CHAPTER 12

The Editorial History of a Rare and Forbidden Franciscan Book of the Italian Renaissance: The Dialogue della Unione Spirituale di Dio con l’anima by Bartolomeo Cordoni

Michele Camaioni

The early decades of the Cinquecento witnessed a proliferation of religious publications in the Italian vernacular. In the second half of the century the Council of Trent (1545–1563) and the founding of the Roman Congregations of the Holy Office (1542) and of the Index (1572) would halt the consistent and substantially uncontrolled development of devotional and spiritual literature. But in the generation before this a huge number of pamphlets and books, “from the more traditional to the more innovative”, flooded the markets of the Italian peninsula, with considerable impact on the religious thought and practice of both clergy and laypeople.1 As has been pointed out, spiritual books acted in those years, alongside with popular preaching, as effective vehicles for religious propaganda and for the dissemination of new ideas.2 They promoted not only the doctrines introduced by the German and Swiss Reformation, but also the anti-dogmatic spirituality and the reform proposals elaborated by Italian evangelical groups clustered around such leading figures as the Venetian cardinal Gaspare Contarini and the Spanish alumbrado Juan de Valdés, or by the representatives of the most dynamic religious gatherings of the age, from

the lay confraternity of the Divino Amore to the new religious Orders of the Barnabites, the Jesuits and the Capuchins.3

Closely connected to the early development of the Capuchins is the printing of one of the most intriguing mystical booklets of the European Renaissance period, the *Dialogo della unione spirituale di Dio con l’anima* by Bartolomeo Cordoni. Despite the censorship of the Roman and Spanish Inquisitions, from the 1530s the book went through several editions in Italy and in Spain and continued to circulate until the first half of the seventeenth century. Its complex editorial history, which this article will attempt to reconstruct, represents a meaningful case of ‘editorial longevity’ and deserves, for this reason, specific attention.4

The book was written by the Observant Franciscan mystic Bartolomeo Cordoni from Città di Castello, a former follower of the humanist Angelo Poliziano. Cordoni was a member of that rigorist movement which, at the beginning of the sixteenth century, promoted the reform of the Order of the Friars Minor through asceticism and hermetic contemplation, inspiring Spanish *alumbradism* and Italian pre-quietism.5 Published for reasons of prudence after the death of its author in 1535, the *Dialogo della unione* is undoubtedly an heterodox text, since it combines the radical spiritualism of the Franciscan tradition, with the neoplatonic mysticism of the *Mirror of the

---


Simple Souls by Marguerite Porete. This masterpiece of the Mediaeval heresy of Free Spirit was condemned by the Roman Church in 1311–1312 at the Council of Vienne.⁶ As scholars have shown, several chapters of the Dialogo della unione are translations into Italian of Marguerite's Mirror. According to Catholic theologians the main doctrinal error of this work was to assume that through ascetic contemplation, mystical annihilation and illumination, the human soul could reach a status of impeccability, perfect union and oneness with God during earthly life.⁷

The first edition of Cordoni's book was published in Perugia in 1538 under the Latin title De unione anime cum supereminenti lumine and the direction of the Franciscan Observant Ilarione Pico da Borgo San Sepolcro (ill. 12.1).⁸ A disciple of Bartolomeo Cordoni, friar Ilarione obtained a printing licence from the cardinal legato of Perugia, Marino Grimani, and softened the text of the Dialogo to avoid the suspicion of begardism, that is the heresy of the Free Spirit.⁹

A few months later, on 10 January 1539, a second and uncensored edition of the Dialogo appeared in Milan under the title Dyalogo de la unione spirituale di Dio con l’anima.¹⁰ As we learn from the dedicatory letter, this edition was

---


⁸ Bartolomeo da Castello, De unione anime cum supereminenti lumine. Opera nuova et utile ad ogni fidel christiano. Composta per il reverendo padre frate Bartholomeo da Castello. De l’ordine de l’observantia (Perugia: nelle case di Girolamo Cartolari; ad instantia de mastro Antonio Pasini, 1538); octavo, ff. 136 [cnce 4476].


ILLUSTRATION 12.1 Bartolomeo da Castello, *De unione anime cum supereminenti lumine* (Perugia: Girolamo Cartolari; ad instantia de Antonio Pasini, September 1538) © Pontificium Athenaeum Antonianum, Rome [Rari 0091].
prepared by the Capuchin friar Girolamo Spinazzola da Molfetta, a collaborator of the vicar-general of the Order, Bernardino Ochino, well known for his flight from Italy to Calvin’s Geneva in 1542 at the time of the founding of the Roman Holy Office. Another difference between the ‘Observant’ edition (Perugia, 1538) and the ‘Capuchin’ (Milan, 1539), can be seen in the number of chapters. Whereas the ‘Observant’ edition is composed of 52 chapters, the ‘Capuchin’ edition includes an additional chapter, entitled *Circolo de carità divina* [Circle of divine charity]. This esoteric text, which in the 1580s would have attracted the attention of the Roman Inquisition for the *novum et insolutum orandi modum* (“the new and unusual manner of praying”) it prescribed, was probably written not by Cordoni himself, but by the friar Francesco Ripanti da Iesi, a prominent figure among the Capuchins during the 1530s and 1540s.12

It is worth explaining that the Capuchin Order had been founded some years earlier, in 1525, by a small group of reformed Observant friars and wandering preachers who wanted to restore the pure and strict observance of the Franciscan Rule.13 The birth of the Capuchin Order was the result of an unexpected schism in Franciscan Observance, a powerful institution which, thanks to the bull *Ite vos* issued by Pope Leo X a few years earlier in 1517, had incorporated all existing local reforms and had obtained the legal precedence over the Conventuals within the Order of the Friars Minor.14 This suggests that the publishing of two different editions of Cordoni’s *Dialogo*, one by the Observants and one by the Capuchins, could be linked to the struggle for pre-eminence within the Franciscan Order, which in the 1530s was still raging in Italy.

---


Previous studies of Cordoni’s *Dialogo* assumed unanimously that the two described editions of the book were the only ones printed before the opening of the Council of Trent in 1545. A survey of recent studies on the dispersed collections of books owned by Italians humanists and an unexpected discovery in a Franciscan library in Rome, however, allow us to present here two previously unknown editions of the *Dialogo* which were probably printed between 1539 and the first half of the 1540s. Both editions show the dedicatory letter of Girolamo da Molfetta and their internal structure is close to that of the Capuchin edition of Milan.\(^{15}\)

The first of these two new editions was published in Naples on 24 December 1539, by Johann Sultzbach, printer in 1537 of the Capuchins’ *Constitutions* (ill. 12.2).\(^{16}\) It survives in a unique copy, now at the British Library in London.\(^{17}\) It was identified thanks to a footnote reference by Massimo Danzi in his work dedicated to the history of the library of the famous humanist and cardinal Pietro Bembo.\(^{18}\)

The other lost and now found edition of the *Dialogo* is more challenging and problematic, since it is published without date or imprint (ill. 12.3).\(^{19}\) Only four copies survive. The copy I have examined is in the library of the Pontifical University Antonianum in Rome, whose catalogue wrongly listed it as the Milanese edition of 1539. By an analysis of the watermark and by the comparison with other texts of the period we can argue that this edition appeared in Northern Italy, presumably in Venice, between 1539 and the following years.\(^{20}\)

---


\(^{17}\) London, British Library, shelfmark R.B.23.a.3427. The book did not appear on the online catalogue of the library, but was identified with the help of Mr Stephen Parkin, curator of the Italian Printed Collections (1501–1850), to whom goes my warm thank.


\(^{19}\) Bartolomeo da Castello, *Dyalogo della unione spirituale de Dio con l’anima dove sono interlocutori l’Amor divino, la Sposa Anima et la Ragione humana* (s.l.: s.n, s.d.); 16mo, ff. 148 (cnce 77517).

The same hypothesis has been advanced by the librarians of the Bavarian State Library of Munich, where two further copies of this edition are conserved.\(^{21}\) The assumption is based, *inter alia*, on the observation that the title page of this edition of Cordoni’s *Dialogo* shows the same xylography with Christ on the Cross surrounded by the Virgin Mary and John the Apostle that we find on two printed spiritual treatises which circulated in that period in the Venetian area. The first is an undated edition of Tullio Crispoldi’s *De la santissima comunione*, a popular treatise on the sacrament of the Holy Eucharist first printed in 1535 in Venice.\(^{22}\) The second is an anonymous undated spiritual book entitled *Trattato de gli tre discorsi sopra il gaudio, dolore, et gloria, per il quale si camina alla perfettione dell’anima*, now in a miscellany of the Bayerische Staatsbibliothek bound with works of Crispoldi and with the very same undated edition of Cordoni’s *Dialogo*.\(^{23}\) The edition of Crispoldi’s Eucharistic treatise can be found in the Capuchins’ Provincial Archive of Assisi, where it is part of an interesting sextodecimo miscellany composed of works written by Crispoldi himself and by the already mentioned Girolamo da Molfetta.\(^ {24}\)

The discovery of these two lost editions of the *Dialogo* is of great interest for historians of Italian religious dissent of the Renaissance period, because it allows us to shed new light on an unknown feature of the editorial strategy employed by the first Capuchins and Bernardino Ochino, the leading personality among the Order, in their effort to influence the public debate and the agenda of the Catholic Church in these critical years which witnessed the

\(^{21}\) According to Edit16, a further copy of this edition is owned by the Biblioteca Comunale of Terni, a small town in the Umbria region, in Central Italy.

\(^{22}\) Tullio Crispoldi, *De la santissima comunione* (Venice: per Stefano Nicolini da Sabbio, August 1535); octavo, ff. 44 (CnCe 50676).


\(^{24}\) Assisi, Archivio Provinciale Cappuccino, cod. 8-1-18: 1) Tullio Crispoldi, *Simpliciti erudimenti over ammaestramenti della fede nostra christiana, raccolti per Tullio Crispoldo da Riete* ([Venice]: per Stefano Nicolini da Sabbio, 1539); 2) Tullio Crispoldi, *Della santissima comunione con la esortazione al frequentare e il rispondere alle contrarie obiezione* (s.l.: s.n., s.d.); 3) *Exhortatione al frequentare la sanctissima communione. Con rispondere alle contrarie obiezioni* (s.l.: s.n., s.d.); 4) [Girolamo da Molfetta], *Tavola per la dottrina de la religione christiana di tutte quelle cose che ciascuno è tenuto di sapere. Novamente corretta et illustrata* (s.l.: s.n., April 1540); 5) Tullio Crispoldi, *Alcune pratiche del viver christiano* (Venice: per Stefano Niccolini da Sabbio, 1538); 6) Tullio Crispoldi, *Alcuni rimedi appresso a le pratiche del viver christiano* (Venice: per Stefano Niccolini da Sabbio, 1538).
ILLUSTRATION 12.3  Bartolomeo da Castello, Dyalogo della unione spirituale de Dio con l’anima (s.l.d.)
© Pontificio Ateneo Antonianum, Rome [Rari 0361].

Two lost books have been re-discovered, but the editorial history of Cordoni’s work is not thereby concluded. The *Dialogo della unione*, indeed, was printed again in 1546 in Barcelona, where a Catalan version circulated under the title *Dialogo del amor de Deu*.\footnote{Bartolomeo da Castello, *Dialogo del amor de Deu* (Barcelona: Joan Carles Amorós, 1546).} This Catalan edition, which was the a translation of the Observant edition printed in Perugia in 1538, was soon banned by the Spanish and Portuguese Inquisitions.\footnote{See Jesús M. De Bujanda (ed.), *Index de l’Inquisition espagnole 1551, 1554, 1559, Index des livres interdits*, vol. v (Geneva: Droz, 1984), pp. 472–473; Jesús M. De Bujanda (ed.), *Index de l’Inquisition espagnole 1583, 1584, Index des livres interdits*, vol. vi (Geneva: Droz, 1993), p. 589; Jesús M. De Bujanda (ed.), *Index de l’Inquisition portugaise 1547, 1551, 1564, 1581, Index des livres interdits*, vol. iv (Geneva: Droz, 1995), p. 475; Iveta Nakladova, ‘La censura del Dialogo de la unión del alma con Dios’, in Roger Friedlein (ed.), *Diálogo y censura en el siglo xvi* (Madrid: Iberoamericana Vervuert, forthcoming).} Notwithstanding this clear signal of orthodox disapprobation, new Italian editions of the book were published in 1548 in Venice, in 1589 in Bologna and in 1593 once more in Venice.\footnote{Bartolomeo da Castello, *Dialogo de la unione spirituale de Dio con l’anima* (Perugia: per Girolamo Cartolari, 1538. Et ristampata in Bologna: per Fausto Bonardo, 1589); octavo, ff. 136 (CNCE 4479); Bartolomeo da Castello, *Dialogo dell’unione spirituale di Dio con l’anima. Opera di grandissimo frutto, a persone spirituali, & a peccatori. Di nuovo ristampata, et più corretta* (Venice: appresso Bartolomeo Carampello, 1593); octavo, ff. 164 (CNCE 4480).} It is worth noting that the last two editions mentioned, those of 1589 and 1593, were printed when the *Dialogo della unione* had already been prohibited by the Roman Church.

In the first years after its foundation in 1542, the Holy Office had been forced to face the danger represented by the widespread diffusion of Reformed ideas in the Italian peninsula, paying for this reason scarce attention to the rise of other forms of heterodox spirituality. In the last decades of the century and especially from 1572, when the Congregation of the Index flanked the Roman Inquisition in the cultural and religious battle for the affirmation of the ideals of Catholic Counter-Reformation, many of the spiritual vernacular books printed in the first half of the sixteenth century fell under suspicion.
In 1576, the *Dialogo della unione* was listed in an unpublished catalogue of suspect books drafted by the priest Giovanni di Dio at the request of Cardinal Guglielmo Sirleto.\(^{29}\) Four years later, in 1580, Cordoni’s work was included in the local lists of forbidden books issued in Parma and Alessandria-Tortona.\(^{30}\) In 1584, finally, the Roman Holy Office issued a decree which condemned the *Dialogo della unione* and the *Circolo de la carità divina* as heretical texts.\(^{31}\) The decision, which was probably based on the censure of the book compiled by the Capuchin friar Evangelista Ferratina da Cannobio, was confirmed some years later with the inclusion of the *Dialogo della unione* in the unpromulgated Roman Indices of 1590 and 1593.\(^{32}\)

The title of Cordoni’s mystical book does not however appear in the Index issued in 1596 by Clement VIII. The reason for this temporary exclusion of the *Dialogo* from the list of the books forbidden by the Catholic Church can be found in the ambitious attempt by the Congregation of the Index in those years to distinguish between books that had to be absolutely banned and others which should be forbidden *quamdiu expurgantur* or *donec corrigentur*: that is, until they were amended by a censor and therefore restored to their role of useful instruments of religious instruction, moral disciplining and spiritual growth.\(^{33}\)

In pursuit of this goal, the Congregation of the Index set up a broad inquiry into the libraries of the religious Orders in all of the Italian Provinces. An examination of the surviving lists of this investigation, preserved in the Vatican Library, reveals that despite the condemnation of the Roman Inquisition, at the end of the sixteenth century several Italian convents owned a copy of one of the editions

---

of Cordoni’s heterodox mystical book. Many Franciscan nunneries and friaries of the Umbria region retained copies of the Observant edition of the Dialogo della unione printed in Perugia in 1538, and the Capuchins of the Province of Lombardia kept at least a copy of the Venetian edition printed in 1593. The libraries of the Province of Siracusa in Sicily contained two copies of a work listed as Dialogo del divino amore by Girolamo da Molfetta, which can be identified as one of the Capuchin editions of Cordoni’s Dialogo della unione. Evidently, towards the close of the sixteenth century the Dialogo was still a popular book among the Capuchin friars who had inherited Cordoni’s spiritual legacy.34

Nevertheless, from the beginning of the seventeenth century the possession of the Dialogo della unione once again became risky for its owners. The book was condemned and banned by the Roman Church in 1600 and in 1603. The decision was taken after the cardinals of the Holy Office had read the appraisal undertaken by a Capuchin friar, Girolamo Mautini da Narni on the orders of the Congregation of the Index. In his handwritten censure, Mautini accused the author of the Dialogo della unione of being an “heresiarch”, who had drawn on the ancient doctrines “of Begards and Beguines” and made a worst error than Luther, since he pretended “to make men Gods” (“fare gli huomini Dei”).35


35 Girolamo Mautini da Narni, Censura del [libro] intitolato Dialogo dell’unione spirituale di Dio con l’anima, ff. 2r–42r. The codex of this handwritten Censura is in Rome, Biblioteca Casanatense, ms. 345.
Mautini’s censure was delivered on 29 January 1600 to the Cardinals of the Congregation of the Index, who decided to condemn the *Dialogo della unione*, confirming their decision with a decree on 7 August 1603.36

In the same years, the Roman Inquisition determined to investigate the Venetian 1593 edition of *the Dialogo*. This edition, probably to avoid censorship and licence regulation, was published with a false attribution of authorship. On its title page the author is named as “Bartolomeo da Città di Castello, Capucino”, while he had been, as we have seen, an Observant friar. This detail was finally noticed by members of the Holy Office, who in 1599 ordered their Venetian *commissario* to investigate the printer Bartolomeo Carampello and the circumstances that led to the false attribution of authorship.37 We do not know the outcome of this inquiry. It is tempting to suggest a connection with the “long drawn-out struggle” between the Venetian printing industry and the Roman Inquisition, that arose in the second half of the sixteenth century as a consequence of the publications of the various editions of Roman *Index librorum prohibitorum*.38

To round off this brief account on the editorial history of Cordoni’s *Dialogo della unione*, we need to proceed into the seventeenth century. In 1647, after almost fifty years of silence on the matter, the Roman Congregation of the Index was forced to pay new attention to the forbidden *Dialogo della unione*.39 From the documents preserved in the Vatican Archive of the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith we find that in those years an anonymous book entitled *Dialogo abbreviato dell’unione di Dio con l’anima* appeared in Italy. This


39 In the meanwhile, the *Dialogo della unione* had been included in the Roman Index of forbidden books released in 1632: cf. De Bujanda, *Index librorum prohibitorum 1600–1966*, pp. 198–199.
was not good news for the Cardinals of the Index. In the middle of the seventeenth century Roman institutions were considerable exercised by the renewed diffusion of mystical texts: a devotional phenomenon which some years later, in the second half of the century, would inspire the new spiritualist heresy of quietism.

In 1647 the Secretary of the Congregation of the Index Gian Battista de Marini, a Dominican friar, ordered the censorship of this mysterious Dialogo abbreviato dell’unione. No reference to this book can be found in catalogues and book lists of this period. It is a lost book, but not completely. Two reports compiled by the priest Antonio Giani and now kept in the Protocolli series of the Vatican Archive of the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith, allow us to partially reconstruct the text of this book, which appears to have been an anthology, a short compilation of selected extracts taken from the Dialogo della unione by Bartolomeo Cordoni.40

The censor himself realized that there was a connection between the Dialogo abbreviato and the Dialogo dell’unione by Cordoni: “They are of the same flour”, he wrote.41 For this reason, he decided to dust down Girolamo da Narni’s censure of the Dialogo dell’unione from 1599–1600, and to employ its structure, based on the identification of 15 “Paradoxes of the union” (i.e. doctrinal errors), to censor the new Dialogo abbreviato.42 The Dialogo abbreviato was finally condemned as a text which renewed the ancient heresy of the Free Spirit: “I think that for the listed mistakes, without considering the others contained in it, the book should be burnt and put among the madcap ones”, concluded the censor.43 So we now know that a collection based on the Dialogo della unione circulated in Italy in the 1640s, and it has been possible to reconstruct significant passages on the basis of the censures found in the Archive of the Congregation of the Faith.

What, more generally, Cordoni’s case shows, is that the attention paid by the Catholic Church to the development of forms of mysticism and spirituality which overstepped the permitted doctrinal boundaries was intermittent until the 1570s; at this point, when the establishment of Protestant churches in the Italian peninsula was no longer a serious threat, the Roman congregations could turn their attention towards other forms of cultural and religious dissent.

40 acdf, Index, Protocolli HH, f. 106r–113v.
41 Ibid., f. 108r.
42 Ibid., ff. 108v–113v.
43 “Crederei che il libro per li detti errori, traslati gli altri in esso contenuti, si potesse abbrugiare e numerare fra pazzeregli”: acdf, Index, Protocolli HH, f. 107r.
Nevertheless, despite the repeated condemnations by the Holy Office and the Congregation of the Index, the *Dialogo della unione di Dio con l’anima* was printed again in 1593 and continued to be read in Franciscan convents throughout the first half of the seventeenth century. Finally, in 1647 the defenders of Roman orthodoxy discovered a compilation of Cordoni’s work and censored it, underlining the close connection between the original *Dialogo della unione* and this lost booklet, which most likely contributed to paving the way for the spread of the quietist heresy in the Italian peninsula.44