Phylogenetic relationships of the European newts (genus *Triturus*) tested with mitochondrial DNA sequence data

I. Zajc¹ & J. W. Arntzen²

Department of Zoology, Leicester University, Leicester LE1 7RH, United Kingdom

Present addresses: ¹National Institute of Biology, Vecna pot 111, P.O. Box 141, 1001 Ljubljana, Slovenia

²Department of Zoology and Anthropology, Faculty of Sciences, University of Porto, CECA/ICETA/UP, Campus Agrário de Vairão, 4480 Vila do Conde, Portugal

Keywords: 12S rRNA, ATPase, mitochondrial DNA, newt, phylogeny, *Triturus*

Abstract

European newts (genus *Triturus*) are widely studied, but their phylogeny is not yet unambiguously resolved. Fragments of mitochondrial DNA experiencing different rates of evolution (the ATPase and 12S rRNA genes) were sequenced in order to test a phylogenetic hypothesis derived from biochemical and behavioral data. Well-supported branches of the existing phylogeny gained support in our study. The monophyletic origin of the hypothesized *T. boscai* – *T. italicus* clade remained ambiguous, whereas strong support was gained for the sister-taxon relationship of *T. vulgaris* and *T. montandoni*. The position of *T. vittatus* as a sister taxon to the *T. marmoratus* species group was also supported. The phylogenetic position of *T. alpestris* could not be clarified. With an in-group taxon sampling denser than in previous molecular phylogenetic studies and under the *a priori* selection of species from the genera *Cynops*, *Neurergus* and *Paramesotriton* as out-groups, the monophyly of *Triturus* was strongly supported. It cannot be excluded, however, that the presumed out-group actually belongs to the in-group, rendering *Triturus* paraphyletic as was concluded from recently published 12S and 16S rRNA sequence data.

Introduction

Phylogenies are a cornerstone to the study of evolution: without them we are unable to reconstruct and understand pattern and process of evolutionary change. To obtain a robust phylogenetic hypothesis requires the gathering of multiple independent and complementary data sets, because usually no single data set is sufficiently powerful to simultaneously resolve older and more recent cladogenetic events.

The genus *Triturus* (European newts) has been the subject of extensive phylogenetic analysis with various data sets [osteological (Bolkay, 1928; Rafinski and Pecio, 1989), immunological (Busack et al., 1988), morphological (Giacoma and Balletto, 1988), biochemical (Rafinski and Arntzen, 1987), behavioral (Arntzen and Sparreboom, 1989), cytogenetic (Macgregor et al., 1990)], and is considered by some to be the phylogenetically best studied genus in the world (Halliday and Arano, 1991). Despite these concerted efforts, its phylogeny is not fully resolved and several competing hypotheses are available, none of them with unambiguous overall support. We take the study by Arntzen and Sparreboom (1989) as the basis of our work because the phylogeny they present is based on two independent and complementary data sets. Moreover, their hypothesis resolves the earlier as well as the later events in the *Triturus* radiation and is robust under the jack-knife test.

Contents

Abstract	73
Introduction	73
Materials and methods	74
Results	75
Discussion	78
Acknowledgements	80
References	80

Fig. 1. Phylogeny for the genus *Triturus* based on available biochemical and behavioral characters. Numbers refer to specific questions as addressed in the text. Out-group taxa are taken from the genera *Cynops*, *Neurergus*, and *Paramesotriton*.

Nine or twelve *Triturus* species are currently recognized, depending on the criteria used for species recognition. Here we refer to twelve species, with four of them (*Triturus cristatus*, *T. carnifex*, *T. karelinii* and *T. dobrogicus*) grouped together in the *T. cristatus* superspecies (Wallis and Arntzen, 1989). The 'big'- and 'medium-sized' newts (*T. cristatus*, *T. marmoratus*, *T. vittatus* and *T. alpestris*) are organized in the subgenus *Triturus* and the 'small-bodied' newts (*T. boscai*, *T. helveticus*, *T. italicus*, *T. montandoni* and *T. vulgaris*) are placed in the subgenus *Palaeotriton*. The detailed configuration is given in Fig. 1, alongside with the nomenclature that we adopt. For the following sections of the phylogenetic tree the support is limited or contradictory: 1) the sister-taxon status of *T. vulgaris* and *T. montandoni* is supported by allozyme data only; 2) the monophyly of *T. boscai* and *T. italicus* hinges on the interpretation given to behavioral characters; 3) the status of *T. vittatus* as the sister taxon of the *T. marmoratus* species group may be called into question on the basis of its overall similarity to some small-bodied species, *T. vulgaris* in particular; 4) the support for the position of *T. alpestris* in the subgenus *Triturus* is relatively weak due to the non-independence of

some behavioral synapomorphies; 5) the monophyly of *Triturus*, traditionally taken for granted, has been put in doubt by molecular data (Titus and Larson, 1995).

We tested the phylogenetic hypothesis of *Triturus* with a newly generated set of independent data. Two fragments of the mitochondrial (mt)DNA molecule experiencing different evolutionary rates were studied. The slowly evolving 12S rRNA gene (Kocher et al., 1989; Hickson et al., 1996) was partially sequenced to test some of the supposedly earlier events of the *Triturus* radiation, while the fast evolving ATPase gene (Kumar, 1996) was partially sequenced to test the branching order among some supposedly closely related species in the *T. vulgaris* species group. Sequence data for cytochrome b produced ambiguous phylogenetic results, perhaps due to the comparison of non-homologous sequences (Caccone et al., 1997; cf. Zhang & Hewitt, 1996) and were discarded.

Materials and methods

Two specimens were selected from each of nine *Triturus* species, if possible from different localities. As out-groups to the genus, representatives of the salamandrid genera *Cynops*, *Neurergus* and *Paramesotriton* were selected, following Arntzen and Sparreboom (1989). *Triturus boscai* was taken as out-group to the *T. vulgaris* species group. Specimens for which DNA was extracted were sampled as follows: *T. alpestris* from Mayenne, France and Pola de Sierra, Spain (subspecies *cyreni*); *T. boscai* from Toledo, Spain; *T. cristatus* from Limanowa, Poland and Sinaia, Romania; *T. helveticus* from Ambleteuse, France and Canterbury, U. K.; *T. italicus* from Conversano, Italy; *T. marmoratus* from El Berrueco near Madrid, Spain and Rochechouart, France; *T. montandoni* from Ustrzyki, Poland; *T. vittatus* from an unknown locality in Israel and from Adapazari, Turkey (subspecies *ophryticus*) and *T. vulgaris* from Ambleteuse, France. Out-group taxa *Cynops ensicauda*, *Neurergus strauchii* and *Paramesotriton* sp. were obtained from the pet trade with no reliable locality information.

Total DNA was extracted from approximately 50 mg of frozen or ethanol-preserved tissue (liver,

heart or tail muscle) following standard protocols (Sambrook et al., 1989). For PCR-amplification of the 12S rRNA region the forward (L2475) and reverse (H2897) 'universal' primers were used (Kocher et al., 1989). The forward and reverse ATPase primers L9858 (5'-CTCCTCCTTAATGATATGCCACA-3') and H10307 (3'-TTCACCCCACTACCCAACTATC-5') were designed in our laboratory by G. Rowe. Double stranded DNA amplifications were performed using standard PCR protocols (Erlich, 1989) with negative controls to test for contamination. The 12S rRNA fragment was amplified under stringent conditions (annealing temperature 65°C, extension time 25 seconds, up to 28 cycles), while the ATPase primers required milder conditions (annealing temperature 45–50°C, extension time of 60 seconds, minimum of 30 cycles). The amplified products were separated on 1.5% low melting point agarose gels, cut out of the gel and purified by successive phenol, phenol-chloroform and chloroform-isoamylalcohol extractions, followed by ethanol precipitation. Both strands were directly sequenced using the dideoxy chain-termination method (Sanger et al., 1977). Multiple sequences were aligned with Clustal-V software (Higgins and Sharp, 1988) with manual adjustments. The ATPase gene sequences were translated into amino-acid sequences and the reading frame was determined by alignment to sequences of the teleost fish *Cottus kessleri* (Grachev et al., 1992) and human sequences (Arnason et al., 1996) obtained from GenBank. When the sequences for individuals of the same species were not identical, the consensus sequence was used in the phylogenetic analysis with variable sites taken as polymorphisms. The percent sequence divergence was calculated following Mindell and Honeycutt (1990). We aligned our sequences to the published salamandrid 12S sequences (Caccone et al., 1994; Hay et al., 1995), that include the in-group taxa *T. vulgaris* and *T. carnifex* and out-group taxa from the genera *Euproctus*, *Notophthalmus*, *Pleurodeles* and *Salamandra*. Furthermore, the sequences were aligned to those published by Titus & Larson (1995), that include *T. alpestris*, *T. karelinii* and representatives of the salamandrid genera *Chioglossa*, *Cynops*, *Euproctus*, *Neurergus*, *Mertensiella*, *Notophthalmus*, *Pachytriton*, *Para-*

mesotriton, *Pleurodeles*, *Salamandra*, *Salaman-drina*, *Taricha* and *Tylototriton*.

Phylogenetic reconstruction was performed under the principle of parsimony, using the PAUP 3.1.1 software (Swofford, 1991) with the 'branch-and-bound' search option (the 'heuristic search' method was used in the bootstrap runs). Two *a priori* selected strategies were followed. First, a uniform transition – transversion ratio and uniform positional ratio was used, i.e., 'no weighting'. Second, different weights were assigned to transitions versus transversions inversely proportional to the frequency of different substitutions among in-group taxa as traced from the tree obtained with the unweighted approach, with 24 as the base number. Gap derived character-state changes were given the same weight as transversions, also on an *a priori* basis. Positional weighting factors for the first, second, and the third codon positions were determined for the protein coding DNA fragment (ATPase) in a similar way. Bootstrap scores (Felsenstein, 1985; Hillis and Bull, 1993) were determined over 5000 replications to obtain an impression of the strength of support from the data for the phylogenetic tree showing maximum parsimony. Templeton's (1983) non-parametric test was used to test one-sided for the significance of differences between documented phylogenies and the newly derived alternatives.

Results

Aligned sequences represent 212 bp of the ATPase gene and covers the short stretch of overlap between regions coding for ATPase 6 and ATPase 8 (Fig. 2). The first nucleotide corresponds to position 256 in the *Cottus kessleri* ATPase sequence (Grachev et al., 1992). Aligned sequences represent 301 bp of 12S rRNA gene. The first nucleotide corresponds to position 233 in the *Mertensiella luschani* 12S rRNA sequence (Titus and Larson, 1995). No insertions or deletions ('indels') had to be inferred to align the ATPase sequences. Alignment of the 12S sequences required two indels at one position among the *Triturus* species and two indels covering four positions in the out-group taxa. More indels on nine positions were required when

01	CAGAATACTA	CGAGCAACAG	CTTAAACTC	AAAGGACTTG	GCGGTGCTCT	ACACCCCCCT	AGAGGAGCCT	GTTCTATAAT	CGATAATCCA	CGATAAACCT
02	CGGAACACTA	CGAACAACAG	CCTAAACTC	AAAGGACTTG	GCGGTGCTCT	ACACCCACCT	AGAGGAGCCT	GTTCTATAAT	CGATAATCCA	CGATAAACCT
03	CAGAACACTA	CGAGCAACAG	CTTAAACTC	AAAGGACTTG	GCGGTGCTCT	ACACCCCCCT	AGAGGAGCCT	GTTCTATAAT	CGATAATCCA	CGATAAACCT
04	CAGAAYACTA	CGAGCAACAG	CTTAAACTC	AAAGGACTTG	GCGGTGCTCT	ACACCCCCCT	AGAGGAGCCT	GTTCTATAAT	CGATAATCCA	CGATAAACCT
05	CAGAATACTA	CGAGCAACAG	CTTAAACTC	AAAGGACTTG	GCGGTGCTCT	ACACCCCCCT	AGAGGAGCCT	GTTCTATAAT	CGATAATCCA	CGATAAACCT
06	CAGAGTACTA	CGAGCAACAG	CTTAAACTC	AAAGGACTTG	GCGGTGCTCT	ATACCCCCCT	AGAGGAGCCT	GTTCTATAAT	CGATAATCCA	CGATAAACCT
07	CAGAGTACTA	CGAGCAACAG	CTTAAACTC	AAAGGACTTG	GCGGTGCTCT	ATACCCCCCT	AGAGGAGCCT	GTTCTATAAT	CGATAATCCA	CGATAAACCT
08	CAGAATACTA	CGAGCAACAG	CTTAAACTC	AAAGGACTTG	GCGGTGCTCT	ACACCCCCCT	AGAGGAGCCT	GTTCTATAAT	CGATAATCCA	CGATAAACCT
09	CAGAGTACTA	CGAGCAACAG	CTTAAACTC	AAAGGACTTG	GCGGTGCTCT	ACACCCCCCT	AGAGGAGCCT	GTTCTATAAT	CGATAATCCA	CGATAAACCT
10	CAGAGTACTA	CGAGCAACAG	CTTAAACTC	AAAGGACTTG	GCGGTGCTCT	ACACCCCCCT	AGAGGAGCCT	GTTCTATAAT	CGATAATCCA	CGATAAACCT
11	CAGAGTACTA	CGAGCAACAG	CTTAAACTC	AAAGGACTTG	GCGGTGCTCT	ACACCCCCCT	AGAGGAGCCT	GTTCTATAAT	CGATAATCCA	CGATAAACCT
12	CAGAGTACTA	CGAGCAACAG	CTTAAACTC	AAAGGACTTG	GCGGTGCTCT	ATACCCCCCT	AGAGGAGCCT	GTTCTATAAT	CGATAATCCA	CGATAAACCT

01	CACCATCTAT	TGCCAATACA	GCCTATATAC	CACCGTCCAG	CCCACCCCTT	AAAGGGCTAA	--GTAGGCAC	AACCTATAAA	ATAAAAACGT	CAGGTCAAGG
02	CACCATCTGT	TGCTAATACA	GCCTATATAC	CACCGTCCAG	CCCACCCCTT	AAAGGGTATA	TAGTAGGCAC	AACCTACAAA	ATAAAAACGT	CAGGTCAAGG
03	CACCATCTAT	TGCCAATACA	GCCTATATAC	CACCGTCCAG	CCCACCCCTT	AAAGGGTATA	TAGTAGGCAC	AACCTACAAA	ATAAAAACGT	CAGGTCAAGG
04	CACCATCTAT	TGCTAATACA	GCCTATATAC	CACCGTCCAG	CCCACCCCTT	AAAGGGTATA	TAGTAGGCAC	AACCTACAAA	ATAAAAACGT	CAGGTCAAGG
05	CACCATCTGT	TGCCAATACA	GCCTATATAC	CACCGTCCAG	CCCACCCCTT	AAAGGGTATA	TAGTAGGCAC	AACCTACAAA	ATAAAAACGT	CAGGTCAAGG
06	CACCATCTGT	TGCCAATACA	GCCTATATAC	CACCGTCCAG	CCCACCCCTT	AAAGGGTATA	TAGTAGGCAC	AACCTACAAA	ATAAAAACGT	CAGGTCAAGG
07	CACCATCTAT	TGCCAATACA	GCCTATATAC	CACCGTCCAG	CCCACCCCTT	AAAGGGTATA	TAGTAGGCAC	AACCTACAAA	ATAAAAACGT	CAGGTCAAGG
08	CACCATCTAT	TGCCAATACA	GCCTATATAC	CACCGTCCAG	CCCACCCCTT	AAAGGGTATA	TAGTAGGCAC	AACCTACAAA	ATAAAAACGT	CAGGTCAAGG
09	CACCATCTAT	TGCCAATACA	GCCTATATAC	CACCGTCCAG	CCCACCCCTT	AAAGGGTATA	TAGTAGGCAC	AACCTACAAA	ATAAAAACGT	CAGGTCAAGG
10	CACCATCTAT	TGCCAATACA	GCCTATATAC	CACCGTCCAG	CCCACCCCTT	AAAGGGTATA	TAGTAGGCAC	AACCTACAAA	ATAAAAACGT	CAGGTCAAGG
11	CACCATCTAT	TGCCAATACA	GCCTATATAC	CACCGTCCAG	CCCACCCCTT	AAAGGGTATA	TAGTAGGCAC	AACCTACAAA	ATAAAAACGT	CAGGTCAAGG
12	CACCATCTAT	TGCCAATACA	GCCTATATAC	CACCGTCCAG	CCCACCCCTT	AAAGGGTATA	TAGTAGGCAC	AACCTACAAA	ATAAAAACGT	CAGGTCAAGG

01	TGTAGCACAT	AAGATGGGAA	GAAATGGGCT	ACATTTTCTA	GCTTAGAAAA	TACGGAAAAG	CTTGTGAAAC	AAAACATAAA	AGGAGGATTT	AGCAGTAAAA A
02	TGTAGCAAAAT	AAGATCGGAA	GAAATAGGCT	AC-TTTGCTA	CCTTGAAAAA	TACGGAAAAG	CTTGTGAAAC	AAAACATAAA	AGGAGGATTT	AGCAGTAAAA A
03	TGTAGCATAT	AAGATGGGAA	GAAATGGGCT	ACATTTTCTA	ACTTAGAAAA	TACGGAAAAG	CTTGTGAAAC	AAAACATAAA	AGGAGGATTT	AGCAGTAAAA A
04	TGTAGCGTAT	GAGATGGGAA	GAAATGGGCT	ACATTTTCTA	ACCTAGAAAA	CACGGAAAAG	TTTATGAAAC	AAAACATAAA	AGGAGGATTT	AGTAGTAAAA A
05	TGTAGCAGAT	AAGATGGGAA	GAAATGGGCT	ACATTTTCTA	ACCTAGAAAA	CACGGAAAAG	TTTATGAAAC	AAAACATAAA	AGGAGGATTT	AGTAGTAAAA A
06	TGTAGCAAAAT	AAAGCGGGAA	GAAATGGGCT	ACATTTTCTA	ATCTAGAAAA	CACGGAAAAG	TCTGTGAAAT	AGAACTACAA	AGGAGGATTT	AGAACTAAAA A
07	TGTAGCAAAAT	AAGATGGGAA	GAAATGGGCT	ACATTTTCTA	A-CTAGAAA	CACGGAAAAG	TTTATGAAAC	TAAAGCTATGA	AGGAGGATTT	AGCAGTAAAA A
08	TGTAGCAGAT	AAGATGGGAA	GAAATGGGCT	ACATTTTCTA	ACCTAGAAAA	CACGGAAAAG	TCTATGAAAC	TAAAGCTATGA	AGGAGGATTT	AGCAGTAAAA A
09	TGTAGCAAAAT	AAAGCGGGAA	GAAATGGGCT	ACATTTTCTA	ACCTAGAAAA	TACGGAAAAG	TCTATGAAAT	AAAACATCGA	AGGAGGATTT	AGCNGTAAAA A
10	TGTAGCAAAAT	AAGATGGGAA	GAAATGGGCT	ACATTTTCTA	A-CTAGAAA	CACGGAAAAG	TTTANGAAAC	TAAAGCTATGA	AGGAGGATTT	AGCAGTAAAA A
11	TGTAGCAAAAT	AAGATGGGAA	GAAATGGGCT	ACATTTTCTA	AYCTAGAAAA	CACGGAAAAG	TCYATGAAAC	AGRACTATAA	AGGAGGATTT	AGAACTAAAA A
12	TGTAGCAAAAT	AAGATGGGAA	GAAATGGGCT	ACATTTTCTA	ACCTAGAAAA	CACGGAAAAG	TTTATGAAAC	TAAAGCTATGA	AGGAGGATTT	AGCAGTAAAA A

05	ACACAACCCCT	GAAACTGACC	ATGAATTTAG	GCTTTTTTGA	CCAATTTTATA	AGCCCCACCG	TACTAGGCAT	TCCTTTAATC	GGCYTATCCT	TAACTACTCC
07	ACACAACCCCT	GAAATGACC	ATGAATTTAG	GCTTTTTTGA	CCAATTTTATA	AGCCCTACTA	TACTAGGGGT	GCCGCTAATT	GGCYTAGCCC	TTACTACTACC
10	ACACAACCCCT	GAAGCTGACC	ATGAACCTTAG	GCTTTTTTGA	CCAATTTTATA	AGCCCCACTA	TACTAGGAGT	CCCATTAAAT	GGCYTAGCCC	TTACTACTCC
12	ACACAACCCCT	GAAGCTGACC	ATGAACCTTAG	GCTTTTTTGA	CCAATTTTATA	AGCCCTACTA	TACTAGGAGT	CCCATTAAAT	GGCYTAGCCC	TTAGCCTTCC

05	GTGACTAATA	TTCCCTAAAA	CAACTAATCA	TTGACTAAAT	ATCGACCTCT	CAACCAACAA	AACCTTGATTC	TTGCGACTAT	TTACAAAAA	ACTTATACTC
07	ATGATTACTG	TTTCCTAANA	CAACTAACCA	TTGGTTAAAC	AACCGCCTAT	CAACCAACAA	AACCTGGCTC	TTTGGTATAT	TTACTAAACA	ACTTATACTT
10	ATGATTGCTG	TTTCCAAAGA	CAACAGACCA	TTGGCTAAAT	AACCGCCTAT	CAACCAACAA	AACCTGGTTC	TTTGGTATAT	TTACTAAGCA	GCTTATGCTC
12	ATGATTACTG	TTTCCAAAGA	CAACAGACCA	TTGGCTAAAT	AACCGCCTGT	CAACCAACAA	AACCTGGTTC	TTTGGTATAT	TTACTAAGCA	ACTTATACTT

05	CCAATTAATA	TT								
07	CCAATTGGCG	TT								
10	CCAATTGGCA	TT								
12	CCAATTGGCA	TT								

05	TQPWNWPWI*	MNLGFFDQFM	SPTVLGIPLI	GLSLTLPWLM	FPKTTNHWLN	IDLSTKQTFW	FGLFTKQLML	PINI		
07	TQPWNWPWI*	MNLGFFDQFM	SPTMLGVPLI	GLALTLPLWL	FPKTTNHWLT	NRLSTTQTWL	FGMFTKQLML	PIGV		
10	TQPWSWPWT*	MNLGFFDQFM	SPTMLGVPLI	GLALTLPLWL	FPKTTDHWLN	NRLSTTQTWF	FGMFTKQLML	PIGI		
12	TQPWSWPWT*	MNLGFFDQFM	SPTMLGVPLI	GLALTLPLWL	FPKTTDHWLN	NRLSTTQTWF	FGMFTKQLML	PIGI		

Fig. 2. mtDNA sequence data for 12S rRNA (top panel), ATPase (middle panel) and ATPase amino acid sequences (lower panel), with overlap between ATPase genes. DNA sequences are light strands, from 5' to 3' end. The taxa studied are : 1) *Cynops ensicauda*, 2) *Neurergus strauchii*, 3) *Paramesotriton* sp., 4) *Triturus alpestris*, 5) *T. boscai*, 6) *T. cristatus*, 7) *T. helveticus*, 8) *T. italicus*, 9) *T. marmoratus*, 10) *T. montandoni*, 11) *T. vittatus*, and 12) *T. vulgaris*. Asterisks indicate the termination codon for the ATPase 8 gene.

published sequences were added to the data set, lengthening the fragment to 305 positions, with no regions of ambiguous alignment (sensu Titus and Larson, 1995).

One-hundred and sixty-one of the 212 ATPase nucleotide positions were identical across the four

species studied and 38 variable positions differed by a single substitution in one taxon (Fig. 2). This left 13 (6.1%) nucleotide positions with potentially, phylogenetically relevant information. The sequence difference between *T. boscai* and in-group taxa ranged between 18.9% and 19.3%. Within

the *vulgaris* species group the distances were considerably lower, ranging from 3.3% between *T. montandoni* and *T. vulgaris* to 10.4% between *T. montandoni* and *T. helveticus*. Taking the short stretch of sequence overlap between both ATPase genes into account, the transition: transversion ratio among in-group taxa was 3.2 and the number of substitutions at the first, second, and third codon position were 5, 3, and 17, respectively. Following these relationships, the weights assigned to transitions versus transversions were 3 and 21 whereas the weights applied to the first, second and third codon positions were 8, 14, and 2. At the level of amino acid codon usage, 16 variable characters were found with nine synapomorphic character states for the *T. helveticus* – *T. montandoni* – *T. vulgaris* clade, four autapomorphic character states for *T. helveticus* and three synapomorphies were found for *T. montandoni* – *T. vulgaris*. The DNA sequences of *T. vulgaris* and *T. montandoni* differed only by silent substitutions.

Two-hundred and thirty-five of the 301 nucleotide positions on the 12S fragment were identical across the 12 taxa studied and 25 variable positions differed by a single substitution in one taxon, leaving 41 (13.6%) phylogenetically informative sites (Fig. 2). Half the number of variable sites were found in one-third of the 12S fragment, at the 3' end. The sequence difference between out-group and in-group taxa ranged between 6.0% and 14.0%. Within the genus *Triturus* the distances were considerably lower, ranging from 0.7% between *T. montandoni* and *T. vulgaris* [which is less than that found within *T. alpestris* (3.0%) and *T. vittatus* (1.7%)] to 10.6% between *T. cristatus* and *T. alpestris*. The average sequence difference between in-group species and an out-group taxon ranged from 7.4% (*Cynops*) to 11.2% (*Neurergus*). The transition : transversion ratio among in-group taxa was 2.8 and weights determined were 4 and 20.

Given *Cynops*, *Neurergus* and *Paramesotriton* as out-groups, the monophyly of *Triturus* appears to be strongly supported with a 89% bootstrap replication score (*Pb*) (Fig. 3). Two major in-group clusters are formed. The first, with *Pb* = 85%, consists of *T. vittatus* as a sister taxon to the *T. marmoratus* species group (*Pb* = 98%). The sec-

Fig. 3. Composite phylogeny for the genus *Triturus*, constructed from mitochondrial DNA sequence data. Thin and thick lines represent branching order as reconstructed from 12S rRNA and ATPase sequence data, respectively, with bootstrap replication scores indicated along branches.

ond group contains *T. alpestris* and all small-bodied *Triturus* species, with a low bootstrap support (*Pb* = 59%). Within this group, the monophyly of the *T. vulgaris* species group is strongly supported (*Pb* = 100%), whereas the relationship of *T. alpestris*, *T. boscai*, and *T. italicus* among themselves is poorly resolved (*Pb* < 55%). On the basis of 12S sequence data no firm conclusion can be drawn regarding the branching order within the *vulgaris* species group. However, the ATPase sequence data provided strong support for the sister taxon status of *T. montandoni* and *T. vulgaris* (*Pb* = 96, Fig. 3) and the same bootstrap value was observed when amino-acid sequence data were analyzed instead of the DNA sequence. Under the weighting scheme topologically similar results were obtained, with three reservations: 1) with the ATPase gene fragment, a score of *Pb* = 100 was observed for the *vulgaris* – *montandoni* clade, while not with the 12S rRNA gene fragment; 2) generally less phylogenetic resolution and lower bootstrap replication scores were observed on well-established branches; and, 3) an equally parsimonious solution was found in which the genus *Triturus* is paraphyletic. Sequences derived from the same or congeneric species by different authors (Caccone et al., 1994;

Fig. 4. (A) Molecular phylogeny for salamandrid salamanders reconstructed from 12S rRNA sequence data as published by C1, Caccone et al. (1994); C2, Caccone et al. (1994: 523); H, Hay et al. (1995); TL, Titus and Larson (1995) and PS, present study. (B) Schematic phylogeny for salamandrid genera as derived from 12S and 16S rRNA sequence data (adapted from Titus and Larson, 1995). Note the possible paraphyly of the genus *Triturus*.

Titus & Larson, 1995; Hay et al., 1995 and present study) are very similar to one another and consequently the taxa they represent are placed close together in the phylogenetic tree that has maximum parsimony (i.e., *Cynops* sp., *Neurergus strauchii*, *Notophthalmus viridescens*, *Paramesotriton* sp., *Pleurodeles waltl*, *Salamandra salamandra*, *Triturus alpestris*, *T. cristatus* superspecies and *T. vulgaris*).

With a wide range of out-groups included in the analysis, the monophyly of the genus *Triturus* was not supported. The sister clade to the subgenus *Palaeotriton* would not be the subgenus *Triturus* (i.e., *T. vittatus*, *T. marmoratus*, and the *T. cristatus* superspecies), but the subgenus *Triturus* plus *Taricha* and *Notophthalmus* and the sister clade to this group would be composed of *Cynops*, *Euproctus*, *Neurergus*, *Pachytriton*, and *Paramesotriton* (Fig. 4A). Templeton's test indicated that the

difference between the shortest tree and the tree in which *Triturus* is monophyletic was significant ($P < 0.05$).

Discussion

We have tested an existing phylogeny of *Triturus* against independent molecular data. Two PCR-amplified fragments of the mtDNA molecule with relatively low (12S rRNA) and high rates of evolution (ATPase) were employed to test some of the earlier and more recent speciation events of the *Triturus* radiation, respectively. The chosen fragments showed evolutionary rates that were anticipated and that were appropriate for addressing phylogenetic questions at this taxonomic level. Faster evolving protein-coding ATPase genes can be reliable tracers of evolutionary history among

close relatives (as within the *T. vulgaris* species group), where silent, third codon position substitutions account for most variation (Zardoya and Meyer, 1996). On the other hand, if the saturation of transitions is suspected, it is imperative to reduce the emphasis on, or even eliminate, this class of substitutions from phylogenetic analysis (Disotell et al., 1992; Knight and Mindell, 1993). Although intuitively appealing, differential weighting may downweight informative transitions in conserved regions and upweight transversions primarily present in more variable regions of the molecule, thereby obscuring phylogenetic relationships (Titus and Larson, 1995; Mindell and Tacker, 1996). Given the observed transition bias, saturation was not prevalent among the compared sequences. The observation that with weighting the bootstrap support for congruent sections of competing phylogenetic solutions dropped and the phylogenetic resolution decreased, supports this view.

Our analysis presents a hypothesis that is concordant with well-supported areas in the established *Triturus* phylogeny. As to the specific questions we raised, some appear to be solved while others are not. The new data do not help to elucidate the relationship between *T. boscai* and *T. italicus*. Their monophyly was suggested by allozyme data and, ambiguously, by behavioral data (Arntzen and Sparreboom, 1989). The observation of 'flamenco' behavior in the sexual repertoire of *T. helveticus* (M. Faria, pers. comm.) and *T. marmoratus pygmaeus* (T. Halliday, pers. comm., M. Sparreboom, pers. comm.) further erodes the support for *T. boscai* – *T. italicus* monophyly. Similarly, the current study does not convincingly clarify the position of *T. alpestris*. The phylogenetic position of this species could also not be satisfactorily resolved from behavioral data (Arntzen and Sparreboom, 1989). Bolkey (1928) placed it in a third subgenus with intermediate characteristics, in between *Triturus* and *Palaeotriton*.

The 12S rRNA sequence data support the placement of *T. vittatus* as the sister taxon to the *T. marmoratus* species group in the subgenus *Triturus*, as was inferred from its breeding behavior (Arntzen and Sparreboom, 1989). This challenges the inferences from the phenetic analyses of osteological (Rafinski and Pecio, 1989), karyological (Bucci-

Innocenti et al., 1983), morphological (Giacoma and Balletto, 1988) and immunological data (Busack et al., 1988). According to these studies, *T. vittatus* is more similar to *T. alpestris* or the small-bodied newts of the subgenus *Palaeotriton*. Immunological data (Busack et al., 1988) suggested a sister taxon relationship for *T. v. vulgaris* and *T. helveticus*. The ATPase sequences provide strong support for the monophyly of *T. vulgaris* and *T. montandoni*, in line with allozyme data (Rafinski and Arntzen, 1987).

The rate of 12S rRNA molecular evolution in some Palearctic salamandrids was calibrated as approximately 3 mA of lineage independence per percent sequence divergence and 7 mA per percent sequence divergence when only transversions are considered (Caccone et al., 1994). Applying these rates provides an estimate of 12–19 mA for the age of the *T. vittatus* lineage (versus the *T. marmoratus* species group) in the subgenus *Triturus* and an estimate of 14–19 mA for the *T. boscai* lineage (versus the *T. vulgaris* species group) within the subgenus *Palaeotriton*. This is in line with estimates from fossil, biochemical, molecular and biogeographical data that converge to 14–15 mA for the *T. vittatus* lineage and to 13–15 mA for the *T. boscai* lineage (reviewed in Oosterbroek and Arntzen, 1992).

The monophyly of the genus *Triturus*, although widely accepted, is defined on the basis of somewhat vague character state descriptions ('a suite of behavioral character states' and 'a high level of sexual dimorphism' – Halliday, 1977) that are not explicit synapomorphies. Moreover, a feature such as the potential for interbreeding (Wolterstorff and Herre, 1935) is explicitly plesiomorphic. The monophyly of the genus *Triturus* has recently been put into question in a molecular phylogenetic study of the family Salamandridae (Titus and Larson, 1995) and in a molecular biogeographical study of *Euproctus* (Caccone et al., 1994). In both of them only two *Triturus* species were involved. With just the representatives of the genera *Cynops*, *Neurergus* and *Paramesotriton* as out-groups, a denser in-group taxon sampling does not challenge the hypothesis of *Triturus* monophyly. However, 12S and 16S mtDNA sequence data indicate that the genera *Cynops*, *Neurergus* and *Paramesotriton*

themselves may be in-group taxa relative to *Triturus* (Fig. 4B). In the light of these results, their *a priori* choice as out-groups to the genus *Triturus* may have been unfortunate.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the laboratory facilities provided by Prof. T. Burke, the assistance of Dr. D. Thomaz, and the constructive comments of Drs. A. Zuiderwijk and an anonymous reviewer.

Literature

- Arnason U, Xu X, Gullberg A. 1996. Comparison between the complete mitochondrial DNA sequences of *Homo* and the common chimpanzee based on nonchimeric sequences. *J. Mol. Evol.* 42: 145-152.
- Arntzen JW, Sparreboom M. 1989. A phylogeny of the Old World newts, genus *Triturus*: biochemical and behavioural data. *J. Zool. (Lond.)* 219: 645-664.
- Bolkay SJ. 1928. Die Schädel der Salamandrinen, mit besonderer Rücksicht auf ihre systematische Bedeutung. *Zeitschr. Anat. Entwicklungsgesch.* 86: 259-319.
- Bucci-Innocenti S, Ragghianti M, Mancino G. 1983. Investigations of karyology and hybrids in *Triturus boscai* and *T. vittatus*, with reinterpretation of the species groups within *Triturus* (Caudata: Salamandridae). *Copeia* 1983: 662-672.
- Busack SD, Jericho BG, Maxson LR, Uzzell T. 1988. Evolutionary relationships of salamanders in the genus *Triturus*: The view from immunology. *Herpetologica* 44: 307-316.
- Caccone A, Milinkovitch MC, Sbordoni V, Powell JR. 1994. Molecular biogeography: using the Corsica – Sardinia microplate disjunction to calibrate mitochondrial rDNA evolutionary rates in mountain newts (*Euproctus*). Erratum. *J. Evol. Biol.* 7: 227-245, 523-524.
- Caccone A, Milinkovitch MC, Sbordoni V, Powell JR. 1997. Mitochondrial DNA rates and biogeography in European newts (genus *Euproctus*). *Syst. Biol.* 46: 126-144.
- Disotell T R, Honeycutt RL, Ruvolo M. 1992. Mitochondrial DNA phylogeny of the Old-World monkey tribe Papionini. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 9: 1-13.
- Erlich HA (ed.). 1989. *PCR Technology. Principles and Applications for DNA amplification*. New York: Stockton Press.
- Felsenstein J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: An approach using the bootstrap. *Evolution* 39: 783-791.
- Giacoma C, Balletto E. 1988. Phylogeny of the salamandrid genus *Triturus*. *Boll. Zool.* 55: 337-360.
- Grachev MA, Slobodyanyuk SJ, Kholodilov NG, Fyodorov SP, Belikov SI, Sherbakov DY, Sideleva VG, Zubin AA, Kharchenko VV. 1992. Comparative study of two protein-coding regions of mitochondrial DNA from three endemic sculpins (Cottoidei) of Lake Baikal. *J. Mol. Evol.* 34: 85-90.
- Halliday TR. 1977. The courtship of European newts: an evolutionary perspective. In: Taylor DH, Gutman SE, eds. *The reproductive biology of amphibians*. New York: Plenum Press, 185-232.
- Halliday T, Arano B. 1991. Resolving the phylogeny of the European newts. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 6: 107-117.
- Hay JM, Ruvinsky I, Hedges SB, Maxson LR. 1995. Phylogenetic relationships of amphibian families inferred from DNA sequences of mitochondrial 12S and 16S ribosomal RNA genes. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 12: 928-937.
- Hickson RE, Simon C, Cooper A, Spicer GS, Sullivan J, Penny D. 1996. Conserved sequence motifs, alignment, and secondary structure for the third domain of animal 12S rRNA. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 13: 150-169.
- Higgins DG, Sharp PM. 1988. CLUSTAL: a package for performing multiple sequence alignment on a microcomputer. *Gene* 73: 237-244.
- Hillis DM, Bull JJ. 1993. An empirical test of bootstrapping as a method for assessing confidence in phylogenetic analysis. *Syst. Biol.* 42: 182-192.
- Knight A, Mindell DP. 1993. Substitution bias, weighting of DNA sequence evolution and the phylogenetic position of Fea's viper. *Syst. Biol.* 42: 18-31.
- Kocher TD, Thomas WK, Meyer A, Edwards SW, Pääbo S, Villablanca FX, Wilson AC. 1989. Dynamics of mitochondrial DNA evolution in animals: Amplification and sequencing with conserved primers. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 86: 6196-6200.
- Kumar S. 1996. Patterns of nucleotide substitution in mitochondrial protein coding genes of vertebrates. *Genetics* 143: 537-548.
- Macgregor HC, Sessions SK, Arntzen JW. 1990. An integrative analysis of phylogenetic relationships among newts of the genus *Triturus* (family Salamandridae), using comparative biochemistry, cytogenetics and reproductive interactions. *J. Evol. Biol.* 3: 329-373.
- Mindell DP, Honeycutt RL. 1990. Ribosomal RNA in vertebrates: Evolution and phylogenetic implications. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 21: 541-566.
- Mindell DP, Tacker CE. 1996. Rates of molecular evolution: phylogenetic issues and applications. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 27: 279-303.
- Oosterbroek P, Arntzen JW. 1992. Area-cladograms of circum-Mediterranean taxa in relation to Mediterranean palaeogeography. *J. Biogeogr.* 19: 3-20.
- Rafinski J, Pecio A. 1989. Craniometric studies on the species of the genus *Triturus*, Rafinesque, 1815 (Amphibia, Salamandridae). *Folia Biol.* 37: 131-150.
- Rafinski J, Arntzen JW. 1987. Biochemical systematics of the Old World newts, genus *Triturus*: allozyme data. *Herpetologica* 43: 446-457.
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T. 1989. *Molecular cloning: A laboratory manual*. New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
- Sanger F, Nickeln S, Coulson AR. 1977. DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 74: 5463-5467.

- Swofford DL. 1991.** *PAUP – phylogenetic analysis using parsimony, version 3.1*. Champaign: Illinois Natural History Survey.
- Templeton AR. 1983.** Phylogenetic inference from restriction endonuclease cleavage site maps with particular reference to the evolution of humans and apes. *Evolution* 37: 221-244.
- Titus TA, Larson A. 1995.** A molecular phylogenetic perspective on the evolutionary radiation of the Salamander family Salamandridae. *Syst. Biol.* 44: 125-151.
- Wallis GP, Arntzen JW. 1989.** Mitochondrial DNA variation in the crested newt superspecies: Limited cytoplasmic gene flow among species. *Evolution* 43: 88-104.
- Wolterstorff W, Herre W. 1935.** Die Gattungen der Wassermolche der Familie Salamandridae. *Archiv Naturgesch.* 4: 217-229.
- Zardoya R, Meyer A. 1996.** Phylogenetic performance of mitochondrial protein-coding genes in resolving relationships among vertebrates. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 13: 933-942.
- Zhang D-X, Hewitt GM. 1996.** Nuclear integrations: challenges for mitochondrial DNA markers. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 11: 247-251.

Received: 4 March 1998