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1 Rethinking the Scope of Sunnī Prophetological Discourse

It suffices for the intelligent reader to realise that we did not collect all that is in our book for those who deny the prophethood of our Prophet, or for those who slander on his miracles, so that we would need to engage in proofs [...], but we wrote it for the people of the Prophet’s community who respond to his call and believe in his prophethood, in order to affirm their love for him (ta’kidan li-maḥabbatihim lahu) and that their deeds may increase and their faith be reinforced.¹

The Moroccan Mālikī scholar Abū al-Faḍl ‘Īyāḍ al-Yaḥṣūbī² (d. 544/1149), commonly called al-Qāḍī ‘Īyāḍ, explains in this way the purpose of his treatise al-Shifāʾ bi-ta’rīf ḥuqūq al-Muṣṭafā (“The healing through the recognition of the rights of the chosen Prophet”), which can rightly be considered the major reference text of Sunni prophetology and one of the most widely read and diffused works in the history of Islamic literature. Despite this explicit indication of the author, studying the Shifāʾ with regard to its purpose to induce the love for the Prophet in the Muslim community has not yet attracted any attention in academic research on this important work. As astonishing as this might seem, it is, in fact, understandable when bearing in mind the background from which the general theme of the Shifāʾ – that is, the veneration of the Prophet – has been considered. Just to give a few examples, even for such a sensitive observer like the Neo-Thomist historian of Muslim theology Louis Gardet, the veneration of the Prophet has its basis in the dichotomy between

¹ ‘Īyāḍ, Shifāʾ, 150. Translations are by the author. There are a variety of non-academic translations into major Western languages, for example in English with the Arabic text by Hibah, Ash-Shifā.
² See in particular Serrano, “‘Iyāḍ” and as primary sources, ‘Iyāḍ, Ta’rīf and Maqqarī, Azhār al-riyād.
popular and learned Islam, and is clearly a phenomenon related to the first.\(^3\) More recently, Tilman Nagel opts for an Islamicised version of the “priest fraud” theory (“Priesterbetrugsthorie”) and argues the other way around, namely that the veneration of the Prophet was constructed by the ‘ulamā’ in order to enforce Islamic norms on the Muslim community: The Muhammadglauben (“belief in Muhammad”) and its implied veneration of the Prophet serves in fact the “self-reassurance” (Selbstvergewisserung) of the Muslim masses.\(^4\) Both theses, which underly a large proportion of academic writing about Muslim attitudes towards the Prophet, have the idea in common that the veneration of the Prophet, and the belief in his pre-eminence, serve to fulfil, in one way or another, the needs of the uneducated and uncritical Muslim masses; hence, they do not represent a genuinely theological or intellectual theme of Islamic thought.\(^5\)

It is not surprising, then, that against this background, the veneration of the Prophet has, with notable exceptions,\(^6\) not attracted much interest in academic research until recent political events made evident the mobilising force

---

3 Gardet, *Théologie musulmane*, 201. He equally speaks of a Muslim “hyperdulia cult” (226–27) and argues that “the absolute pre-excellence of the Prophet upon any creature does not enter the usual perspective of kalam” (206). I would argue that this thesis can be traced back to the influence of protestant biblical studies and its theory of the “biographical process” on early orientalism, as exemplified by Josef Horovitz’s “The Growth of the Mohammed Legend” of 1920. See also Van Ess, *Miʿrāj*, 27–28 who credits early Islam with having been less affected with a subsequently constructed exaltation of its founder than early Christianity, but at the same time closes his study with the remark that “it was resolutely decided to exalt him, but the events of his life had already anticipated this exaltation” (*Miʿrāj*, 56).

4 Nagel, *Allahs Liebling*. Tilman Nagel, for whom the *Šīfā* establishes “Muhammad as the source of Muslims’ production of meaning and existential determination” *(Allahs Liebling*, 22), devotes a whole chapter to the *Šīfā* in his study, under the title “The dogmatisation of the prophetic vita” (133–98), albeit without discussing its central notions and concepts, and from his particular, sometimes polemical perspective.

5 One could add that this sociological preconception, founded on the dichotomy between learned and popular Muslims, is more or less consciously associated with a historical one according to which the veneration of the Prophet does not belong to the “original Islam”, the latter being sharply distinguished from Islam as constructed by Muslim traditions. It is interesting to note, however, how this conception of “original Islam” does represent numerous similarities with the Neo-Salafist concept of “the Islam of the origins”.

6 Besides the pioneering work of Tor Andrae of 1918, notably not a scholar of Islamic studies in the first place but a theologian and a historian of religions, it is above all the specialists of Sufism who have been interested in this theme. See in particular Schimmel, *Und Muhammad* (1981), Chodkiewicz, “Modèle prophétique” (1994); Gril, “Corps du Prophète” (2006); Katz, *Birth of the prophet* (2007) and Addas, *Maison du Prophète* (2015).
of the reference to the Prophet, in this way pushing academia to acknowledge
the necessity of obtaining a deeper understanding of Muslims’ relationship
to their Prophet. What applies to the theme of veneration of the Prophet is
even more true for the notion of love (mahabbat) as the evident core of an
attitude of veneration for the Prophet, despite its being ever-present in Islamic
literatures and cultures. Far from representing merely a moral or sentimental
device for the masses, love for the Prophet is the notion through which Islamic
sources, beginning with the Qur’an and the hadith, qualify the relationship
of the Muslim community to its founding figure and the normative character of
its commitment and reconnection to him.

As the Shifāʾ illustrates, the concept of mahabbat al-nabī is part of a highly
sophisticated scholarly discourse which traverses various Islamic disciplines
and traditions. So besides being a genuinely theological issue, the veneration
of the Prophet constitutes also a topos which relies heavily on the hadith tradition,
on philosophical thought and on Muslim spirituality. With about 1800
narrations, the Shifāʾ draws heavily on the corpus of Prophetic Tradition and
adopts in many ways the views of traditionalist scholarship which became
prominent amongst “reformed” Mālikī scholars of this period. But although
the Shifāʾ is sometimes referred to as a work of hadith or sīra, it is far more
than a simple thematic or biographical anthology in the framework of that

7 In the first place, the Danish caricature affair of 2005 and the jihadist justification of ter-
rorist activities through the figure of the Prophet, beginning with al-Qāʿida and leading to
ISIS. See Vimercati Sanseverino, Combat Prophetology.
8 Since Annemarie Schimmel’s groundbreaking Und Muhammad ist Sein Prophet (1981,
English translation 1985), there has been a flourishing of monographs presenting historical
outlines of the Muslim view of the Prophet for a wider readership, beginning with Schöller,
9 In Q 9:24, probably addressed to “those Muslims who remained in Mecca after the migra-
tion” (Study Quran, 51), love for the Prophet Muhammad, here in the sense of giving prefer-
ence to him, appears as a mark which distinguishes his most loyal followers: “Say: ‘If
your fathers, your children, your brothers, your spouses, your tribe, your wealth you have
acquired, commerce whose stagnation you fear, and dwellings you find pleasing are more
beloved to you than God and His Messenger (aḥabba ilaykum min Allāh wa rasūlihi), and
striving in His way, then wait till God comes with His command’ [...].” Examples from the
hadith are certainly more numerous, the most famous being the tradition found, amongst
others, in Bukhārī, Sahih, kitāb al-imān, bāb hubb al-rasūl min al-imān, No 14, “Nobody has
faith until he loves me more than his father, his child and the whole of mankind”. See also
in particular Gril, “Attitude des Compagnons”.
10 For the paradigmatic significance of the reconnection to the Prophet, see Graham,
“Traditionalism”.
11 See Fierro, “Proto-Malikīs”.
literature. Its thematic and argumentative structure appears to be determined by a sophisticated theological reasoning, partly influenced by philosophical thought and by notions from Islamic spirituality.

The text of the Shifāʾ is organised in four larger parts (aqsām) which cover both doctrinal and practical aspects of Islamic prophetology. The first part is concerned with the status and rank of the Prophet Muḥammad and includes sections on Qurʾānic evidence, on his miracles, on his virtuous and physical appearance or his announcement in previous religious scriptures. This part is informed by various genres of prophetological discourse and literature and is primarily exegetical, although it also includes elements from philosophical ethics and purely prophetological considerations inspired by taṣawwuf or kalām. The second part is dedicated to the “rights of the Prophet” and expounds how Muslims should behave towards their Prophet, and which attitude they should adopt. This part in fact develops practical consequences of the pre-eminence of the Prophet and elaborates on the implementation of its meaning for Muslims. It represents the most unique and original part of the Shifāʾ, as it draws on such varied discourses and genres as kalām, fiqh and taṣawwuf. In particular, one has to note a very long part on the theme of love for the Prophet, probably pioneering in Islamic literature. Part three deals with the prophetic reality of Muḥammad and its theological articulation in terms of what must be affirmed about the Prophet, what is impossible to affirm and what can be possibly affirmed with regard to him. This part represents a classical kalām approach to prophetology, but with a particular focus on the crucial question of the relationship between the human nature and the prophetic authority of Muḥammad. The theme of the Prophet’s impeccability and infallibility is treated in detail, including for example the issue of the so-called “Satanic Verses”. The last and fourth part discusses the violation of the rights of the Prophet and is concerned with the normative regulations concerning blasphemy. Hence, it deals with the collective implementation of the rights of the Prophet and their social and political aspects, and addresses more specifically the duties of an Islamic government. Unlike the other chapters, it is characterised by a purely fiqh approach. But again, with regard to this topic,

12 Interestingly, the “secret” (sīr) of the work, as the author himself explains in the introduction (Shifāʾ, 16), is to be found in the third part which develops the classical kalām theme of nubuwwāt (prophetology) and discusses in particular the complex relationship between the human nature of Muḥammad and his prophetic authority.

13 See Vimercati Sanseverino, „Wer dem Gesandten gehorcht“, 63–38.

14 ‘Iyāḍ, Shifāʾ, 294–299. For this issue, see the study by Ahmad, Before Orthodoxy.
the *Shifāʾ* is recognised as one of the reference works, with a particularly severe stance against blasphemy.\(^{15}\)

This concise overview shows how the *Shifāʾ* draws on multiple types of literature and discourse about the Prophet Muḥammad in order to present a coherent, comprehensive and systematic prophetological work.\(^{16}\) But al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ does not contend himself to merely collect and compile these elements. He uses these in a new way, developing a new approach to prophetology, and therefore, besides synthesising what was before him, he at the same time marks a new phase of prophetological writing. Whereas previous literature was chiefly concerned with either the significance or the content of the prophetic teaching and mission, the *Shifāʾ* focuses on the person of the Prophet and its meaning for religious life.\(^{17}\) In this way, the author endeavors to offer, in the context of dramatic political and religious upheavals,\(^{18}\) an answer to the following interrogation: how should Muslims, individually and collectively, relate to their Prophet, and what meaning does this relationship have? In other words: what kind of relationship should Muslims have to their Prophet?

As a synthesis and culmination of a discursive tradition concerning the Prophet Muḥammad in Sunnī Islam, the *Shifāʾ* represents a landmark in the history and literature of “Prophetic piety”\(^{19}\) or “Muḥammadan spirituality”\(^{20}\) and prophetology. It has been extensively referred to in academic research, mainly

---

\(^{15}\) On this topic see Wagner, “Non-Muslims who insult”, and Nagel, “Tabuisierung”. Kattānī (*Madkhal*, 188–193) collected statements of scholars who refrained from reading this part in public for “fear for the laymen (*khawfan ʿalā ʾl-ʿāmma*)”.

\(^{16}\) Shawwāṭ, *Ālim al-maghrib*, 117–54, offers a list of sources from various disciplines used by al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ based on analysis of the *Ghunya*, the *Shifāʾ*, his commentary on Muslim’s ḥadīth compilation and his ḥadīth handbook.

\(^{17}\) This is evident for the ḥadīth literature as well as for the *sīra* and the *dalāʾil al-nubuwwa* literature. As for the *shamāʾil* literature, which is indeed concerned with the description of the person of Muḥammad, it does not offer any theological elaboration on the soteriological, eschatological or spiritual significance of the prophetic person. This step is undertaken in the *Shifāʾ*, as will be shown later.

\(^{18}\) In the present study, we will not dwell upon the historical circumstances which motivated or influenced the redaction of the *Shifāʾ*. Besides the already existing studies (see below), a further study on this important question, considering in particular al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ’s project of a revival of Sunnī identity against the politico-religious developments of his time, is currently in preparation.

\(^{19}\) We owe this helpful expression to Stefan Reichmuth; see Reichmuth, “Prophetic Piety”. The *Shifāʾ* certainly needs to be considered as participating in the profusion of prophetological writing in al-Andalus in the fourth-seventh/tenth-thirteenth centuries. On this last phenomenon, see Jarrar, *Prophetenbiographie* and Fierro, “Kitāb al-anwār”.

\(^{20}\) This expression is used by Tilman Nagel to describe the transition from “Sunnī piety” to a spirituality characterised by its strong reference to the Muḥammadan personality in the sixth/twelfth century. According to Nagel, this evolution explains how the inviolability of
by historians of al-Andalus who are interested in the religious-political context of the Islamic West during the Almohad period and who have insisted on the polemical scope of the Shifāʾ, 21 as well as by historians of Muslim thought and literature who have referred to the Shifāʾ as an important source for the history of representations of the Prophet. 22 However, a systematic and in-depth study of its theological concepts and argumentation or of the use of sources and discursive traditions, remains a desideratum. While not pretending to fill this gap in an exhaustive manner, this study focuses on two core themes of the Shifāʾ which have not been analysed so far. On the one hand, this is the question of man’s knowledge of the Prophet’s status, dignity and reality, and of the conditions and sources of this knowledge. On the other hand, corresponding to the purpose of the work according to its author, it is the theme of love for the Prophet, its meaning and its normative character. As the study will show, both themes are in fact interconnected, and the argument of the Shifāʾ, as well as the issue of the veneration of the Prophet in general, cannot be understood without considering them in relation to each other. Besides the fact that these two themes constitute the nodal points of the work’s argumentative structure, their originality and their impact 23 alone justify an analysis of the Shifāʾ’s doctrinal content for the history of Islamic ideas. Considering the way al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ, as a major representative of both ḥadīth and theology in the Maghreb of the sixth/twelfth century, 24 uses various Islamic genres and discourses, this study analyses how the author develops his argumentation in order to demonstrate the pre-eminent status of the Prophet and to argue the duty for Muslims to adopt an attitude of love and veneration for him.

21 See Fierro, “El tratado” and Iruela, Veneración.
22 In particular Andrae, Person Muhammeds; Schimmel, Und Muhammad; Nagel, Allahs Liebling; Khalidi, Images of Muhammad.
23 There is yet no specific study about the reception of the Shifāʾ and of its themes, but even then, it can be safely assumed that the incredible success of the work is due, among other things, to his elaborating on the knowledge of the Prophet’s status and on love for him. For the popularity and the diffusion of the Shifāʾ, Kattānī, al-Madkhal ilā kitāb al-Shifāʾ offers useful indications.
24 See in particular Turābī, Juhūduhu fi īlm al-ḥadīth; Shawwāṭ ʿAlīm al-maghrīb; Al-ʿAbdallāh, Juhūduhu al-kalāmiyya; Serrano, “Diffusion de l’ash‘arisme”; Vimercati Sanseverino, “Transmission, ethos”.
Knowledge of the Prophet’s Pre-eminence

It is clearly apparent for anyone who has any practice of science (ʿilm) or has the slightest degree of understanding (fahm) that God exalted the dignity of our Prophet (taʿzīm Allāh qadr nabiyyinā), and that He singled him out with countless virtues, beautiful character traits and illustrious deeds, and that He acclaimed his exalted dignity with what the words and pens cannot express.25

At first sight, this introductory remark, which al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ places at the beginning of the first part of the Shifāʾ, does not represent anything unusual. The theme expressed in this passage constitutes a common topos in Islamic literature dealing with Muḥammadan prophecy. In fact, the issue of the latter’s authenticity, role and status is commonly approached in terms of the Prophet’s pre-eminence, expressed through notions like “nobleness” (sharaf) or “favour” (fadl). The pre-eminence of the Prophet Muḥammad is presented as evidence of the authenticity of his prophetic mission and of the revelation he claimed to have received. It is the pre-eminence of the Prophet, his superiority towards the other prophets, his function as a seal of prophethood, but also his physical, moral and spiritual excellence, which give evidence of his claims to be a messenger from God. Furthermore, the discourse of pre-eminence is meant to demonstrate that Muḥammadan prophethood constitutes the apogee of the history of salvation. Showing that Muḥammad is the creature most beloved and esteemed by God aims to prove the superiority of the Prophet’s religion over the other religions.

According to previous research, the early prophetological treatises articulating this conception were designed to respond to the contestation of Muḥammad’s prophetic status from other religions.26 However, this interpretation has since been considerably nuanced by Mareike Körtner in her study of the Dalāʾil al-nubuwwa literature. She shows that these works were not merely polemical, i.e., intending to convince or defeat a theological opponent, but that it was constitutive for the formation of a distinct Sunnī identity.27

25 ʿIyāḍ, Shifāʾ, 19.
26 “Muhammad’s claim to prophecy triggered a Jewish and Christian attack on his prophetic qualifications, which forced the Muslims to establish a system of vindication of Muhammad’s prophecy. The existence of this system obliged the Christians to respond with ‘the negative signs of true religion,’ their own version of the ‘signs of prophecy’, this response in its turn influenced later Muslim depiction of Muhammad and of early Islamic history” (Stroumsa, “Signs of Prophecy”, 101–14).
27 Koertner, Clear Signs.
al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ integrated the various prophetological genres and discourses, even those originally developed in an apologetical context, into a mainly intra-Muslim one. As indicated in the passage quoted above, and as will become clear when analysing the topics and the argumentative structure of the Shifāʾ, he based his elaboration of the theme of Muḥammad’s pre-eminence on three interrelated postulates. The first was that the reality of Muḥammad’s status is wholly determined by God’s will and work, the second, that God accorded to Muḥammad the most eminent status amongst His creatures, and the third, that, in its divine determination, the reality of this status is beyond human comprehension and expression. The theme of Muḥammad’s pre-eminence no longer serves to argue and to demonstrate the authenticity and superiority of his prophetic claim against non-Muslims, but to disclose the soteriological meaning of Muḥammad’s prophetic status to those who believe in him and follow him. Thus, from this perspective, the pre-eminence of the Prophet is not merely the sign of his veracity, but of his unique relationship to God.

2.1 The Notion of Qadr and the Issue of the Prophet’s Status

Al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ’s new approach is visible in his use of a distinctive term in order to address the theme of the Prophet’s pre-eminence. The notions of qadr al-nabī (“the status, worth and dignity of the Prophet”) and of ‘ażīm qadrihi (“the immense and exalted reality of his dignity”) refer to the Qur’ānic term qadr28 as used in Q 65:3, “God gave to every thing its measure (qadr)”, and in Q 39:67, “And they did not give God the measure/value (qadr) which is truly His”.29 While the first reference identifies the qadr as the particular and divinely determined reality of a thing, the second reference relates the qadr to the quality of man’s relationship to God. Without stating it explicitly, the term qadr applied to the Prophet suggests an analogy between the failure to recognise God’s status as omnipotent Creator, and the negligence towards the Prophet’s eminent status and dignity.

The use of the term qadr for Muḥammad’s status can equally be traced back to a ḥadīth that al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ mentions in the chapter relating narrations which attest to the Prophet’s eminence: “It is narrated from Abū Muḥammad al-Makkī, Abū al-Layth al-Sarmaqandi and others that Adam said when he disobeyed God: ‘My God, by the right (bi-ḥaqq) of Muḥammad, forgive me

28 In his extensive commentary of the Shifāʾ, the Egyptian scholar Shihāb al-Dīn al-Khaṭṭāḥī (d. 1069/1659) explains this notion as follows: “The qadr of a thing is its measure (miqdār) and its nobleness (sharaf) and rang (rutba); it means the magnification (taʿẓīm), as in God’s word ‘and they do not give God the measure that is His’ (Q 39:67), i.e. they did not magnify God the magnification which is truly His” (Nāsim, I, 92).

29 ‘Iyāḍ, Shifāʾ, 19.
my fault!’ [...] and in another version: “God asked Adam: How do you know Muḥammad?’ and Adam answered: “When You created me, I raised my head to Your throne and I saw inscribed on it ‘There is no divinity except God, Muḥammad is His messenger’ and I understood that there is nobody whose status is greater in Your sight (aʿẓam qadran ‘indaka) than he whose name You associated to Yours [...]”.

The semantic field of the term qadr shows how it allows al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ to treat the soteriological significance of Muḥammad’s prophethood and its reality as determined by God, as well as to emphasize the practical and normative meaning of the Prophet’s pre-eminence. In this way, the recognition of the Prophet’s qadr becomes constitutive for Islamic faith and is shown to determine the quality of a Muslim’s relationship to the person of the Prophet.

If the aim of the first part of the Shifāʾ consists in the knowledge of the Prophet’s qadr, the question arises how his qadr can be known? This is indeed theologically important, since it determines how the relation between revealed and human knowledge on the Prophet can be conceived. Al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ answers this question through the structure of this part. Its title “The exaltation (taʿẓīm) of the Chosen Prophet’s dignity with God the Most High through word (qawlan) and deed (fiʿlan)” expresses the idea that the Prophet’s status is exalted and thus not comparable to the status of any other creature, but also that this exaltation is caused and carried out by God Himself. In other words, the exalted status of the Muḥammadan person is rooted in nothing other than God’s creative action and grace. The titles of the four chapters of this part further indicate explicitly that the Prophet’s qadr is made known and disclosed by God. In order to be apprehended and known by mankind, the exalted and immense reality of the Prophet’s status needs to be made manifest through God’s manifestation (iẓhār), in order to become intelligible for human understanding.

30 ‘Iyāḍ, Shifāʾ, 112. The earliest source for this ḥadīth is al-Ḥākim al-Nisabūrī, (m. 425/1034) al-Mustadrak ‘alā al-Ṣaḥīḥayn, kitab al-tafsīr, No 3042. For the various sources of this ḥadīth and the controversy it gave rise, see Ibn al-ʿAlawī, Maṣāḥīḥ, 129. As we will see later, this understanding of the term of qadr is further confirmed by al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ’s use of the term of haqq/haqūq (rights), which is also mentioned in this ḥadīth, but for which he probably was inspired by al-Muhāsibī’s work on Sufism, al-Riʿāya li-ḥaqūq Allāh (The observance of the rights of God).

31 ‘Iyāḍ, Shifāʾ, 19. See also, 16, where the author explains how he has structured the Shifāʾ.

32 Al-Khaṭāfī explains that “the magnifications of God indicate the nearness (qurb) of the Prophet to Him and that who loves Him, has to make [the Prophet] his utmost concern as if the Prophet was always with God” (Nasīm, 1, 92).
This way of presenting the evidence of the Prophet’s status is consistent with the Ash’ari conception of prophecy as a divine gift. However, al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ in fact thinks through the Ash’ari approach to prophethood to its end, and at the same time establishes in this way the theological basis of the Prophet’s pre-eminence as well as of the practice of his veneration. Considering prophecy as a divine gift, and thus as the result of God’s work of grace and not of man’s moral or intellectual excellence, has precise consequences for the possibility of prophetological knowledge: if the reality of prophethood has a supra-human cause and reason, it cannot be explained in purely human or naturalistic terms, and therefore it is necessarily beyond human understanding. This entails the incapacity of the human mind to grasp the reality of the Prophet’s status on its own. Mankind is in need of a divine communication as the only effective and truthful source for the knowledge of the reality of Muhammad’s qadr.

This conception of the reality of prophethood in general, and of Muḥammad’s prophetic dignity in particular, is further buttressed by the use of the adjective ʿaẓīm in order to qualify the qadr and the manzila of the Prophet Muḥammad. Firstly, because ʿaẓīm is considered to be one of God’s 99 “most beautiful names”. Secondly, because it is equally an attribute of the Qurʾān, that is, the divine word. And thirdly, because ʿaẓīm is associated in the Qurʾān with the inner nature, the khuluq, of the Prophet Muḥammad. The concept of ʿaẓīm then, denoting the idea of immensity and applied theologically to the incommensurability between the transcendent and the contingent, symbolises a commonality between God, the revelation and the Prophet. It is what they have in common, so to speak, even if the “Creator’s essence, names, acts and attributes” have nothing in common with those of created beings except for the

---

33 See Gardet, Théologie Musulmane, 179–83; Rahman, Prophecy, 96.
34 ‘Iyāḍ, Shifāʾ, 19, 21, and in particular 145 of the chapter “Concerning the God’s ennobling him with names from His own beautiful names and His qualifying him with His own attributes” where the author explains that “the meaning of ʿaẓīm is attributed to the one whose affair is elevated above everything else and God says ‘You are truly of an exalted character (khuluq ʿaẓīm; Q 68:4)’”.
35 See for example Q 2:225 and Gimaret, Noms divins, 238–40. According to Gimaret, “that which no intelligence can grasp is the al-ʿAzīm al-muṭlaq, God” (209).
36 See Q 15:87. The Study Quran, 652, translates “And We have indeed given thee the seven oft-repeated, and the Mighty Quran (al-Qurʾān al-ʿaẓīm)”. Q 68:4. The hadīth tradition confirms the characterisation of Muhammad’s prophetic personality with the Qurʾānic revelation, for example in the hadīth ‘His character was the Qurʾān’ (Muslim, al-Ṣaḥīḥ, Kitāb ʿalāṭ al-musāfirīn, bāb jāmʿ ʿalāṭ al-layl, N° 746.). See especially Gril, “Corps du Prophète”.
37 On this point, see also the considerations by Gril concerning “L’homme révélé” in “Révélation et inspiration”, 755.
correspondence of appellation (min jiḥat muwāfaqat al-lafẓ al-lafẓ)".\textsuperscript{39} It seems that it is this "correspondence" which al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ wants to stress by using the term ʿaẓīm in this context. And in fact, he uses it very often in various forms, the most frequent variation being the factitive form taʿẓīm, “God’s rendering the Prophet ʿaẓīm".\textsuperscript{40} The idea that God bestows certain effects or meanings of a divine attribute to the Prophet evidently has important consequences for the significance of the Muḥammadan person for the community of the believers. It seems as if the author of the Shifāʾ insinuates that the believer has to consider the Prophet’s significance for his salvation in an analogous manner to the significance of his faith in the Qur’ān and in God.\textsuperscript{41}

If the reality of Muḥammad’s prophetic dignity, and consequently the scope and nature of his pre-eminence, are not graspable by the human mind, the question remains: how can mankind obtain knowledge of them? In the following passage, the author of the Shifāʾ answers this question by distinguishing between the two categories of sources for the knowledge of the Prophet’s pre-eminence: “Amongst [the favours that God bestowed on the Prophet] are those which God enunciates explicitly (ṣarraḥa) in His book […] and those which He made manifest (abraza) to the eyes".\textsuperscript{42} Considering the chapters of the first part, it appears that the divine word corresponds to the revelation of the Qur’ān, and that divine action is represented by the creation of the Prophet’s miracles (muʿjizāt), character traits (maḥāsin khuluqan) and appearances (khalqan), these three latter categories corresponding to evidence which is empirically perceivable. The third chapter on “What is mentioned in sound and well-known reports (ṣaḥīḥ al-akhbār wa-mashhūrihā)" equally belongs to this category,\textsuperscript{44} in the sense that the hadīths are understood to be textual testimonies of the sunna which, according to the Sunnī tradition to which al-Qāḍī

\textsuperscript{39} ʿIyāḍ, Shifāʾ, 148. Al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ quotes here the Sufi and theologian Muḥammad b. Mūsā Abū Bakr al-Wāṣiṭī (d. ca. 320/932) who was a follower of Junayd al-Baghdādī and specialised in this theme. See Silvers, Soaring Minaret.

\textsuperscript{40} This expression actually figures in the title of the first part, see ʿIyāḍ, Shifāʾ, 19. There is also a long chapter in al-Bayhaqi’s (d. 458/1066) famous hadīth compilation Shuʿab al-imān, 11, 193–234, with the title taʿẓīm al-nabī, constituting “the fifteenth branch of faith".

\textsuperscript{41} This goes even so far that al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ deemed it necessary to add a subchapter about the incomparability between creator and Creator, even if no Muslim scholar would rank any being next to God, nor to God's word, see ʿIyāḍ, Shifāʾ, 148–49.

\textsuperscript{42} ʿIyāḍ, Shifāʾ p. 19.

\textsuperscript{43} Al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ uses the terms iẓhār (making manifest) for miracles and takmīl (making perfect) for the Prophet’s characters and appearance. In both cases, God is the sole agent.

\textsuperscript{44} ʿIyāḍ, Shifāʾ, 107–49.
'Iyāḍ counted himself, has the status of revelation (waḥy)\textsuperscript{45} and therefore is the result of divine agency.\textsuperscript{46} At the same time, these reports belong to "empirical" evidence in the sense that they claim to be based on visual or auditive testimonies. The same holds for the topics of the two other chapters, i.e., the Prophet's miracles and his personality, since these are manifested in history and knowable as historically transmitted reports (akhbār).\textsuperscript{47}

It is clear that the perspective on which these considerations are grounded presupposes a theological and occasionalist conception of history. This is not surprising, since such a conception is inherent to the ḥadīth tradition and to the dynamics of its transmission. As Abdallah Laroui points out, the ḥadīth constitutes a form of historical writing and conveys a theological conception of history, with "specific understandings of continuity, time, event, finality, etc."\textsuperscript{48} In this way, "history appears as a perfect unity, where the origin and the end, the promise and the accomplishment coincide".\textsuperscript{49} Hence, "the origin and the end of history are known, the sense of each event is already given, the historical account is, in its whole, merely a metaphor that the chronicler registers and the theologian interprets".\textsuperscript{50} So even if the author does not use the term "history", it is clear that these three chapters, dealing with evidence "which He made manifest to the eyes", concern evidence from history if the latter is defined in theological terms as salvation history or as the working of God in human history. As al-Qāḍī 'Iyāḍ is never tired to repeat, the events and qualities mentioned in these chapters are created by God and have their origin in His acts.

To resume this significant point, the structure of the first part shows how the Shifāʾ argues that the two sources for knowledge of the Prophet's reality are revelation and salvation history, corresponding respectively to God's word and

\textsuperscript{45} However, it seems that the Qurʾān and the sunna belong to two different categories, the first being in reality a purely scriptural revelation the scriptural form of which is part of the divine revelation itself, whereas the sunna is revelation through God's inspiration of the Prophet's words, acts and consents, so not scriptural in the strict sense. This would explain why the third chapter does not belong to the category of evidence from the divine word, but to the category of evidence from divine acts.

\textsuperscript{46} For al-Qāḍī 'Iyāḍ's elaboration on this point, see Vimercati Sanseverino, "Transmission, ethos".

\textsuperscript{47} Al-Khayājī interprets this passage in very similar sense, but includes the ḥadīth qudsī to the first category, see \textit{Nasām}, 1, 114–15.

\textsuperscript{48} \textit{Islam et Histoire}, 38, see also Nagel, "Vernichtung der Geschichte".

\textsuperscript{49} Laroui, \textit{Islam et Histoire}, 94.

to His acts. As a consequence, the thematical and argumentative structure of the first part of the Shifā’ represents the following scheme:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 5.1 Sources for the knowledge of the Prophet’s reality in the first part of the Shifā’</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part 1: God making manifest the Prophet’s pre-eminence ...</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– through word (qawlan) – ch. 1: Qur’anic evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– and act (fi’lan) – ch. 2: evidence from the Prophet’s appearance and character traits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– ch. 3: evidence from testimonies regarding his pre-eminence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– ch. 4: evidence from his miracles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.2 God’s Word and Revelation

Looking now more closely at the first chapter, “Regarding God’s praise of him (the Prophet) and His making manifest the Prophet’s exalted status with Him (izhārihi ‘azīm qadrihi ladayhi)”, the word izhārihi requires special attention. Expressing the idea that it is God who makes the eminent dignity of the Prophet evident and manifest, it can be considered the hermeneutical key of the Qur’ānic exegesis that al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ develops in the Shifā’. In fact, he is not the first who expounds the idea that God reveals in the Qur’ān the eminent dignity of the Prophet Muḥammad. For example, in Kharkūshī’s (d. 406/1015) Sharaf al-Muṣṭafā there is a whole section about “The nobleness of the Prophet according to the Qur’ān”. And Abū Nu‘aym al-Iṣbahānī (d. 430/1039) begins his Dalā’il al-nubuwwa with a chapter titled “What God revealed in his book about the Prophet’s precedence (faḍlihi)”, that is, the favour bestowed on him by God. This idea has also been expressed in other genres. Shāfi‘ī speaks in his famous Risāla about “God’s clarification of the station in which He put His Emissary” in order to argue the soteriological and therefore normative meaning of the prophetic Sunna. Further, the idea that the Qur’ān unveils the

---

52 Kharkūshī, Sharaf al-Muṣṭafā, IV, 93–190.
54 Lowry, Epistle on legal theory, 27, 63. This important work is an example illustrating how prophetological themes elaborated in the Shifā’ are in fact already present in various Islamic discourses: “God has rescued us from demise through [the Prophet Muḥammad] and placed us in ‘the best community brought forth for the people’ (Q 3:110), adherents of His religion, the religion of which He approved and for which He elected His angels and those of His creatures whom He graced. No act of grace has touched us – whether
Prophet’s reality reminds one of Sahl al-Tustarī’s Sufi exegesis of the prophetic light,⁵⁵ which al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ cites at some places in the Shifāʾ.⁵⁶

What distinguished al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ is that he makes this idea, namely that the Qurʾān expounds the Prophet’s exalted status, the very foundation of his prophetology in both its doctrinal and practical dimensions. Accordingly, the purpose of revelation and meaning of God’s speaking to mankind is not limited to the proclamation of divine attributes and commands, but equally includes the disclosure of the Prophet’s status (qadr), and of his “rights” (ḥuqūq). As the Qurʾān presents itself as guidance (hudā),⁵⁷ this means that for al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ this prophetological knowledge is part of divine guidance and therefore belongs to those essential issues that humanity needs to know for the attainment of salvation. In other words, the knowledge of the Prophet’s exalted status is part of the Qurʾānic message and therefore of God’s ultimate message to mankind.

The Qurʾānic evidence that al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ presents about Muḥammad’s exalted status is manifold. It concerns the event of revelation as an act of divine communication itself, as well as the content of this communication and its linguistic form and style. Regarding the first aspect, the event of revelation, al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ treats it mostly in the chapter on the Qurʾānic miracle (iʿjāz al-Qurʾān) which we will discuss below when treating the chapter on miracles. In this first chapter, though, the focus is largely on how God addresses Himself to the Prophet and how He speaks about him. So, we are told how the Qurʾān does in fact involve God’s praise (al-thanā’) of the Prophet Muḥammad. When God qualifies him as the instrument of His mercy (raḥma)⁵⁸ and favour, when He names him “light” and “a lamp spreading light”,⁵⁹ etc. He does so in order to unveil the exalted dignity and the pre-eminence of the Prophet. In the third

outwardly or inwardly, and through which we attain benefit in religion and this world or have averted from us what is evil in one or both of them – of which Muḥammad was not the cause, the leader to its blessing, the guide to its proper route, the one who protects us from perdition […]” (Lowry, Epistle on Legal Theory, 9).


⁵⁶ See for example Shifāʾ, 23. Tustarī is also quoted regularly in other contexts, for example with regard to the spiritual meaning of the ittibāʿ (Shifāʾ, 226, 230, 232). According to his son (Taʿrīf, 42), he even received an isnād of Tustarī’s sayings through Abū ‘Aṭīa al-Ṣadafī (d. 514/1120), one of his most important teachers in ḥadīth (see Shawwāṭ, Ālim al-Maghrib, 76–78; Turābī, Juhūduhu fi ‘ilm al-ḥadīth, 126–132).

⁵⁷ See for example Q 2:2.

⁵⁸ ‘Iyāḍ, Shifāʾ, 22.

⁵⁹ ‘Iyāḍ, Shifāʾ, 23.
sub-chapter, for example, “What God’s speech (khīṭāb) to him contains of tender treatment (mulāṭafa) and benevolence (mabarra),”\textsuperscript{60} the author makes use of classical exegetical resources in order to bring out the singular delicacy of God’s dealing with the Prophet, even when rebuking him.

Considering the various themes of the first chapter, including Qurʾānic oaths,\textsuperscript{61} the allusions to the Prophet’s role as a witness of mankind,\textsuperscript{62} to his rank amongst the prophets,\textsuperscript{63} or to his divine protection and the protection from divine punishment through him,\textsuperscript{64} there appears a vision of the Qurʾān which presents it as an intimate dialogue between God and His most beloved creature. Al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ visibly seeks to make evident the intimacy that characterises the relationship between God and his Prophet as it appears in the Qurʾānic text. For the author of the \textsl{Shifāʾ}, this shows the Prophet’s nearness to God, expressed in a privileged and unique relationship that characterises him in an exclusive way so that no other creature enjoys a comparable relationship with Him.

This opens a distinctive prophetological hermeneutics of the Qurʾānic text which had not been developed systematically.\textsuperscript{65} He invites the reader to read the Qurʾān along this line and argues that, in this way, the Qurʾān becomes the principal source of knowledge of the Prophet’s reality. As his usual fashion, the author insists that this has quite practical implications for the reader: “It is incumbent upon every Muslim who struggles against his lower soul and whose character is restrained by the bridles of sacred law that he educates himself through the education of the Qurʾān (\textit{adab al-Qurʾān}) in all his words, acts, endeavours and engagements, because it is the archetype of truthful insights and the garden of worldly and religious education”\textsuperscript{66}

\textsuperscript{60} ‘Iyāḍ, \textsl{Shifāʾ}, 29–31.
\textsuperscript{61} ‘Iyāḍ, \textsl{Shifāʾ}, 31–36.
\textsuperscript{62} ‘Iyāḍ, \textsl{Shifāʾ}, 26–29.
\textsuperscript{63} ‘Iyāḍ, \textsl{Shifāʾ}, 37–39.
\textsuperscript{64} ‘Iyāḍ, \textsl{Shifāʾ}, 39–40.
\textsuperscript{65} As already mentioned, it is obvious that al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ took up certain chapters from the \textit{Dalāʾil al-nubuwwa} literature, in particular from al-ʿĪṣahānī, \textit{Dalāʾil al-nubiyya}, 39–48, which shows strong similarities with the \textsl{Shifāʾ} in this respect, and the chapter on “The nobleness of the Prophet in the Qurʾān” of Kharkūshī, \textit{Sharaf al-Muṣṭafā} IV, p. 93–179, which develops both aspects mentioned above through the description of the Prophet’s singularity, and God’s oaths on him (IV, p. 180–185). However, on these themes, the \textsl{Shifāʾ} appears to be much more elaborate than previous works.
\textsuperscript{66} ‘Iyāḍ, \textsl{Shifāʾ}, 29–30.
2.3 God’s Acts and Salvation History

Turning now to the second category of evidence for the Prophet’s preeminence, i.e. the signs “which God made manifest (abraza) to the eyes”, the question of how God’s acts on the Prophet, as manifested in salvation history, are to be considered as sources of prophetological knowledge, is most obvious in the case of the prophetic miracles (al-mu’jizāt). Al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ devotes a very long chapter of Part 1 to this topic, with the title “Concerning the miracles which God made appear through his [i.e. the Prophet’s] hands, and the singularities and favours through which He honoured him”. As explained by Daniel Gimaret, in Ash’arī theology, the “miracle has the purpose of attesting the veracity (ṣidq) of the one in whom it is manifested” so that miracles are considered to be proofs of the authenticity of prophecy. However, the probative force of miracles has been put into question by philosophers and theologians alike. Al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ apparently sticks to the usual Ash’arī concept as a doctrinal position. In the introductory section dealing with the meaning of prophecy, revelation and miracle, he explains that “the miracle along with the challenge [to the deniers of Muḥammad’s prophecy] (ṭaḥaddī) takes the place of God’s statement “my servant has said the truth, so be obedient to him, follow him and attest to his veracity in what he says”.

At the same time, the author seems to admit the relativity of the probative force of miracles when he states:

What we have presented of the Prophet’s beautiful qualities, of testimonies of his state, the truthfulness of his sayings [...] has been enough for more than one for his submission (islāmihi) and faith in him. We have narrated from Tirmidhī and Ibn Qānī’ and others through their lines of transmission, that ʿAbdallāh Ibn Salām (d. 43/663), when he entered

---

67 ‘Iyāḍ, Shifāʾ, 19.
68 Al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ elaborates a precise definition of this term as “that what a creature is incapable of performing”, either “because of God’s act disabling them (ta’jīzuhum ‘anhu fī’l li-llāh)” or because “its being beyond human capacities (khārij ‘an qudratihim)” (Shifāʾ, 153).
70 Gimaret, Ash’tarī, 461–462.
71 See Gardet, Théologie musulmane, 197–201. Ibn Rushd and even scholars like Ibn Taymiyya criticised the theologians’ claim that Muhammad’s miracles prove his prophethood. Even Ghazālī differed on this point from early Ash’arīs. On “Prophetic Miracles and the Unchanging Nature of God’s Habit” in Ghazali’s thought and its reception, see Griffel, Philosophical Theology, 194–201.
72 ‘Iyāḍ, Shifāʾ, 152.
73 A known Rabbi of Medina who converted to Islam.
Medina, reported that “I came to him in order to see him and when his face appeared clearly to me, I knew that his face cannot be the face of a liar.”

A little further on, al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ cites an interpretation of a passage from the Qur’ānic light verse: “Its oil would almost glow forth, though no fire touched it” (Q 24:35). He states that “this is a parable set by God for His Prophet meaning that his sole sight (manzaruhu) would indicate his prophethood without the Qur’ān having been recited by him, as Ibn Rawāḥa (d. 8/629) declared: ‘Even if he had not possessed clear signs, his mere sight had proclaimed the message’.” Rather than the miracles in themselves, it is God’s working of grace on the personality of Muḥammad which constitutes the most manifest evidence of his prophetic dignity.

In light of the fact that, as stated before, the Shifāʾ was written for Muslims, it becomes clear that the chapter on miracles has no apologetical or polemical purpose, but an edificatory one. Miracles are integrated into the discourse about the Prophet Muḥammad’s pre-eminence. The probative value of the miracles is not the real subject here. The function of the miraculous powers that God granted to His Prophet is to unveil the latter’s eminence, his ‘ażīm qadr. From this perspective, miracles are essentially palpable signs of the Prophet’s nearness to God and of the privileged relationship the Prophet enjoys with the Creator of mankind.

74 ‘Iyāḍ, Shifāʾ, 150.
75 A Companion from Medina and secretary of the Prophet, known for his poetry.
76 ‘Iyāḍ, Shifāʾ, 151.
77 It is interesting that al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ did not refer to Ghazālī’s doctrine. As Frank Griffel explains, “in his autobiography, for instance, al-Ghazālī says that the experience (tajriba) of the positive effects of a prophet’s work on one’s soul generates necessary knowledge (‘ilm ʿdarūri) of his prophecy. In this case, the judgment of experience is established by the repeated concomitance between performing the Prophet’s ritual prescriptions and the positive effects this practice has on one’s soul. That resulting judgment, namely, that Muhammad can effectively heal the soul through his revelation, establishes certainty about prophecy (yaqīn ʿilmī) and results in belief that equals the power of knowledge (al-imān al-qawī al-ʿilmī)” (Philosophical Theology, 208).
78 It is possible that the author of the Shifāʾ in fact develops here the idea already present in ʿIṣbahānī’s “Evidences of prophecy” that miracles indicate the Prophet’s status and position with God: “God almighty supported Muḥammad with what he had not supported any one of the two worlds, and He distinguished him with what surpassed the boundaries of the miracles of the prophets and the stations of the saints for the signs of prophecy are in accordance with his [i.e. the prophet’s] station and position with God. There is no sign (āya) and no indicator (ʿalāma) more exceptional and more marvellous than the signs
While the various sub-chapters treat the different categories of prophetic miracles which can be found in previous Dalāʾīl al-nubuwwa works, the iʿjāz al-Qurʾān⁷⁹ is presented as the most significant miracle and thus the most evident indication of the Prophet’s pre-eminence.⁸⁰ But unlike in the first chapter, it is not the content of the Qurʾān which is taken here as evidence of the Prophet’s pre-eminence, but the very fact of its revelation to him. The iʿjāz applies principally to the Qurʾān’s language, composition and content,⁸¹ but also to the impact that the Qurʾān continues to exercise on both those who contest it and those who believe in it. Whereas the first are faced with the impossibility of profaning it and continue to be affected by perplexity when listening to it,⁸² the believers continue to experience the “sweetness of its recitation” without ever getting annoyed by it.⁸³ In the argumentative framework of the Shifāʾ, the miraculous character of the Qurʾān and its singularity amongst revealed scriptures is evidence for the pre-eminence of its receiver and transmitter, that is the Prophet Muḥammad. An interesting aspect of this argument is the continuing actuality of this miracle which is stressed by the author,⁸⁴ as it indicates that the miraculous character of the Qurʾān remains a source for prophetological knowledge even after the Prophet’s death. It is, so to say, evidence that never ceases to speak of the Prophet’s pre-eminence to the believers, in any time and place.

In the third chapter on evidence from the hadīth, the topics treated are the Prophet’s election, his night journey and ascension (al-‘isrāʾ wa al-miʿrāj), his
eschatological pre-eminence, his superiority vis-à-vis the other prophets, and the favours attached to his names, in particular through their relation to God’s attributes. The section dedicated to the night journey and ascension occupies the most space. It has an evident interreligious significance for, amongst other things, Muḥammad’s night journey involves the demonstration of his role as leader and chief of the prophets in Jerusalem. Moreover, the author discusses at length the controversial questions of whether the Prophet undertook the ascension with his body and in the state of wakefulness, both of which affirmed, taking thereby a markedly traditionalist stance within the theological discussions. Al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ equally dedicates a whole sub-chapter to Muḥammad’s vision (ruʿya) of God, to their conversation (munājāt) and to his extreme rapprochement (al-dunuww) to Him. While mentioning, in his usual fashion, the different opinions on these issues, the author of the Shifāʾ himself argues that reason and scripture establish that the Prophet saw his Lord, that he conversed with Him without any intermediary, and that he was in proximity to God as no other creature before or after him:

In truth, the extreme rapprochement (dunuww) has no limit and the rapprochement of the Prophet to his Lord and his proximity to Him is a clear elucidation of his exalted status and of the ennoblement of his rang, as well as of the shining [on him] of the lights of knowledge of God and of the vision of the secrets of His incommensurability and power; from God this [rapprochement] means benevolence, intimacy, generosity and honouring [...] .

In last analysis, the Prophet’s vision of God marks his singular status amongst mankind, since this favour has not been accorded to anyone before him: “Of every sign that prophets have received, our Prophet has received its equivalent

---

85 ‘Iyāḍ, Shifāʾ, 128–39. This topic, which is beyond our scope here, has a major importance for the argument of the Shifāʾ.
87 Al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ engages in a lengthy discussion about the variant narrations of this event. For a study of the sources of the narration and their reception, see Colby, Narrating Muḥammad’s Night Journey.
88 Shifāʾ, 120–22.
89 Shifāʾ, 122–26. On this issue in particular, see Van Ess, “Miʿrāj”.
90 ‘Iyāḍ, Shifāʾ, 126.
92 ‘Iyāḍ, Shifāʾ, 127.
and he is distinguished amongst them through the favour of the direct vision [of God]”.93

Considering the place conceded to both themes, revelation and ascension appear, amongst the evidence accessible through testimonies in history, as the most valuable signs allowing knowledge of the Prophet Muḥammad’s qadr. It seems that, for the author of the Shifā’, the descent of God’s word and the ascension to His proximity make God’s work on the Muḥammadan personality visible in the most manifest and effective manner.94

3 Love for the Prophet

Besides the exegetical and theological elaboration of a prophetology based on the notion of qadr, the second particularity of the Shifā’ consists in the exposition of the meaning of the Prophet’s eminence for the religious life of the believers through the notion of ḥaqq/huqūq figuring in the title of the book. The eminent status of the Muḥammad’s prophetic personality requires the adoption of a certain attitude and behaviour towards him. In other words, it requires the fulfilment of the duties defined by the “rights (huqūq) of the Prophet” such as faith (īmān) in him, obedience (tā’a) to him, taking him as orientation (ittibā’), veneration and respect (tawqīr) of the sacred character (ḥurma) of his person, praying for him, visiting his grave, and respecting people associated with him, such as the members of his family and his descendants.

Amongst these rights, the ḥaqq which demands love for him (maḥabba) represents one of the core elements of the Shifā’. As we have seen, the author indicated that the strengthening of the Muslim community’s love for the Prophet Muhammad constituted the principal purpose of writing the Shifā’. It is therefore not surprising that the chapter on love represents perhaps the most elaborated one among those dealing with the Prophet’s rights. Concerned with the substantial driving force and the fulfilment of the believer’s relationship to the Prophet, it is situated in the middle of the book and thematically binds together the different parts while representing their topical climax. Indeed, al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ himself emphasises the pivotal significance of love by arguing that the fulfilment of the other rights results from it:

93 ʿIyāḍ, Shifā’, 124. The statement is attributed by the author to Ahmad Ibn Ḥanbal.
94 In both cases, one can indeed speak of a “transfiguration” of the prophetic personality, see Gril, “Corps du Prophète”, 49, who speaks of “consummation” and Van Ess, “Mi‘rāj”, 29–30, who prefers to speak of “glorification”.

Know that whoever loves anything, gives preference to it and prefers to conform to it; otherwise he is not sincere in his love and is just pretending. He who is sincere in his love for the Prophet, its sign (ʾalāma) will appear on him. The first sign consists in his taking [the Prophet] as a model, applying his Sunna, following him in his words and acts, complying with his orders and shunning the things he prohibited, observing his way of behaviour (adab) in hardship and relief, in adversity and prosperity. The witness of this is God's word: “Say: If you love God follow me and God will love you” (Q 3:31).

3.1 The Prophet’s “Rights” (ḥuqūq al-nabī) and the Love That Is Due to Him

Despite the very obvious originality of the concept of ḥuqūq al-nabī, there is no discussion in research about this notion or about this part of the Shifāʾ. Al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ himself does not offer any explication; he simply develops what these ḥuqūq are and what they imply for the religious life of Muslims. However, the relevance of the theme is rhetorically justified in the introduction through the demand of an unknown person to write a compilation including the definition of what the Prophet Muhammad “is entitled to (mā yajibu lahu) as regards respect (tawqīr) and honouring (ikrām)”.

Furthermore, in the hadīth already mentioned in which Adam supplicates God after the expulsion from paradise, the notion of ḥaqq Muḥammad is already present. Although here it does not explicitly refer to the relationship of the believers to the Prophet, but is rather to be understood in the sense of the Prophet’s “reality” and the singular consideration that God has for him. In any case, the narration shows the relation between the concept of qadr, which is more directly alluded to in the hadīth, and the concept of ḥuqūq: Both ensue from the consideration that God has for the Prophet Muḥammad. The use of the term ḥaqq in the sense of “right” is further supported by its use in the hadīth literature. Probably even more significant is the fact that al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ quotes a passage from the Qurʾānic exegesis...
of the Sufi and ḥadīth scholar Abū ‘Abd al-Rahmān al-Sulamī (d. 412/1021) with the expression “the negligence of his [the Prophet’s] right (ihmāl ḥaqqiḥī)”.99

As Anver Emon explains, the term ḥaqq (pl. ḥuqūq) has a complex meaning in Islamic thought: “Among the definitions advanced by premodern lexicographers, one is that the term ḥaqq refers to something incumbent upon one to do (ḥaqq ‘alayya an af’ala dhālik). [...] The term ḥaqq signifies both an obligation on one person and a claim of right on another.”100 As for the “rights of God” and the “rights of individuals”, these “constitute a legal heuristic that jurists used to ensure that the shari‘a as a rule of law system upholds and, when necessary, balances both society’s needs (i.e. the social good) and private interests.”101

However, the legal meaning of ḥuqūq is probably less significant for al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ’s notion of the Prophet’s rights, even if the last chapter of the Shifāʾ and the issue of blasphemy seems to have some relevance to the relation between the private and public dimensions of socioreligious taboos. The ḥuqūq al-nābī rather remind of al-Muḥāṣibi’s (d. 243/857) concept of ḥuqūq Allāh which he expounded in his famous treatise al-Riʿāya li-ḥuqūq Allāh (“The observance of the rights of God”). The text was widely read in the circles frequented by al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ102 and constituted, next to al-Ghazālī’s Ihyā’, the major reference of spiritual practice in the Maghreb of the sixth/twelfth century.103 The originality of the work resides in the fact that al-Muḥāṣibi establishes the concept of the ḥuqūq of God as a key concept of Islamic spirituality:

What concerns the question of the rights (ḥuqūq) of God, know that this is an enormously important matter which is neglected by most men of our time. For God has taken His prophets and saints into custody because of this matter, as they respected His covenant and obeyed His commands. [...] So God has ordered His servants to respect and fulfil every right (ḥaqq) He imposed on them, whether it concerns themselves or their fellow human beings. [...] God has made the respect of these rights the key for blessing in this and in the other world [...], so all creatures are bound

100 Emon, “Ḥuqūq Allāh”.
101 Emon, “Ḥuqūq Allāh”, 327.
102 The author of the Shifāʾ has studied the work of Muḥāṣibi with the Andalusian Sufi Muhammad Ibn Khamīs Abū ‘Abdallāh al-Sūfī, amongst others, as he mentions in his intellectual auto-biography, remarking that “I used to sit with him very often” (al-Ghunya, 92).
103 See Vimercati Sanseverino, Fès et sainteté, 535–537. According to Casewit (Mytics of Andalus, 32), the Riʿāya was introduced in al-Andalus by the theologian and poet Aḥmad al-Ilbīrī (d. 429/1037). See also Vizcaino, Obras de zuhd, 427.
to know the rights of God, with all their requirements, moments, purposes, duties and their order.\footnote{Muḥāsibī, *al-Rūʿaya*, 37–38. It should be noted that for Muḥāsibī, these rights are not limited to the accomplishment of ritual or legal norms, but include spiritual attitudes such as sincerity, confidence and awareness.}

By putting the notion of ḥuqūq at the centre of religious life, Muḥāsibī identifies it as a core issue of prophetic missions and of the history of salvation. The diagnosis that these rights were neglected by his contemporaries led Muḥāsibī to write a book on this theme. Moreover, the passage shows clearly how he transposes this notion into the domain of spiritual practice and thus makes evident the correlation between spirituality and normativity. Al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ’s use of the term of ḥuqūq and its application to the Prophet\footnote{However, al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ is not the first author to have made this transposition, even if he is the one who developed it in a systematic manner as the key notion of the normative aspect of his prophetology. In the sub-chapter “On his affection for his community and compassion for it”, which is part of the chapter on “Love for the Prophet” of his *Shuʿab al-īmān*, Bayhaqī quotes his source Abū ʿAbdallāh al-Ḥusayn al-Ḥalīmī (d. 403/1012): “If the reasonable person reflects on the benefits that God conferred on His servants through the Prophet in this world, and what He conferred to them through his favour of his intercession in the other world, he knows that there is no right, after the rights of God, more incumbent (lā ḥaqqqa awjāb) than the right of the Prophet” (*Shuʿab al-īmān*, 11, 165). See also Bayhaqī, *Shuʿab al-īmān*, 11, 193.} is to be understood in the same line. It implies that the rights of the Prophet belong to those duties which God asks His servants to fulfil and which have been neglected by the contemporary Muslim community.

This sheds some light on the somewhat unexpected idea that love for the Prophet constitutes a “right”. The implicit analogy between love for God and for the Prophet is certainly voluntary. It is based on the idea that man’s relationship to God cannot be considered independently from his relation to the Prophet; the quality of the first is conditioned by the quality of the latter. This is so, because God has established the Prophet as the intermediary and mediator between mankind and Himself,\footnote{Al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ explicitly uses this theologically strong expression of intermediary (al-wāsīta), notably in order to explain the meaning of Muhammad’s human nature and of the inner reality of his prophetic authority, see *Shifāʾ*, 277.} a fact which, according to al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ’s line of argumentation, is demonstrated by the eminence that God accorded to the Prophet Muḥammad.

Al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ corroborates his elaboration on the normative character of the love for the Prophet Muḥammad with scriptural evidence that is usually related to the theme. Of course, the theme of love for the Prophet as such is not
new. It forms the topic of sub-chapters in various hadīth compilations, such as Muslim’s Ṣaḥīḥ. In this context, love for the Prophet appears to be a sign of the quality of one’s faith, or the condition for its plenitude. In the Ṣḥifā, al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ quotes these hadīths in the same sense, most importantly the hadīth “None of you has faith until he loves me more than his child, his father and the whole of mankind”, and in another version “None of you has faith until he loves me more than his own soul [or: than himself]”. What is new in al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ presentation is that love for the Prophet is not simply related to faith, but that it is specified as an attitude that is due to the Prophet in virtue of his eminence, in analogy to the rights of God the fulfilment of which represents the core of Islamic spirituality. This argument becomes more explicit in al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ’s considerations on the meaning and source of love for the Prophet Muḥammad.

3.2  Meaning and Source of Love for the Prophet (maḥabbat al-nabī)
Similar to the concept of huqūq al-nabī, al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ’s elaboration of the theme of love for the Prophet, appears to be a creative adaptation of the theme of love for God, besides being the first of its kind in doctrinal sophistication and depth. By the time and within the milieu of al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ, Ghazālī’s elaboration of the theme in his Iḥyāʾ constituted the primary doctrinal reference. In fact, it appears very clearly that the author of the Shifā’ drew inspiration in

---

107 Cf. Muslim, Ṣaḥīḥ, Kitāb al-īmān, chapter 16 “Concerning the obligation of love for the Messenger of God”.
108 See below.
109 ‘Iyāḍ, Ṣḥifā’, 232. Both versions can be found in Bukhārī, Ṣaḥīḥ, kitāb al-īmān, bāb ḥubb al-rasul min al-īmān, N° 15 and kitāb al-aymān wa al-nudhūr, bāb kayfa kānat yamīn al-nabī, N° 6257. Al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ gives his own isnād for the first version with a slight variation in the order between “father (wālid)” and “child (walad)” corresponding to the version of Muslim, Ṣaḥīḥ, kitāb al-īmān, bāb wujūb maḥabbat al-rasūl, N° 70. In his commentary of Muslim’s Ṣaḥīḥ, Al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ offers an interesting explanation of the two hadīth mentioned in this sub-chapter: “The categories of love are three: love due to reverence and exaltation as love for the father, love due to mercy and affection as love for the child, and love due to benefaction (istiḥsān) and likeness (mushākala) as love between people. And the Prophet reunites all these in himself. [...] And from what we said it ensues that faith does not become complete without realising (taḥqīq) the elevated dignity (qadr) and rank of the Prophet above every father and child as well as above every benefactor. Whoever is not convinced of this and believes something else is not a believer (layṣa bi-muʾmin)” (Ikmāl al-Muʿallim, I, 280–81).
110 His important teacher Abū Bakr Ibn al-ʿArabī (d. 543/1148) is, among the contemporaries of Ghazālī, “the most important source of information about al-Ghazālī’s life and his teachings” (Griffel, Philosophical Theology, 62). On the role of Ibn al-ʿArabī for the evolution of theological thinking in the Islamic West, see Serrano, Diffusion.
several ways from the magnus opus of the great Persian theologian and Sufi. Similar to Muslim philosophers inspired by Neo-Platonism, Ghazâlî argues that the knowledge of an object or a person increases love for it.\textsuperscript{111} This elucidates very well the argumentative connection between the first and the second part of the Shifâʾ in view of its overall purpose: the knowledge of the Prophet’s qadr expounded in the first part leads the reader to increase his love for him.

Al-Qâḍî ‘Iyâḍ first defines love in a chapter on God’s love for the Prophet Muḥammad as it is expressed in the latter’s specific designation ḥabīb Allâh (“the beloved of God”) mentioned in a hadîth:\textsuperscript{112}

\begin{quote}
The root (aṣl) of love is the inclination towards what is in harmony with the lover (al-mayl ilâ mâ yuwâfiq al-muḥibb).\textsuperscript{113} As for God’s love for a creature, it means enabling him to attain felicity, protecting him, guiding him to success, providing him with the means to draw closer to Him, and bestowing His grace upon him, and finally to take away the veil from his heart so that he perceives Him (yarâhu) with his heart and gazes (yanẓura ilayhi) towards Him with his inner sight (baṣīra), until he is as [God] said in the hadîth [qudsî] ‘and when I love him, I am his hearing through which he hears, his sight through which he sees, and his tongue through which he speaks [...]’.\textsuperscript{114}
\end{quote}

If applied to God’s supreme object of love, which according to al-Qâḍî ‘Iyâḍ is the Prophet Muḥammad, the hadîth shows how to love the Prophet means to love the one whose whole being is animated and transcended by God’s love.

The chapter on love for the Prophet deals more specifically with mankind’s love for the Prophet Muḥammad. Here al-Qâḍî ‘Iyâḍ devotes a complete sub-chapter to the “meaning (ma’nâ) of love for the Prophet”.\textsuperscript{115} Again, Ghazâlî’s

\begin{footnotes}
\item[111] Ghazâlî, Iḥyāʾ, IV, 392. “It is not possible to imagine the existence of love except after perception and knowledge; hence, the human being loves only what he knows”. See also Abrahamov, Divine Love, 70.
\item[112] ‘Iyâḍ, Shifâʾ, 130–133: “[…] am I not the beloved of God, without any pride?”. There is no full isnâd given by al-Qâḍî ‘Iyâḍ, but the hadîth is to be found amongst others in the Sunan of Tirmidhî, Kitâb al-manâqib, bāb ʿi faḍl al-nabî, N° 3616.
\item[113] ‘Iyâḍ, Shifâʾ, 132. It is possible to translate also “the inclination towards what corresponds to the lover”.
\item[114] Shifâʾ, 132. The hadîth is transmitted by al-Bukhârî, Sahîh, kitâb al-raqâʾiq, bâb al-tawâduʾ, N° 6137. Al-Ghazâlî also cites it in his section on “God’s love for the servant” and discusses it at length in order to develop the concept of qurb or nearness to God through the assimilation of divine attributes as expressed in the prophetic “noble characters” (makârîm al-akhlâq), Iḥyâʾ, IV, 431–33, and also 405.
\item[115] Shifâʾ, 238–39.
\end{footnotes}
elaboration of the meaning and sources of love for God seems to have informed the argumentative basis of the *Shifāʿ*. For Ghazâlî, love is the supreme spiritual station to which all the other spiritual stations aim and thus it is the climax of man’s relationship to God. His intention is to prove that only God is worthy of love and that every kind of love has its real origin in love for God. He enumerates five causes (*asbâb*) of love in general, and corresponding causes of the love for God in particular. Al-Qâdî ‘Iyâd does not transpose all of these five causes to the Prophet, but chooses three of them and adapts them, since the five causes of love for God are based on the relationship between Creator and created. The author of the *Shifāʿ* bases his elaboration on an albeit anthropologically orientated version of the definition of love mentioned above: “The inclination of the human being towards what is in harmony with him (al-*mayl* ilâ mâ yuwâfiq al-insân)”. He distinguishes three forms of the “harmony” (*muwâfaqa*) which engender love and correspond to the three reasons or sources of man’s love for something or somebody: 1) harmony consisting of the pleasure felt through the “perception (*idrâk*) of beautiful forms (al-*ṣuwar* al-*jamīla*)” through the senses, 2) or of the pleasure felt through “perception of noble interior meanings (ma‘ānī bāṭina sharīfa) through the intellect and the heart”, or 3) of the benevolence and the favour that it represents to him, for “the souls are fashioned in a way that they love the one who acts beautifully towards them (man aḥsana ilayhā)”. According to al-Qâdî ‘Iyâd, the Prophet Muhammad combines the three meanings/reasons of love in the most accomplished manner through 1) the beauty of his exterior appearance, 2) the perfection of his inner character, and 3) his favours and bounties on his community. The author of the *Shifāʿ* concludes that the Prophet is the worthiest creature of being truly beloved.

In this way, al-Qâdî ‘Iyâd is able to argue that love for the Prophet Muhammad is not something which needs to be imposed from the exterior, but that it is anthropologically rooted. A sound knowledge of the Prophet’s eminence will naturally engender love for him in the human being, because the inclination for beauty is natural for humans. Ghazâlî’s definition of beauty, as “existence of all possible perfections in an object means its being in an utmost degree of

118 *Shifāʿ*, 238. See al-Ghazali’s definition of love: “Love is the expression for the natural inclination for a thing which produces pleasure”, *Iḥyâʾ*, IV, 392.
beauty," is influenced by Platonic and Stoic philosophy. It is certainly helpful to grasp this argument: if love is related to beauty and the pleasure produced by its perception, beauty is in reality an expression of perfection, so that love is ultimately engendered by perfection. In this sense, the perfection of the prophetic person is the reason for the pleasure that the perception of his beauty engenders in the one who has knowledge of it. For Ghazālī, the quality of being free from defects and vices necessitates love, which explains man’s love for prophets and righteous people and finds its perfection only in God.

Considering this argument, the connection in the Shifāʾ between Part II on the Prophet’s rights (ḥuqūq), and Part III on his infallibility (ʿiṣma) becomes clear: the fact of knowing that the Prophet is free from defects necessitates and increases love for him. While this quality finds its perfection in an absolute sense only in God as expounded by Ghazālī, in the domain of creation, it finds its perfection in the Prophet only. This line of thought shows how the theme of love to the Prophet is directly related to the consideration of the prophetic appearance and virtues expounded in Part I.

3.3 The Prophetic Appearance and the Perception of His Beautiful Forms

The second chapter of Part I, “On God’s perfecting [the Prophet’s] physical and interior qualities and His singularly unifying all religious and worldly favours in him”, is explicitly addressed to the “lover of this noble Prophet and seeker of the details of the beauty of his exalted status”. The various sub-chapters consist mainly of thematically arranged hadiths and draw the image of a human being endowed by God with the realisation of perfection in every aspect of its existence. This perfection, however, not only has an anthropological significance as it establishes the Prophet as a human ideal worthy of emulation. It equally conveys a prophetological meaning related to divine revelation. If the descriptions of “the beauty of his physical constitution” reproduce late-antique Arabian aesthetic ideals, they also convey the transfiguration of the Muḥammadan being by the revelation of the divine word. His personality

122 Ghazālī, Iḥyāʾ, IV, 396. See also Abrahamov, Divine Love, 49.
123 Abrahamov, Divine Love, 56.
124 Al-mustaḥiq li-l-maḥabbah huwa Allāh wahdahu, “He who is worthy of love is God alone” (Ghazālī, Iḥyāʾ, IV, 398).
125 See also Bayhaqī who writes in his Shuʿab al-īmān “he [the Prophet Muḥammad] is the most worthy of love (huwa aḥaqqu bi-l-maḥabba)”, Shuʿab al-īmān, II, 133.
126 ʿIyāḍ, Shifāʾ, 44.
127 ʿIyāḍ, Shifāʾ, 44.
129 See especially the summary that al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ gives of the various detailed descriptions of his physical appearance, Shifāʾ, 46–47.
becomes a mirror of divine severity and awfulness (jalāl), and, at the same time, of His gentleness and beauty (jamāl).  

The testimonies of those contemporaries who had an intimate relationship with the Prophet, such as ʿAlī b. Abī Ṭālib, are particularly expressive: “Whoever saw him, he spontaneously had a reverential awe of him, and whoever mixed and got acquainted with him, loved him”, and “I have never witnessed his peer, either before or after him”. Abū Hurayra is reported to have said: “I saw none better and more beautiful (ahsan) than the Messenger of God. It was as if the sun was running in his face, and when he laughed, he radiated and his gleam reflected on the wall”. His hygienical and ritual “purity” manifested for example through the unique perfume that his body exhaled and through the innate conformity to the Abrahamic model of bodily hygiene, reflects the immaculateness of humanity’s primordial nature (al-fitra) which Islam claims to restore. As with the motive of physical beauty and harmony, al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ insists on the singularity of Muḥammad’s personality:

God favoured him with traits and attributes found in no one else, and then perfected them with the purity of revealed religion (naṣāfat al-shar’), and with the ten qualities of primordial nature (khīṣāl al-fitra al-ʿashr).

His superior intelligence and wisdom, and its manifestation through “the force of his senses” and his eloquence, shows how God’s grace was fully manifested in the personality of the Prophet Muḥammad. Al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ illustrates this by quoting, among others, the known transmitter of ḥadīth and of biblical material, Wahb Ibn al-Munabbih (d. 110/728 or 114/732):

---

130 Both notions, classically used to categorise the divine names, are regularly employed by al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ with regard to the Prophet Muhammad, for example Shifā‘, 44 where he evokes the “qualities of gentleness/beauty and perfection”, and Shifā‘, 45 where it is question of the Prophet’s “qualities of perfection and severity/awfulness”. See also Gril on “De la crainte révérentielle à l’amour” in “Attitude des Compagnons”, 33–37.

131 ‘Iyāḍ, Shifā‘, 48.


133 ‘Iyāḍ, Shifā‘ 48.

134 ‘Iyāḍ, Shifā‘, 48–51.

135 ‘Iyāḍ, Shifā‘, 48. The latter are not mentioned in detail, which shows that the author presumes that the reader knows the relevant ḥadīths. The same applies for the other descriptions of the Prophet’s appearance which he only summarises.


137 ‘Iyāḍ, Shifā‘, 53–60.
I have read seventy-one religious scriptures and they unanimously stated that compared to the intellect (al-ʿaql) of the Prophet, God has not allotted to mankind, from the beginning of this world to its end, but a grain of sand from the world’s sands.\footnote{138}

The theme of noble ascendance,\footnote{139} treated in the consecutive sub-chapter, connects the Prophet Muḥammad with the universal history of salvation and the community of prophets, sages and civilising heroes, and demonstrates the conclusiveness of his message.\footnote{140}

However, by presenting a detailed description of the Muḥammadan person, the Shifāʾ does not, in fact, introduce a wholly new theme, nor does this reflect an innovative conception of prophetology. In her groundbreaking study on the veneration of the Prophet in Islamic piety, Annemarie Schimmel has referred explicitly to the concept of the Prophet’s beauty, both “physical”\footnote{141} and “spiritual”\footnote{142} as an ongoing theme and preoccupation in Islamic thought, especially with ḥadīth scholars and Sufis. The specific conception of the Prophet’s body, to which the considerations of his physical beauty and perfect constitution are obviously related, can in fact be found well before the Shifāʾ. The particular significance of the Prophet’s body in Islamic sources has been elucidated by Denis Gril, who explains: “The body of the prophets, and of the Prophet in particular, therefore reveals qualities and virtues that transcend ordinary humanity, just as their lives are identified with the mission in which they are invested”.\footnote{143}

This is further explained by him as follows:

Thaumaturgical property of the prophetic body [...] goes hand in hand with the eschatological hope that attaches itself to it [...]. It is normal for a body through which the [divine] Word flows to be penetrated by its power of regeneration and healing. However, the attention given first by

\footnotesize{\begin{itemize}
\item \footnote{138} ‘Iyāḍ, Shifāʾ, 51.
\item \footnote{139} ‘Iyāḍ, Shifāʾ, 60–61.
\item \footnote{140} See Rubin, Eye of the Beholder, 7.
\item \footnote{141} On “The Prophet’s physical beauty”, see Schimmel, And Muḥammad, 33–45. Schimmel refers herself directly to al-ʿQāḍī ʿIyāḍ whom she qualifies falsely as “noted enemy of the Sufis” (33), repeating thereby a view that is based on a remark by al-Shaʿrānī (d. 973/1565) the origin of which “must be understood as a mix-up of historical facts, anecdotes and overly interpretative assumptions, chiefly motivated by concerns not related to ʿIyāḍ’s work, biography or legacy” (Eggen, “A book burner” 106).
\item \footnote{142} On “The Prophet’s spiritual beauty”, see Schimmel, And Muḥammad, 45–55. See also Andrae, Person Muhammeds, 199–228.
\item \footnote{143} Gril, “Corps du Prophète”, 37–57.
\end{itemize}}
the Companions and then by subsequent generations of Muslims to the physical aspect of the Prophet and his character is also explained by the idea that each of his traits may have meaning, in accordance with the laws of physiognomy, and that the impression of harmony and balance that emerges from his person reflects his physical and spiritual perfection.144

Luca Patrizi shows how a comparative perspective from the history of religions allows to understand the iconographic function of the descriptions of the prophetic person:

In Islam, writing takes the place of iconography, and as long as the Qurʾān is the word of God made book, Qurʾānic writing takes the place that the icon in particular, and the image of Christ in general, has in Christianity. In place of images, moreover, there is the use of the physical description of the Prophet Muḥammad, taken from the reports made by his companions.145

The shamāʾil thus compensate the physical disappearance of the Prophet and allow post-prophetic generations of Muslims to experience a visual encounter with the Muḥammadan personality.

What is particular to the Shifāʾ, is the fact that this discourse on the prophetic person is integrated into a systematic elaboration of the meaning of these descriptions for the religious life of Muslims, in particular in its normative dimension as it is expressed through the concept of ḥuqūq and more specifically in its relation to love for the Prophet.146 Al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ inserts the shamāʾil genre of hadīth literature into an argumentative framework based on the relation between the perception of beautiful forms and love for the Prophet. He speaks explicitly of the impact that these descriptions have on people’s “hearts”147 and, while treating the “qualities of perfection”, he begs God “to illuminate my heart and yours and to increase my love and your love for this noble Prophet”.148 For the author of the Shifāʾ, the elucidation of the

144 Gril, “Corps du Prophète”, 45.
146 Comparing Tirmidhī’s Shamāʾil and the Shifāʾ, Tor Andrae remarks that al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ has “overcome the ritualistic exteriority” of the first and succeeded in expounding the “ethical value” of these descriptions, see Person Mohammeds, 204–5.
147 Shifāʾ, 45.
148 Shifāʾ, 46. Examples such as these could be multiplied. It is striking that the author seems to aim specifically the “heart (qalb)” of the reader, and less his reason (ʿaql). Even if he
Prophet's physical appearance and of his personality clearly has a theological and a spiritual function. It is expected to have an impact on the religious life of the Muslim community in its fulfilment of the Prophet’s rights.

3.4 The Prophet’s “Beautiful Character” and the Perception of His “Noble Meanings” and of His Benevolence

The same can be said of the second source of love for the Prophet, which is identified by al-Qāḍī Īyāḍ as “the perception of noble interior meanings”, by which he means the Prophet’s virtues and his character or khuluq.149 The reason for this lies, according to the author, in the fact that “the human being is naturally inclined towards being very fond of [saintly people, scholars and virtuously acting people] to the extent that certain people are led to partisanship (ta‘assub) and others to sectarianism (tashayyu’a).”150 This remark again establishes an anthropological foundation of love for the Prophet Muḥammad, as for al-Qāḍī Īyāḍ the latter is obviously the most eminent of saintly and righteous people. And indeed, in the corresponding section of the Prophet’s description, the author seeks to demonstrate that the personality of Muḥammad encompasses the totality of those qualities on whose excellence both reason and revelation agree:

They are called ‘the beautiful character’ (ḥusn al-khuluq) and this is the equilibrium of the soul’s faculties and attributes, and their just balance without any inclination towards the transgression of their limits. The totality of these qualities was the character of our Prophet, with regard to the culmination of their perfection and to their accomplished equilibrium, to the extent that God praised him in His statement “And verily, you are of an exalted character” (Q 68:4). ʿĀʾisha said: “His character was the Qurʾān, he was satisfied by its satisfaction and he was discontent by its discontentment”,151 and he said “I was sent to accomplish the noble

constructs a reasoning in order to strengthen his argument, he seems to consider that the presentation of scriptural evidence, with its descriptive, edificatory and narrative elements, is more effective for impressing the religious consciousness of his readership than discursive deliberations.

149 Shifāʾ, 238.

150 One could see here a hidden criticism of the religious-political circumstances of his time. However, this conception is probably taken from Ghazālī according to whom “spiritual qualities are beloved and the person qualified by them is by nature beloved by whoever knows his qualities. The proof for this is the fact that people by nature love prophets, the Companions of Muḥammad, the heads of the schools of law, such as Shāfiʿi, although they did not see them” Abrahamov, Divine Love, 49.

151 See Muslim, Ṣaḥīḥ, kitāb ʿal-musāfirīn, bāb jāmʿ ʿal-musāfarīn, N° 746.
character traits". And Anas [Ibn Mālik] said that the Messenger of God was the most beautiful of men in character, and ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭalib said the same. As the veritable knowers (al-muḥaqiqūn) remember him, he was fashioned on these [beautiful character traits] in the root of his constitution and of his immaculate original nature (awwal fitratihī); he did not obtain them through acquisition or exercise, but only by divine generosity and lordly election, and this is true for all the prophets.

It is interesting to see how the author mingles philosophical and theological ethics. In fact, he mentions at various places the “insightful thinkers” (ʿuqalāʾ) or the “people of sound reason (aṣḥāb al-ʿuqūl al-salīma)” as an authority complementary to the revealed law (al-sharʿ) for the appreciation of attributes and characters. Already Tor Andrae alluded to the influence of Aristotelian ethics as elaborated in Islamic terms by Miskawayh (d. 421/1030), probably through the mediation of Ghazālī’s Iḥyāʾ, but also to the impact of pre-Islamic Arabian ethical ideals like the muruvwa (chivalry). Al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ indeed begins, in the manner of the philosophers, the list of virtues with the Prophet’s intellect (ʿaql) by explaining that it “constitutes the root of the branches [of the beautiful character], the origin of its sources and the centre of its sphere”. But unlike the philosophers, the author of the Shīfāʾ considers the

---

152 See Bukhārī, al-Adab al-mufrad, bāb ḥusn al-khuluq, N° 273 and Niṣābūrī, al-Mustadrak, kitab al-tawārīkh, bāb āyāt rasūl Allāh, N° 4221. However, in both sources the ḥadīth is narrated with the wording ṣāliḥ al-akhlāq (virtuous character traits).


154 See, for example, at the beginning of the section of the akhlāq (Shīfāʾ, 68). However, al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ précises immediately afterwards that it is the revealed law that commands their acquisition and elucidates their soteriological meaning: “The revealed law praises all of them, commands their acquisition and promises the eternal beatitude for the one who assimilates them (al-mutakhalliq bi-hā) and qualifies himself with some of them, for the reason that they represent a part of prophecy” (Shīfāʾ, 68).

155 ‘Iyāḍ, Shīfāʾ, 216–12.

156 On this transfer of virtue ethics “from philosophy to scripture” through Miskawayh and Ghazālī, see Zargar, Polished Mirror, 79–105. For a discussion of this theme in al-Ghazālī, see Abul Quasem, Ethics of al-Ghazali.

157 ‘Iyāḍ, Shīfāʾ, 71. The author admits that this chapter is somewhat a repetition of what he stated in the section of the Prophet’s constitution, and of what he will state in the section on the Prophet’s miracles. This shows how important it was for al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ to deal with this theme, probably in view of demonstrating to his philosophically orientated contemporaries the eminence of the Prophet Muhammad, and his superiority towards the Greek masters of rationality.
prophetic intellect and the various aptitudes which issue from it with regard to the Qurʾānic doctrine of Muḥammad’s illiterateness:\(^\text{158}\)

According to his intellect, he had knowledge of everything God taught him and made him understand, including the science of what has been and of what will be, of the wonders of His power and the immensity of His transcendent realm, as God says ‘and He taught you what you did not know and God’s favour upon you is immense’ (Q 5:113). [Human] intelligences became perplexed at measuring God’s favour on him and the tongues fell into silence when attempting to express a comprehensive description of this.\(^\text{159}\)

While affirming in this last sentence, as in various other places, the relativity of philosophical knowledge with regard to prophetology, the author does not disdain to use philosophical ethics in order to make sense of the various capacities and powers attributed to the Prophet Muḥammad, such as the little amount of sleep and food\(^\text{160}\) he needed, or his ability to handle wealth and to deal with political matters. This argumentative structure allows al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ to make the Prophet Muḥammad appear as a superior and divinely inspired version of the philosophical ideal of the philosopher-king. It is conceivable that the author of the *Shifāʾ* intended thereby to argue, against the rationalist-minded amongst his contemporaries, the superiority of the Prophet Muḥammad over the philosophers and the incomparability between the two types of knowledge.\(^\text{161}\)

---

\(^{158}\) See Q 7:157. Al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ explains that the Prophet Muḥammad obtained knowledge about previous scriptures, divine commandments, virtuous conduct, the guidance of people etc. ‘without teaching or study, the reading of previous scriptures or the company of its scholars, nay, he was an illiterate prophet (*nabi‌ummī*) who did not know anything of this until God opened his breast, made clear his affair, taught him and made him recite’ (*Shifāʾ*, 72). In the time of al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ, there has been a famous controversy in al-Andalus concerning the position held by the ḥadīth scholar Abū al-Walīd al-Bājī (d. 474/1081) that the Prophet knew how to write, as suggested by a ḥadīth, but that this ability was not the result of an ordinary acquisition, but the fact of a miracle (*muʿjiza*). See Blecher, *Said the Prophet*, 21–29.

\(^{159}\) *Shifāʾ*, 72.

\(^{160}\) *Shifāʾ*, 61–62.

\(^{161}\) He thereby is in line with the theological criticism of philosophical prophetology as analysed by Zouggar, *Philosophes*. On the polemics over the “acquisition of prophecy” in al-Andalus in the fifth–sixth/eleventh–twelfth centuries, see Casewit, *Mystics of al-Andalus*, 39–42.
Despite their philosophical relevance, these and the other qualities are obviously above all related to the prophetic mission and dignity of Muḥammad. His forbearance, generosity, courage, modesty each elucidate an aspect of the prophetic function and of its Muḥammadan specificity.\footnote{See \textit{Shifāʾ}, 72–97. A precise analysis of each character trait and virtue from this perspective would certainly yield fruitful results which would deepen and differentiate our understanding of the Muslim perception of the Prophet Muḥammad. However, this cannot be done here for reasons of space, and must be kept for further studies.}

However, the sub-chapter on "his compassion (\textit{shafaqa}), his mercy (\textit{raḥma}) and affection (\textit{ra′fā}) for the entire creation"\footnote{\textit{Shifāʾ}, 83–85.} certainly has a particular significance. The theme of the Prophet's mercy\footnote{According to al-Khaṭṭābī, the term \textit{raḥma} as applied to a human being is explained as “the softness (\textit{riqqa}) of the heart with regard to the concern of a person”, \textit{Nasīm}, 1, 152.} is indeed one of the major motives of the \textit{Shifāʾ} as it draws through all its parts.\footnote{See \textit{Shifāʾ}, 21–23, 39, 83–85, 142, 145. In the sub-chapter discussed here, the mercy motive is explained in terms of its ethical meaning as characterising the way the Prophet engaged with people, whereas in the other sections, the Prophet's mercy is considered more in terms of the meaning of his sending. See also Vimercati Sanseverino, “Wer dem Gesandten gehorcht”, 68–70.} Its importance stems from the fact that the Prophet's unconditional mercy constitutes an obvious indication of the singular role that God has assigned to him and thus of his eminence.\footnote{See Part I of this chapter.} According to al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ, who takes up a common theological argument, this is demonstrated in particular by the fact that by characterising the Prophet Muḥammad with mercy, God attributes to the latter His own name and quality.\footnote{See \textit{Shifāʾ}, 145.} At the same time, the theme of the Prophet's mercy also very clearly shows the soteriological significance of his personality, and thus the normative status of veneration and love for him. Mercy equally has a central significance because it represents the essential element of the third source of love mentioned by al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ, namely “the benevolence and the favour that somebody represents to man" corresponding to the Prophet's “favours and bounties on his community".\footnote{ʿIyāḍ, \textit{Shifāʾ}, 238.}

Considering the presentation of the Prophet's character traits in general, it is striking how al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ constantly stresses their divine cause, as for example when explaining in the case of the Prophet's longanimity and forbearance that “all this is from how God educated His Prophet”.\footnote{ʿIyāḍ, \textit{Shifāʾ}, 72.} This insistence can be understood to have a double meaning. Firstly, as explained in the first part, it
demonstrates again the Prophet’s pre-eminence and secondly, it goes against the philosophical conception of prophetology which considers prophecy as a state conferred by God on account of intellectual and moral qualities. Here, very clearly following Ash’arī theology, the author of the *Shifāʾ* emphasises that the prophetic virtues represent the consequences of divine election and revelation for the prophetic person. There is no causal relation between human qualities and prophecy – both are nothing other than effects of God’s will and grace.

At the same time, the influence of Sufi ethics is visible through the various quotations and also through certain themes. Whereas the great majority of attributes concern the Prophet’s relation to people, there is only one category which exclusively concerns his relation to God, namely one of the last sub-chapters dealing with “his fear of his Lord, his obedience towards Him and the intensity of his adoration”. The *Shifāʾ* seems to emphasise a certain type of spirituality which reflects the spiritual and ethical ideal of al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ’s milieu and time. Detachment from worldly affairs (zuhd), combined with a rigorous and intense ritual practice, and the vigilant fear of God as the principal modality of knowledge of God, correspond to the ideal of the ascetic scholar-saint characteristic for the Islamic West of the fourth-sixth/tenth-twelfth centuries.

From these considerations it becomes clear how al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ skilfully arranges these various discursive genres towards a common theme, that is the love for the Prophet. A more normative approach is visible in the sub-chapter on “The signs of love for the Prophet”, the longest of this whole chapter and, to my knowledge, the first of this kind in the history of Sunni literature. The theme of “signs” offers criteria in order to verify the sincerity (ṣidq) of love for the Prophet Muḥammad. Even if there are no explicit indications, the question arises whether the author had in view false claims of love for the Prophet in

---


171 A specific study on the influence of Sufism on the *Shifāʾ* is yet to be undertaken. At this stage of research, the work gives the impression of a certain preponderance of quotations attributed to Sahīl al-Tustarī. Regarding themes besides virtues like renunciation or fear of God, one can mention the issue of the Prophet’s characterisation with divine names and the elaborations on love for the Prophet. For the latter theme in early Sufism, see Thibon, “Transmission du hadīth”.


173 See Vimercati Sanseverino, “Hagiographie marocaine”; on the “Renunciant Tradition in Seville” in particular Casewit, *Mystics of Andalus*, 30–33. However, even later prophetological works such as Qaṣṭallānī (d. 963/1557), *al-Mawāhib al-laduniya* (see 11, 83–115), adopt the same scheme.

his time and whether this theme possibly contains a veiled critique against a certain group which, in al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ’s view, claimed to represent the Prophet’s authority and to be attached to him, without laying importance on the requirements of “prophetic piety”. In any case, the “signs” that he mentions illustrate very concretely the effects of love for the Prophet on religious life: the preference for the Prophet and for conformity to him, continuous mention of him (dhikrīhi) and desire to meet him, especially when one hears his name, love for those associated to him like his family and companions, love for the Qur’ān and finally compassion for his community. Far from being merely an interior attitude, the mahabbat al-nabī requires the whole being of the believer, in order to be truthful (ṣādiq). In fact, love for the Prophet represents for the author of the Shifā’ a comprehensive and programmatic vision of the practice of Islam, involving the interior life of the individual believer as well as his exterior behaviour and his relationship to the community. This comprehensive character of the love for the Prophet results from its normative meaning:

Know that who loves something accords preference to it and conformity to it, otherwise his love is not truthful, but he pretends only to it. He who is sincere in his love for the Prophet is the one on whom its signs appear, and the first sign is taking him as a model, putting his Sunna into practice and following his words and deeds, as well as conforming to his commands and interdictions, educating oneself according to his behaviour in facility and difficulty, as well as in pleasant and unpleasant things; the evidence of this, is God’s word ‘Say: If you love God, then follow me and God will love you (Q 3:31)’. And [love for the Prophet] is also apparent through giving preference to what he legislated and urged to do, against what conforms to one’s own passions and desires.

In the sub-chapter “On the reward (thawāb) for love for the Prophet”, interestingly placed at the very beginning, al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ dwells in particular on the eschatological meaning of the fulfilment of the duties connected with this love. The argument developed through the various hadith is that loving the

---

175 One could think of both, the current of Mālikism which preferred to stick the authority of its scholars and thereby neglecting the hadith (see Fierro, “Proto-Malikis”), or the Almohads who sought to place the Mahdī as normative and theological reference for the Muslim community (see Fierro, “El tratado”).

176 For all these see ‘Iyāḍ, Shifā’, 236f.

177 Shifā’, 235.

178 Shifā’, 232–33.
Prophet means being associated with the one who will be in the most blissful position in paradise: “Whoever loves me, will be with me in paradise”.

In this relatively small passage, the soteriological argument of the Shifāʾ and of its prophetology comes more fully into light. The theme of “reward” allows the writer to expound what the Prophet’s pre-eminence means for his community, and how the fulfilment of his rights constitutes the modalities through which his community can itself benefit from it. If “being with the Prophet” is the supreme reward of love for him, and thus the true goal of the fulfilment of his rights in general, then because it means to be associated to the grace for which God singled out the Prophet Muḥammad. It is in this sense, that the Shifāʾ argues that the quality of a believer’s relationship to God is dependent upon the quality of his relationship to the Prophet, which is proportionate to his love for him. In other words, the believer’s relationship to God is only a relationship of effective proximity and love if it is goes along with an effective relationship to the most near and beloved creature to God, which is the Prophet Muḥammad. Because God loves the Prophet, to love the Prophet means to participate in God’s love for him.

4 Conclusion

One of the results of this study is certainly to have shown the theological complexity and sophistication of a work that has too often been treated as a polemical or apologetical text whose purpose resided in promoting extravagant beliefs to credulous Muslim masses. Focusing on the central notions of qadr and ḥuqūq, as well as the themes of knowledge and love, this analysis of the Shifāʾ has attempted to demonstrate how the veneration of the Prophet Muḥammad represents a genuine theological and intellectual concern within Sunnī scholarly discourse. Drawing on the rich textual material of the various genres of prophetological literature, the Shifāʾ uses sciences and approaches as diverse as ḥadīth, philosophy and Sufism in order to show how evidence for Muḥammadan prophethood is first of all soteriologically meaningful for the religious life of Muslims. Developing the prophetological scope of various discursive traditions, the Shifāʾ thus reflects the end of the formative period.

---

179 Shifāʾ, 233.
180 This conclusion is further confirmed by the other chapters, especially the final, very ample, chapter of Part II which concerns the practice of taṣliya or “praying for the Prophet” (al-ṣalāt ‘alā al-nabī) and wherein various ḥadīths are mentioned to this effect. For the theme of taṣliya see the excellent study of Hamidoune, Prière sur le Prophète.
In the context of the emergence of schisms and of the threats to the territorial integrity of the Islamic West, it participates in the consolidation of a distinct Sunni identity shaped by “Muhammadan spirituality”.

The study of the *Shifāʾ* allows for a more differentiated understanding of the Muslim discourse on the Prophet’s pre-eminence. For those who believe in Muhammad’s prophetic claim and strive to follow him, the latter’s exalted dignity means, foremost, the possibility to participate in the Prophet’s nearness to God – hence, proximity to the Prophet implies proximity to God. If Part I shows that through the Prophet Muhammad the believer has access to a privileged relationship with God, Part II responds to the question how this participation is possible, namely through the fulfilment of his rights, love for the Prophet representing their ultimate fulfilment.

What is striking is the epistemological consistency of this prophetology: If it is God Himself who imparts knowledge about the Prophet’s pre-eminence to mankind, man cannot attain this knowledge by himself – at least in its depth, variety and veritable meaning. Consequently, al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ organised his prophetology according to the loci of God’s exaltation of the Prophet, i.e., God’s speech to the Prophet, and the Prophet’s person and miraculous acts as transmitted by tradition. If al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ presents revelation and salvation history as sources for the knowledge of the Prophet’s pre-eminent status, the question arises which role he accords to reason or *ʿaql*? While further analysis is needed on this point, it can be already affirmed in a general manner that reason as a purely human source of knowledge seems to have only a secondary epistemological significance, which is limited to demonstrating the plausibility of an argument rather than allowing for certainty with regard to its truth. So rather than a source of knowledge, reason appears as a hermeneutical instrument needed for making the meaning and coherence of the mentioned sources evident in view of a certain theme. Hence, al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ’s “concessions” to philosophical ethics are in fact only relative: if reason is able to appreciate the Prophet’s virtues and to elucidate their excellence through systematic elaboration, it is incapable of recognising their true meaning and the reality of the Prophet’s realisation of them. However, if the value of reason for the knowledge of the Prophet’s eminent reality appears to be relative, one should not conclude that for al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ the *ʿaql* is negligible. The third part of the book, which, according to its author, contains the “secret” of the whole work, shows that the use of reason is indispensable for an accurate understanding of the subtleties of prophetology.

Despite the insights yielded by this study, it is clear that the *Shifāʾ* requires further analysis of the other two parts and of certain aspects, in particular the theme of *ḥadīth* transmission which occupies a central place for al-Qāḍī
‘Iyāḍ’s self-understanding and activity as a scholar. If the *Shifāʾ* argues the soteriological necessity of the Muslim’s relationship to the person of the Prophet, the *ḥadīth* represents one of the major means through which this relationship is established.\(^{181}\) Another aspect to be inquired further is the influence of Ghazālī’s thought on al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ, and of philosophical ideas and of Sufi teachings in general. If the *Shifāʾ* shows obvious parallels to both, the concrete genealogy of certain ideas has to be elucidated further. Against the background of a more comprehensive understanding of the *Shifāʾ*, it will be possible to relate its argument as expounded in this study more concretely to al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ’s diagnostics of the Muslim community’s situation in his time.\(^{182}\) It is the latter which elucidates how for al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ the inappropriate or incomplete understanding of the prophetic reality of Muḥammad’s personality constitutes the real cause for the neglect of the Prophet’s rights in the Muslim community, and hence the root of the latter’s critical situation.

Certainly, the most singular feature which this study has brought to light is the elaboration of a veritable theology of veneration of the Prophet in the *Shifāʾ*. One can speak of a theology in the sense that the conceptualisation of veneration is grounded in the divine determination of prophetic dignity, which is only known by God’s revelation and working. Love for the Prophet, as al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ presented it, is ultimately based on God’s exaltation of the Prophet in words and acts. For a scholar like al-Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ, the *maḥabbat al-nabī* is not merely a moral device or an emotional impulse related to the socio-religious or psychological needs of the Muslim community but has its foundation in revelation and tradition and thus can be substantiated through theological reasoning. Furthermore, it is a theology because it develops a comprehensive vision of Islamic religious life founded on the Muḥammadan model and personality. The latter appears as an ideal of human perfection, allowing thereby for an anthropological basis of veneration: the human being inclines naturally to what is beautiful and good, and the Prophet Muhammad, reuniting in him the outer and inner qualities of perfection with benevolence towards mankind, represents the supreme object of love in the created world. It is this vision which most likely explains the singular success of the *Shifāʾ*, as well as its continuing relevance and force of attraction.

\(^{181}\) See my forthcoming study on this aspect of the *Shifāʾ* and of *ḥadīth* transmission in M. Gharibeh, ed. *Beyond Authenticity*, forthcoming.

\(^{182}\) This is certainly to be understood in view of what Maribel Fierro calls “spiritual alienation”, see Fierro, “Spiritual alienation” and Vimercati Sanseverino, “Transmission, ethos” 46–51.
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