List of Typos in Keightley's Two Articles

Deng Lin

Carleton College, Northfield, MN, USA

ldeng@carleton.edu

Keightley 1994

Page #	Location	Original	Corrections
4	Example [3A]	貞	[貞]
4	[3B]	貞: 翌王	[貞]: 翌王戌
12	[8]	(day 44)	(day 34)
13	Line above [10A]	not or	or not
14	[12A]	(day) 26,	(day 26),
16	Line 12	postive	positive
16	N. 28, Line 5	one day day	delete extra “day”
24	Line 18	kkng	king
25	Line 4	was was	delete extra “was”
26	[29A], [29B]	Crackmaking	Crack-making
26	[29A], [29B]	D	Da? Di?
28	Line 25	bring bring	delete extra “bring”
30	[35A]	壬寅雨	壬寅[雨]
30	[35A]	“Crack-	delete “
32	[37C]	kind	king
32	Line 22	auspicious	auspicious
32	Bottom line	note ???	note 41?
33	[38A]	auspiciousness	auspiciousness
33	N.42	Keightley: 1978a	no “:”
34	N.44	considered	be considered
35	N.46		6C?
36	[41A], [41B]	jiaxu	jiawu
36	[41A]	day 11	day 31
39	N.56	Shaughnessy 1993: 00	Shaughnessy 1993: 62
41	Figure 1, Line 4	[4A]	[4B]
42	Line 6 from bottom	qulifed	qualified
44	Line 2	地二本	第二本
45	Line 17	Zukhan	Zuhan
46	Line 24	Zhongyuang	Zhongyang
46	Line 29	yangjiuyuan	yanjiuyuan

(cont.)

Page #	Location	Original	Corrections
47	Line 23	bokui	bokuji
47	Line 23	2d	2nd
47	Line 26	/	の
48	Line 14	Early China 18: 00-00	Early China 18: 41-72
48	Line 31	Zhongyuan	Zhongyang
49	Line 15	劉鵠	劉鶚
49	Line 22	... di fenqi	de fenqi

Additional errors: two examples are labelled as [27] on p.25. Because of this duplication, all series numbers from that page on should be increased by one. Adjustment is also needed for examples mentioned previously at Line 4, 5 & 16 on p.7.

Keightley 1995

Page #	Location	Original	Corrections
2	N. 2	1994p	1994a?
3	N. 5	1994p	1994a?
8	Line 2	been been	delete extra "been"
9	Line 7	knowable:	knowable.
9	N.17	Shirakawa 1962-	Shirakawa 1962-1984
9	N.18	It may be that noted that	delete extra "that"
14	N.28	1994T	1994?
15	Line 9	[24]and [25B]	[24] and [25A]
15	[9]	*Make	Delete "*"
16	N. 34	period IIa	delete "a"?
19	[15]	10076	10067
19	N. 39	n. 57 above	n. 57 below
30	N. 58	n. ???	n. 181
30	N. 60	HJ 116A	HJ ?
33	Line 4 from bottom	See note 143 above	below ¹
40	Line 1	其雨's	Delete "s"
41	N. 83	places	place
42	[51A]	[51]A	[51A]
45	N. 90	(1994T:9)	(1994)?

¹ Herforth's explanation for this term is found in n. 69 too.

(cont.)

Page #	Location	Original	Corrections
49	N. 96	[70] and [??]	[?]
50	N. 98	"The "The	delete extra "The
59	N. 121	[??9950a]B]	<i>HJ</i> 995of
61	Line 2	the the more	delete extra "the"
62	Line 12	for and [60B]	delete "and"
67	Line 9	ritual-sacrifice	ritual-sacrifice
72	Line 1	則	斯
72	Line 11	*tsək	*tsək
72	Line 11	*tsjəg	*tsjəg
72	Line 11	*tjəg	*tjəg
72	Line 15	*gjəg	*gjəg
75	N. 152	see	?
78	Line 6	On <i>jisi</i> divined (day 6)	On <i>jisi</i> (day 6) divined
79	N. 159	and and <i>TN</i>	delete extra "and"
81, 89	[108ABC][120ABC]	D	Da? Di?
88	[117B]	*"In	delete "*"
91	Line 9	(like [1B])	[1C] ²
95	Line 22	optional,	optional –
97	Line 2	childbearing,,	delete extra “,”
99	Line 10	the the twenty-four	delete extra "the"
99	[127A]	<i>renxu</i> (day 59)	<i>renwu</i> (day 19)
99	[127B]	[<i>renxu</i>]	[<i>renwu</i>]
100	[128A]	(day 19)	(day 59)
102	Line 4 from bottom	us	is
111	[83B]	(<i>HJ</i> 9950b = <i>Bingbian</i> 9; Y535.1)	<i>HJ</i> 995of = <i>Bingbian</i> 8.1-2; Y536.2
112	N. 214	Nivison ????	?
114	Line 18	distiguished	distinguish
118	[138A]	That evening	That day
119	Line 5	appared	appeared
121	[140E]	be be	delete extra "be"
124	Line 15	Guo Feng	Zhi Guo?
127	N.243	page ???	page 210
134	Line 10	linked to, [142A]	delete “,”
135	[143C]	it will a <i>geng</i> -day	insert "be" after "will"
135	[143C]	Y0000	Y?
141	Line 15	did referring to	did refer to
149	Line 6 from bottom	the doubled used of <i>qi</i>	the doubled use of <i>qi</i>
160	Line 3	below	above

2 According to K's note, [1B] = [1A] (no *qi*) (*HJ* 33909). Keightley states right after this example, on p.7, that [1C] has *qi*.

(cont.)

Page #	Location	Original	Corrections
165	N.284	Nivison...	Nivison?
166	N.290	of of [155IJ]	delete extra “of”
170	N.293	See, e.g., See e.g.:	delete “See, e.g.,”
176	[163B]	[L ⁴]	(L ⁴)
185	Line 4	be seen divinations	insert “in” between “seen” and “divinations”
194	Line 9	putatitve	putative
194	Line 15	omisssion	omission
201	Line 10	Dagao	Da gao
203	Line 12	exhoration	exhortation
204	Line 11	desribing	describing
208	Line 13	the the back	delete extra “the”
228	Line 12	1944	1994
228	Line 19	testsugaku	tetsugaku
228	Line 23	ahong	zhong
230	Line 1 & 6	凡	丸
230	Line 8		Insert “: 殷代國家構造研究のために” after “ついで”
230	Line 9		Insert “東” before “洋”
232	Line 13	Zhongyuan	Zhongyang
232	Line 25	<i>Memorial Volume of the Late Professor honor of Professor</i>	<i>Memorial Volume in Honor of the Late Professor</i> ³
233	Line 9	di	de
233	Line 10		insert “甲骨探史録”
233	Line 12	Yang, Shuda	Yang Shuda 楊樹達
233	Line 2 from bottom		insert “動詞” after “祭祀”
234	Line 2	00-00	229-308
234	Line 4	Hongkong	Hong Kong: Wanyou tushu.
234	Last line	19 pp.	1994?
235	HJ 3947		HJ 3947fb
	HJ 6016fb	= [142]	= [142], [161]
	HJ 6482		HJ 6482f
	HJ 6947		HJ 6947f
	HJ 7352	HJ 7352 = [146]	HJ 7352f = [136], [146]
	HJ 11497		HJ 11497f
	HJ 13220	HJ 13220a	HJ 13220f
	HJ 19887		HJ 19987

3 This entry, in both 1994 and 1995 papers, of Takashima (1991) “An Emphatic Verb Phrase in the Oracle-Bone Inscriptions,” seems to have gotten the year wrong, should be adjusted to Takashima (1988a); page numbers seem slightly wrong too.

The following references are missing in the List of Cited Works:

- Akatsuka Kiyoshi 赤塚忠. 1977. *Chūgoku kodai no shūkyō to bunka – Inōchō no saishi* 中國古代の宗教と文化 – 殷王朝祭祀. Tokyo: Kadokawa Shoten.
- Dong Zuobin 董作賓. 1931. Dagui siban kaoshi 大龜四版考釋. *An Yang Fajue Baogao* 安陽發掘報告 3: 423-442.
- Shirakawa Shizuka 白川靜. 1962-1984. *Kimbun tsūshaku* 金文通釋. *Hakutsuru Bijutsukanshi* vol. 1-56. Kobe: Hakutsuru Bijutsukan.
- Takashima, Ken-ichi. 1988. Morphology of the Negatives in Oracle-Bone Inscriptions. *Computational Analysis of Asian and African Languages* 30: 113-133.