom. The reporting location of news of Transylvania determines not only what political news is related, but also how religion is incorporated into the story, and how frequently violent words are employed.

Systems of Reporting

The principality of Transylvania and its leaders are the subjects of 577 different news reports during the years 1657–1658, but only rarely do these reports come from the region itself. Rather, news of Transylvania is reported chiefly by two different reporting systems. These systems are defined here by the locations cited both in the reports’ datelines and any references made to a source of news within the text. (Map 3.1).

The most frequent reporting location is the city of Vienna, which offers 178 news reports, or 31% of the total number of reports. While no other single city generates anywhere near that number, if neighbouring cities are considered together as a reporting region rather than individually, the numbers of reports in each grouping are more equal (see Table 3.1). With this reorganization, the cities of Polish Prussia – including Elbing (50), Thorn (48), Marienburg (33), and Danzig (23) – rival the contribution of Vienna. Furthermore, the reports from the Habsburg Hereditary Lands outside of Vienna – including Silesia (31), Prague (27), and Breslau (23) – have a much more significant presence. The Polish-Lithuanian Commonwealth, the German lands, and the allied Swedish and Brandenburg Baltic territories (including Königsberg (17)), are roughly comparable, while the combined region of Hungary and Transylvania is nearly equal to that of the cities linked by the Mediterranean Sea.

---

1 This is not to deny the systems’ infrastructural basis, but rather to note that this aspect will not be a subject of this paper. For more on the postal system, which is of obvious importance to the communication of news, see Wolfgang Behringer, *Im Zeichen des Merkur: Reichspost und Kommunikationsrevolution in der Frühen Zezeit* (Göttingen: Vanerhoeck & Ruprecht, 2003).

2 A full list of the extant newspapers in the German language, their publication information, and the surviving issues from 1657–1658 is given at the end of the chapter (see p. 75).

3 In order to preserve continuity with the German-language newspapers, the names of all cities are given in German.

4 For the purposes of brevity and clarity, this paper will concentrate on the news from the major reporting centers of Vienna, Prussia, and the Hereditary Lands, as well as those
These reports generally break down into three story subjects: those which relate to Rákóczi's invasion of Poland and its consequences; those which focus on the conflicts and negotiations between the Transylvanian princes and the Ottoman Porte; and the reactions within Hungary to these events. By looking at reporting region and story subject, several patterns are immediately apparent. The neighbouring regions of the Polish-Lithuanian Commonwealth, Prussia (both Polish and Brandenburg-Swedish), and the Hereditary Lands, are more likely to report on Rákóczi's involvement in Poland. The Ottomans, however, are more frequently the subject of news reports from Vienna, the Mediterranean, and the reporting region of Hungary-Transylvania.

From these reporting locations, references within the news text itself can give a more subtle understanding of how news of Transylvania is circulated.

---

5 Because each report can contain news on more than one subject, on a few occasions reports contain more than one type of story. Thus, there are more stories than reports.

locations giving reports of local occurrences, Hungary and Transylvania, and Poland-Lithuania.
These in-text references to the source of the news may only be an allusion to a report received or rumour heard, but occasionally news is cited as coming from a specific location, brought by an official messenger or envoy, through travellers and merchants, or via manuscript letters. By adding these details to our reporting locations, a more nuanced web of news sharing emerges.

The first major reporting system is centred on the city of Vienna. During this period, the reports from Vienna frequently note that information on Transylvania comes from either Hungary (45), a land under the Imperial crown, or Transylvania itself (23). Additionally, there are occasional reports from the other regions participating in the conflicts: Poland (5) and the Ottoman Empire (6). The references made to news from Hungary rarely designate a specific medium through which the news is transmitted, simply noting that a report comes from Hungary, while news from the other regions often travels via a designated messenger or envoy, such as a Polish emissary or a Turkish chiaus.6

---

The second major reporting system is based around the reporting centres of the Baltic, and Polish and Brandenburg-Swedish Prussia. A large number of reports from Polish Prussia originate in the cities and villages of the Polish-Lithuanian Commonwealth (20), as well as occasional reports from representatives of the King of Sweden (5) and the Prince of Transylvania (4) as they march through the country. This news is brought by a variety of individuals, primarily connected to the military, including both Swedish officers and Polish cavalry captains.7 News also frequently circulates within the region itself (16), with Thorn in particular being a source commonly cited in-text (12). Similarly, the reports from Brandenburg-Swedish Prussia also cite news as coming primarily from the region of conflict, particularly via military sources. News is attributed to communications from Poland-Lithuania (3), the Scandinavian armies (3), Transylvania (1), and Hungary (1), as well as Polish Prussia (2), the Hereditary Lands (2), and Vienna (1).

These two reporting systems are not discrete entities and the reporting region of the Hereditary Lands offers a point of intersection. This region includes the lands of Silesia, Bohemia, and Moravia, all of which were ruled by the Habsburg emperor under the title King of Bohemia. However, the region of Silesia in particular also had strong economic and cultural ties with its neighbor, Poland-Lithuania. Consequently, the reports from this region contain a large number of references to news from Poland-Lithuania (17), as well as some references to sources from Vienna (4), the German Lands (3), and the armies of Sweden and Denmark (3), among others. This news is brought by civilian travellers and merchants in addition to the military personnel: “some merchants from Russe and Zamość,” “a good friend from Tarnowitz,” “a colonel coming from Poland,” “several Scots and passengers from Lemberg,” and “an engineer from the Royal Hungarian camps.”8

News of Transylvania rarely comes from the principality itself, rather information travels from outside sources via two reporting systems. The first is news printed in newspapers directly from Vienna, commonly citing Hungary or

---

envoys as the source of information. The second is a broader spreading of news from the Polish-Lithuanian Commonwealth, either directly to the newspapers, or through Prussia and the Hereditary Lands. Polish Prussia, in particular, then circulates news within the region, with the cities of Thorn, Danzig, and Marienburg acting as both reporters of news and as sources cited within the news reports. Each reporting system, Vienna-Hungary and Prussia-Poland, report the news of Transylvania that is of greatest interest to their own regional concerns. From Prussia-Poland comes, almost exclusively, news of the Rákóczi's Polish invasion. From Vienna-Hungary comes a greater concentration of news reports concerning the Ottoman advance and the domestic issues of Hungary.

Political News

Over the course of only two years Rákóczi falls from being a prince with aspirations to the throne of Poland, to having his own title revoked by his diet and two different men elected in his place. While the story can now be told as a cohesive narrative, in the newspapers of the time each turn of events was related from a different perspective. Poland, Prussia, and the Hereditary Lands related occurrences within Poland; while Vienna, Hungary, and Transylvania concentrated on political events within the principality itself, particularly as they related to the Ottomans. The concerns of each reporting region, rather than any overarching interest in the affairs of the principality, dictate what is communicated about Transylvania and printed in the newspapers.

The story of the Polish invasion that led to Rákóczi’s eventual political downfall begins with the signing of the Treaty of Radnot with King Charles X Gustav of Sweden on 6 December 1656. With this alliance, Rákóczi promised Sweden military support, and Sweden offered Rákóczi the crown of Poland-Lithuania, as long as the current king of Poland, John II Casimir Vasa, did not successfully return from his exile in the duchy of Opole-Racibórz in Upper Silesia to reclaim it. Soon after, Rákóczi also formed an alliance with the Zaporozhian Cossacks who had been rebelling against Casimir since 1648. Both of these events were recounted in reports from nearly every reporting region, with particular attention paid by the cities of Brandenburg and Swedish

9 Thorn is cited 14 times in other news stories from within Polish Prussia, Danzig is cited 5 times, and Marienburg, twice.
Prussia, as well as a detailed account of the terms of the Treaty of Radnot from the city of Thorn.¹¹

Once the Transylvanian army crossed into the Polish-Lithuanian Commonwealth, news from Poland became of central importance. News of the entrance of Rákóczi into the cities of Lemberg, Krakow, and Brest came from the cities themselves or those nearby, and recounted the promise that the prince came “as a friend, and not as an enemy” and would protect noble rights.¹² Reports from Polish Prussia also contain promises from Rákóczi that his reign as king would be as blessed as that of István Báthory.¹³

Though Rákóczi’s march was initially successful, his luck changed in the summer of 1657 when Charles turned the Swedish troops north to confront the Danish army which had recently declared an alliance with Casimir. Rákóczi, left without his strongest ally and facing a population which did not support his claim to the throne, signed a humbling peace treaty and returned to Transylvania. This disappointing outcome of Rákóczi’s attempt to claim the crown of Poland received attention, not only from the cities of Prussia and Poland-Lithuania, but also from the Hereditary Lands, albeit in less detailed reports.¹⁴ After the peace is signed and Rákóczi returns to Transylvania, the cities of Poland and Prussia largely stop reporting on political events in

---


Transylvania. Their interest was primarily regional, and once the prince marches out of their country he is no longer a great concern, even with the political upheaval that is about to take place across the border.

The next wave of events is largely reported from Hungary, Vienna, and Transylvania, and had actually begun while Rákóczi was still in Poland. The prince’s march into a foreign country had upset the Ottoman Porte, to which Transylvania had owed suzerainty since the sixteenth century. Though the principality had been largely autonomous in internal matters, Rákóczi’s military action had not been approved by the sultan, and the Ottomans now wanted the prince dismissed from his position. The Porte sent the Crimean Tartars into Poland to intercept Rákóczi’s army, and the prince’s attempts to avoid confronting them were unsuccessful. When the Transylvanians and the Tartars met, Rákóczi abandoned his troops and quickly fled to Transylvania.

When Rákóczi returned, he found the diet in Weißenburg (present day Alba Iulia, Romania; Gyulafehérvár in Hungarian) had received orders from the Ottoman Grand Vizier Mehmed Köprülü to immediately revoke his title of Prince of Transylvania and to elect Ferenc Rhédey in his stead. Though Rákóczi initially accepted his dismissal, he soon changed his mind and attempted to retake his position. In response, the Ottomans sent troops into the principality to reassert their authority. In the autumn of 1658, Ákos Barcsai approached Köprülü with promises of loyalty, and, in return, became the third prince of Transylvania in a year, a position confirmed by the diet in October. The most detailed descriptions of these events came in reports from Hungary and Transylvania, but the meetings of the diets were also of particular importance to Vienna. The Holy Roman and Ottoman Empires had fought a series of wars in the sixteenth century, and, with the Ottoman army once again marching near the Hungarian borders, Vienna is inspired to pay increased attention to Transylvanian political affairs. Transylvania is in a precarious position,
balanced between these two great empires, and if it becomes politically unstable or if the Ottomans seize a firmer control of both the government and the fortresses along the borders, Vienna fears for its own safety and for that of all Christendom.

Allusions to Religion

The different reporting systems not only affect the primary subject of the news story – i.e. whether the news is of a meeting of the Hungarian Diet or justification for claiming the crown of Poland-Lithuania – but also its corollary subjects. In these reports on Transylvania, religion is rarely the primary focus of a story; rather, it appears through the use of words that are religious in association, within the wider context of narratives of political or military events. These words largely fall into four categories: affiliation (e.g. Christian, Catholic, Reformed), God, the Church, and words with more general religious connotations. These latter two categories are used fairly equally by the two major reporting systems: both Vienna-Hungary and Prussia-Poland reference the people, places, and days associated with the organized Church (e.g. references to priests, churches, Pentecost), and use language which carries general religious associations (e.g. soul, divine, blessed). However, Prussia-Poland is much more likely to offer prayers or supplications to God within the reports of the Transylvanian army, while Vienna-Hungary identifies people, armies, and lands as ‘Christian’ in the face of the Ottoman invasion (see Fig. 3.1).

References to the people, buildings, and days associated with the Church largely appear in the stories of Rákóczi’s invasion of Poland and are included in reports from both the Prussia-Poland and Vienna-Hungary reporting systems. From Prussia-Poland, allusions to the Church include remarks on the King of Sweden’s day of repentance, the cloister of Częstochowa, and the Bishop of Lemberg, as well as more general references to priests and noblemen. From Vienna, there are three references to the upcoming Pentecost telling of Rákóczi’s imminent departure from Transylvania to begin his


march into Poland, and a Hungarian bishop recently sent by the Emperor as an envoy to Rákóczi. Additionally, in the news from Poland the words göttlich (Godly), segnen (bless), and Oration appear, and from Elbing, glückselig (blessed).

The most remarkable aspect of the news from Prussia-Poland in this regard is the repeated prayers made as Rákóczi leads his Transylvanian and Cossack troops northward in Poland. Of the 25 references to religion in the reports from this reporting system, half are uses of the word ‘God’: “Praise God!,” “Thank God,” “God grant that which is good,” “God help further,” and more are all exclaimed in the reports from Poland. Of these, six come from the Polish-Lithuanian Commonwealth, five from Polish Prussia, and one from Brandenburg Prussia. This use of prayer to or praise of God from cities facing

Figure 3.1 Number and types of religious references from each reporting system
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marching armies reflects an urgent need, a sense of hope or desperation, and gives a sense of immediacy to the events being reported.

Though reporting on the military invasion of a Catholic country by a Reformed prince, there are very few references to religious affiliation within the stories of Prussia-Poland. Furthermore, only one of the references made to affiliation is in the context of Rákóczi’s advance: the aforementioned promise to repeat the blessed reign of Báthory (see page 64) and Rákóczi’s promise to accommodate the Polish customs and assume their religion.\textsuperscript{22} Whether Rákóczi would have fulfilled his bold declaration to embrace the Catholicism of both Poland and Báthory is unknown given his eventual defeat, but it is remarkable that concern for the denominational difference between invader and invaded is only mentioned on one occasion in the 351 reports of the Transylvanian march.

The other reference to religious affiliation from Prussia-Poland comes in the context of another prince of Transylvania making claims for authority, but this time concerning the struggles in the principality. Both a report from Prussia and a report from Vienna describe Rhédey as “a lord of good understanding, the Reformed religion, and middle age” on the occasion of his election to the title of Prince of Transylvania.\textsuperscript{23} Barcsai is similarly described in a report from Vienna when he becomes the third named Prince of Transylvania in a year.\textsuperscript{24} In the context of the election of the prince of Transylvania, being of the Reformed religion is recognized as merely a common characteristic and is not presented as an association necessitating unease.

By far the most frequently appearing denotation of religious affiliation is that used by Vienna-Hungary as the Ottomans march into Transylvania: ‘Christian’. Of the 27 uses of religious language in the stories from Vienna, Hungary, and Transylvania, 11 are of the words Christen (Christians), Christenheit (Christianity or Christendom), or Christlich (Christian). All five of the references in stories from Hungary and Transylvania, and half of those in the stories from Vienna, use these identifiers: for example, “many captured Christians and Hungarians killed,” “the Christians on the borders,” or “a great number of


\textsuperscript{24} “Auß Wien/vom 20. 30. Weinm.” NZR, Zurich 1658, no. 44.
Christians kidnapped by the Barbarian people."25 This usage clearly underscores the unchristianess of the Ottoman Turks, and in the case of reports from Vienna, gives an excuse for sympathy with a country that is more commonly the enemy than the ally. This is further supported by the use of Seelen (souls) to describe the Hungarian and Transylvanian people killed or captured by the Turks.26 While in the case of Rákóczi’s invasion of Poland there is apparently little need to highlight the religious differences of a Reformed prince in a Catholic country, when the Muslim Turks marched into region that acted as a buffer to Christendom, Christianity becomes a unifying characteristic.

Despite the apparent concern from Vienna, Hungary, and Transylvania for the fate of Christendom as the Ottomans advance northwards, their stories contain only one reference to God: “God see to Christendom.”27 The inclusion of prayers within the news stories of 1657–1658 is a habit resting only with the cities of Poland and Prussia. While the two reporting systems may both include words with religious connotations and references to the Church, religious language is not uniform throughout the news of Transylvania. Reports from Vienna-Hungary are considerably more likely to invoke the differences in religious affiliation between the Ottoman army and the Transylvanian principal-ity, while Prussia-Poland communicates its apprehension through prayers made for protection and thanksgiving.

Language of Violence

The above analysis of religious language largely ignores the reporting region of the Hereditary Lands as the 91 reports from this region include only two references to religion: the twice-appearing phrase that “much evil has proceeded against the clerics and nobles.”28 In neither of the stories of Rákóczi’s march

into Poland, nor the Ottoman advance into Transylvania, are religious affiliations referenced or prayers to God proclaimed. What does mark the stories from the Hereditary Lands is language describing violent acts. It is not the frequency of this usage that is remarkable – these words are no more or less common in the Hereditary reports than in the reports from the two main reporting systems – but their subject area. The violence in these stories appears primarily in reports from Breslau and Silesia and almost exclusively describes the events in Poland. The economic and cultural ties of the region, rather than the political concerns of the Habsburg Emperor, affect which war gains the most attention in reports from these lands.

The language of violence, as considered in this chapter, includes direct descriptions of violent acts or common modifiers. These words detail the willful death of another individual, the destruction of property, and the stealing of goods: all actions that are perpetuated by individuals and groups. Not included in this consideration are those words that communicate acts which take place between armies, such as ‘invade’, ‘attack’, or ‘besiege’. The sixty words designated as ‘violence words’ are divided here into four categories based on frequency of usage (see Table 3.2).  

**Table 3.2**  Vocabulary describing violence in the news stories of Rákóczi, organized by frequency of usage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A (10+)</th>
<th>B (5-9)</th>
<th>C (2-4)</th>
<th>D (1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Schaden,</em> damage (23)</td>
<td>niederhauen, to hew-down (9)</td>
<td>plündern, to plunder (4)</td>
<td>abschneiden, to amputate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gewalt,</em> violence (20)</td>
<td>schießen, to shoot (9)</td>
<td>beängstigen, to frighten (3)</td>
<td>entführen, to kidnap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>niedermachen,</em> to massacre (20)</td>
<td><em>Beute,</em> booty (8)</td>
<td><em>Blut,</em> blood (3)</td>
<td><em>enthaupten,</em> to behead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>brennen,</em> to burn (16)</td>
<td><em>Furcht,</em> dread (8)</td>
<td><em>erlegen,</em> to slay (3)</td>
<td><em>erstechen,</em> to dagger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ruin,</em> ruin (15)</td>
<td><em>Bedrohung,</em> threat (7)</td>
<td>sprengen, to burst (3)</td>
<td><em>Furie,</em> fury</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

29 The selection and classification of words in this list are based on the author’s own analysis of the text. Violence words with the same root appear together, e.g. *Raub* (robbery) and *rauben* (to rob) are both counted under the word *rauben.*
Both of the reporting systems that communicate news of Transylvania use words from each frequency category, but with a variation in usage pattern depending on whether the report comes from a reporting centre or a region that focuses on local news. Words from Category A account for over half of both the 86 uses of this vocabulary of violence from Vienna and the 52 uses in the reports from Prussia (see Fig. 3.2). Meanwhile, the more local branches of each reporting system – Hungary, Transylvania, and Poland – offer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A (10+)</th>
<th>B (5-9)</th>
<th>C (2-4)</th>
<th>D (1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>verfolgen,</strong> to chase (14)</td>
<td><strong>Tod,</strong> dead (7)</td>
<td><strong>umbringen,</strong> to kill (3)</td>
<td><strong>Lunte,</strong> fuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>übel,</strong> evil (12);</td>
<td><strong>ernst,</strong> severe (5)</td>
<td><strong>anzünden,</strong> to ignite (2)</td>
<td><strong>morden,</strong> to murder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Feuer,</strong> fire (9);</td>
<td><strong>jagen,</strong> to chase (5)</td>
<td><strong>erbärmlich,</strong> pitiful (2)</td>
<td><strong>Pest,</strong> plague</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gefahr,</strong> peril (10)</td>
<td><strong>schlecht,</strong> bad (6)</td>
<td><strong>grausam,</strong> horrible (2)</td>
<td><strong>reißen,</strong> to tear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>verwunden,</strong> to wound (6)</td>
<td><strong>hauen,</strong> to hew (2)</td>
<td><strong>Sklaven,</strong> slave</td>
<td><strong>kläglich,</strong> wretched (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Asche,</strong> ashes (5)</td>
<td><strong>ersauften,</strong> to drown (5)</td>
<td><strong>sengen,</strong> to scorch (2)</td>
<td><strong>spolieren,</strong> to spoil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>rauben,</strong> to rob (5)</td>
<td><strong>rauben,</strong> to rob (5)</td>
<td><strong>strangulieren,</strong> to strangle (2)</td>
<td><strong>stürzen,</strong> to plummet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Schrecken,</strong> terror (5)</td>
<td><strong>Tyrannei,</strong> tyranny (2)</td>
<td><strong>verbitteren,</strong> to deviate (2)</td>
<td><strong>umkommen,</strong> to kill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>schwer,</strong> difficult (5)</td>
<td><strong>Scherben,</strong> to devastate (2)</td>
<td><strong>Thron,</strong> throne (2)</td>
<td><strong>Verräterei,</strong> treacherousness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Schwert,</strong> sword (5)</td>
<td><strong>verwüsten,</strong> to devastate (2)</td>
<td><strong>Vollbracht,</strong> accomplishment (2)</td>
<td><strong>wüten,</strong> to rampage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>trennen,</strong> to sever (5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>zertreten,</strong> to trample</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
slightly more varied vocabulary. Of the 41 violence words which appear in the reports of Hungary and Transylvania, and of the 52 violence words which appear in the reports of Poland, less than 40% come from Category A and over 10% are from Category D. Reports from the Hereditary Lands most resemble the news centres in the frequency distribution of violent vocabulary, with 46% of the 54 word uses coming from Category A and only 2% coming from Category D.

What is remarkable about the descriptions of violence from the Hereditary Lands is that they occur almost exclusively in the stories about Rákóczi’s invasion of Poland. Even though the cities of the Hereditary Lands contribute 21 reports on the events of the Ottoman actions in Transylvania, these stories only contain one occurrence of a single violence word: *Ruin*. The reports of Rákóczi’s march into Poland, on the other hand, contain 55 instances of violence words. Both of the major reporting systems, not unexpectedly, contain more references to the violence of local conflicts. From Prussia-Poland the stories of the Rákóczi’s invasion contain 124 instances of violence words, while those of the Ottoman invasion only contain 4. Likewise, the Vienna-Hungary system uses 112 violence words on the military action within Transylvania and only 14 on the Transylvanian aggression in Poland.

However, despite the fact that news of the war in Poland and the news of the war in Transylvania are largely reported from different regions, there is a certain consistency in the language used to describe each conflict’s violence. The words from Category A, the most frequently used violence words in these news stories, primarily describe actions such as burning, massacring, and chasing, as well as some of the most fundamental consequences of these actions: ‘damage’, ‘violence’, ‘ruin’, ‘fire’, and ‘peril’. These words appear nearly equally in the
stories of both the military actions in Poland and the invasion of the Ottomans (see Fig. 3.3). However, the words from Category B are not as equally represented in both stories. These words are used a total of 31 times in the stories from Vienna-Hungary and 42 times in the stories from Prussia-Poland, but this scale is tipped even further when the 23 Category B words from the Hereditary Lands are added. As a consequence, the words from Category B are much more likely to appear in the stories of Poland (see Fig. 3.4), and include words which give more nuance to a narrative. Words for bodily harm include those where a specific weapon or means is implied, as in to ‘shoot’, ‘drown’, and ‘sever’, as well as adjectives describing the seriousness of injury – ‘bad’, ‘difficult’, ‘severe’ – and words which indicate a personal, emotional response, such as ‘dread’, ‘threat’, and ‘terror’.

Each reporting system communicates news of the violence of the most immediate importance to the reporting location: Prussia-Poland tells of the actions of the Transylvanian army in Poland, while Vienna-Hungary details the events which come as a consequence of the Ottoman invasion. This is also true for the news coming from the Hereditary Lands. Though the Hereditary Lands are strongly connected to the city of Vienna – the lands are ruled by the Habsburg Emperor – and though nearly a quarter of their total news reports pertain to events between the Transylvanians and the Ottomans, this is not the news that is seemingly of the most importance to this region. Rather, when this region gives details beyond the basic actions of war, when it conveys dread or severity, or details death by drowning, the news related is of the conflict in
Poland, much nearer geographically and of more immediate concern than any Imperial worries about the Turks.

**Conclusion**

The news of Transylvania that is communicated in the German language newspapers of 1657–1658, is largely determined by the interests of the reporting systems of Vienna-Hungary and Prussia-Poland. While there are a handful of stories from the principality itself, primarily reporting on actions within the Transylvanian diet, this number is overwhelmed by that of news reports coming from foreign locations, each concentrating on their own local interests. In the reports from Prussia-Poland, accounts are centred on Rákóczi’s march into Poland-Lithuania to meet the Swedish army and take the throne. The urgency of this action is underscored by the repeated prayers to God within the text of the reports, as well the language of violence employed, not to communicate the movements of the army, but to describe the killing, plundering, burning, fear, and destruction that results. While the religious language is not continued in
the reports from the Hereditary Lands, the images of violence are. Though this region has political ties through the Imperial crown to both Vienna and Hungary, its news of Transylvania comes chiefly through Silesia and Breslau, and the cultural and economic ties of the region inspire not only a great deal of communication with Poland-Lithuania, but also a greater apparent interest in the violence of the war in Poland. The reporting system of Vienna-Hungary, on the other hand, reports more frequently on the events within the principality, especially as the government becomes increasingly unstable and the Ottomans march on Transylvania. The violence of these events is still expressed, but rather than supplementing the news with prayers for peace and protection, the reports from Vienna-Hungary call attention to the religious affiliation of the advancing army, emphasizing the fear that if the Ottomans are successful in their attack and Transylvania falls more directly under Turkish control, there will be dire consequences not only for its own city and lands, but for all of Christendom.

Appendix

Extant Issues of German Language Newspapers from 1657–1658

Titles given are those which act as headings in the above work, with subheadings appearing for the names carried by the newspapers from 1657–1658. When names of the printers are given, they are those who held the position from 1657–1658. Abbreviations designated by the author.


- *Continuation Deß jüngst zwischen beyde Königliche Schwedische vnd Polnische Armeen zugetragen Kriegs-verlauffs.*
  - 1657: 17, 32
- *Continuation Deß jüngst zwischen beyden König. Schwedischen/Polnischen vnd Dähnenmarckischen Armeen zugetragen Kriegsverlauffs.*
- *Absonderliche Relation*
  - 1658: 59
Dingstags (Freytags) Particular. Danzig: David Friedrich Rhete
P:Di  Dingstags (Dinstags, Dienstags) PARTICULAR
1657: 45, 46, 48, 50
1658: 2, 24, 31
P:Fr  Freytags PARTICULAR
1657: 46, 47, 49, 51, 51[=52]
1658: 2, 3, 13, 19
P:Sa  Sonnabends PARTICULAR
1657: 30, 51
1658: 4, 6

Europaiesche Wochentliche Zeitung, Europaeische Ordinari Postzeitung. Königsberg:
Johann Reußner
EWZ  Europaiesche Wochentliche Zeitung
1657: 45
EWZ:Eop  Europaeische Ordinari Postzeitung
1657: 45
EWZ:Do  Donnerstags PARTICULAR Zeitung Zur Numer...gehörig
1657: 36, 48, 50–52
1658: II, III, XII, XIX, XXI, XXXIII
EWZ:Fr  Freytags PARTICULAR Zeitung Zur Numer...gehörig
1657: 46, 49
EWZ:So  Sonntags Europaischer MERCUIRUS
1658: I, III, VI, XI, XXIV, XXX, XXXIX
EWZ:Sa  Sonnabends RELATION, Zum Europaeischen Mercurius Numer...gehörig
1658: V
EWZ:App  Europaeischer APPENDIX
1658: V, XV, XX

Europaiesche Zeitung. Stettin: Johnann Valentin Rhete
EZ  Europaiesche Zeitüg
1657: 2, 7, 8, 11, 14
EZ:Ps  Particular Schreiben: ...Zum Europaeischen Num. ...1657. Gehörig
1657: 7, 11, 12, No. 1 and 2
EZ:App  APPENDIX Europaeischer Zeitungen/von Num...
1657: 2, 7, 8, 11–13, 15, 32–34, 52
EZ:AppPS  Particular Schreiben...Zum Appendice Num. ...1657 gehörig
1657: 7, 10, 12–14, 33
EZ:Di  Europaiesche Dingstägl. Zeitüg
1658: 37, 48
Transylvania in German Newspapers

EZ:DiPS  Particular-Schreiben: Zu No...Anno...Europaeisher Dingstägl. Zeitungen gehörig
1658: 49, 50

EZ:Sa  Europäische Samstägl. Zeitung
1658: 13

Newe Vnpartheysche Zeitung vnd Relation. Zürich: Offizin Bodmer

NZR  Ordinari Wochenzeitung
1658: I–VIII, X–XII, XIV [=XV], XVI–XX, XXII–XLII, XLIV–XLIX, LI

Ordentliche Wochentliche Post(−)Zeitungen/dieses...Jahrs.
1658: I–XXIII, XXIX–XLV, XLVII–XXXXII [=LII]
(gaps and repetitions are due to misnumbering)

Ordinari Wochentliche PostZeitungen
1658: 97, 99
Extraordinari einkommene Zeitungen
1658: 98, 102, 104

Ordinari Zeitung. Wien: Mattäus Cosmervius
Extraordinari Mittwochs PostZeitungen
1658: DCCLXIV, DCCXXXVIII

Ordinari Zeitung. München: Johann Jäcklin
Mercurij(i) Relation Oder Zeit(t)ungen/von uvderschiedlichen Ort(h)en/
Auff das...Jahr
1658: 1–52

Particular Zeitung
1657: 9, 14–16, 44–46, 51, 53, 54
1658: 1, 12

1657: 11
Postzeitungen. Prag: Ludmilla Sedlčanska

Ordinari Wochentliche Mitwochs Postzeitungen
1658: CLXXXVIII

Extraordinari Wochentliche Postzeitungen
1658: CLXXXVII

Post Zeitung. Hamburg: Johann Baptista Vrints

HPZ: MEuropäische Mitwochentliche Zeitung
1657: 6, 9, 17, 28, 35, 41, 42, 48, 49–53
1658: 7, 10, 12, 16, 21, 29, 47

HPZ: Sa Europaesche Samstägige Zeitung
1657: 15, 21, 22, 27, 29, 42, 46, 50, 52
1658: 2, 3, 16, 17, 28, 38, 42, 47, 53

Raporten. Köln: Caspar Kempen

Ordinari Wochentliche Dinstags Postzeitungen
1657: III


RAF Zeitung
1657: 18

Titellose Zeitung. Berlin: Offizin Runge

B: O B. Einkommende Ordinar- und Postzeitungen

B: E B. Extraordinari(−)Zeitungen
1658: ‘Holstein, 6/16. 8.’, ‘Hamburg 13/23. 11.’, ‘Holstein 26. 11.’,
‘Elbstrom 29. 11.’, ‘Stettin 19. 12.’

Titellose Zeitung (Frankfurter Postzeitung). Frankfurt a. M.: Johann Baptist Höswinkel

OWP Ordentliche Wochentliche Postzeitungen
1657: III, V, VIII, XVIII–XX, XXXVIII, XXXIX

Wochentliche Ordinari-Post-Zeitungen. n.p.: n.p.

Wochentliche Ordinari-Post-Zeitungen
1657: 28, [47?]
1658: 2

Wochentliche Zeitung Auß Hamburg. Kopenhagen: Peter Hake

ZAH Wochentliche Zeitung Auß Hamburg
1657: 27, 29, 30, 32–36, Sec. 36, 37–48, Sec. 48, 49, 50
The following papers appear in Bogel and Blühm's bibliography but do not appear in my analysis:

 ORDINAR SONTAGS-ZEITUNG. n. p.: n.p. 1657: 12.