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Abstract

This article reports antler remains from the Late Paleolithic site of Climăuți II (Republic of Moldova) confirming the presence of wapiti *Cervus canadensis* in the Late Pleistocene of Western Eurasia. The occurrence of wapiti in the East Carpathian area by 20 ky BP coincides with the local extinction of *Megaloceros giganteus*, *Crocuta spelaea*, and *Ursus spelaeus*, and substitution of local forest reindeer with grazing tundra-steppe *Rangifer tarandus constantini*. We here provide an overview of paleontological data and opinions on the presence of *Cervus canadensis* in Europe, a discussion on the taxonomic status and systematic position of the extinct deer *Cervus elaphus palmidactyloceros*, and propose a dispersal model for wapiti in Europe during the Late Pleistocene.
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Introduction

The debates on presence of wapiti-like deer in Europe have a long history since Owen (1846) described poorly represented fossil remains of an unusually large elaphine deer that rivalled the giant deer *Megaloceros giganteus* in size. Under the term “elaphine deer” we here understand the Eurasian and North American deer of the genus *Cervus* (red deer and wapiti) that were traditionally ascribed to the single species *Cervus elaphus* (Flerov, 1952; Sokolov, 1959), but have complicated phylogenetic relationships according to the modern genetic data (Kuwayama and Ozawa, 2000). Therefore, our understanding of the “elaphine deer” group is more restricted than the definition proposed by Lydekker (1898), who also includes Thorold’s deer *Przewalskium albirostris* in his elaphine group.

Owen (1846) reported and figured an extremely large basal antler portion from the Late Pleistocene deposits of Kent’s Cavern (England) that recalled European red deer morphology but with large dimensions approaching those of the largest modern North American wapiti antlers. The antler fragment bears two basal tines (brow and bez) as in modern red deer; the circumference of the beam, according to Owen (1846), measures 381 mm (15 inches) between the brow and the bez tines. The circumference above the burr attains 375 mm, thus greatly exceeding the analogous measurements of modern European red deer *Cervus elaphus L.*, which range between 220 and 230 mm (Friant, 1957). Owen (1846) defined this unusual deer antler from Kent’s Cavern as a representative of the “round-antlered section of Cervine genus” and designated it as a type specimen for a new species within the new subgenus *Strongyloceros* (together with *Cervus elaphus*, *Cervus strongyloceros*, and *Cervus canadensis*): *Cervus (Strongyloceros) spelaeus*. This proposed subgenus *Strongyloceros* thus created the tautonym *Cervus (Strongyloceros) strongyloceros* Schreber, 1836 for the type species of the subgenus. Owen (1846) assumed a close relationship of this new species from Kent’s Cavern with North American wapiti *Cervus strongyloceros* Schreber, 1836 and *Cervus canadensis* Brisson, 1756, with a remark that the deer from Kent’s Cavern may differ in having a longer distance between the brow and bez tines. The remains
of Strongyloceros spelaeus and associated fauna come from the “terre de la caverne” layer of Würmian Age (Friant, 1957). According to Higham et al. (2011), most of Kent’s Cavern fauna from the main cave deposits is dated back to 50,000 – 25,000 years before present.

By the end of 19th century, several reports on the presence of large fossil wapiti-like deer in Europe appeared in the scientific literature. Gervais (1861, 1872) reported a large-sized Cervus strongyloceros from the Cave of Pontil near Saint-Pons (Herault, Southern France) and Loubeau Grotto near Mille (Western France), associated with a typical Late Pleistocene fauna. Belgrand (1883: Pl. 22, fig. 1) described a proximal part of elaphine antler with pedicle from the Seine valley as “Cervus (canadensis?)” noticing the exceptionally large size of the antler (the antler beam circumference above the bez tine is 210 mm). De Rance (1888) reported Strongyloceros spelaeus from Cefn Cave (Wales) in association with a Late Pleistocene fauna. Geist (1998) indicates the presence of wapiti-like antlers (figured by Ahlén 1965; publication not available to the authors) in early postglacial deposits of Sweden. Nonetheless, some authors, for example De Stefano (1911), considered that the presence of Cervus canadensis in Europe cannot be proven based solely on poorly preserved osteological remains.

Meanwhile, Cervus elaphus palmidactyloceros De Stefano, 1911 is another large-sized elaphine deer with a pending taxonomical status and systematical position. This intriguing deer from the Late Pleistocene – Early Holocene of Italy is distinguished by its large “wapitoid” body size and the presence of palmated antlers that are unusual for red deer (De Stefano, 1911). Apart from the work of Abbazzi (1995), who regarded C. elaphus palmidactyloceros as an individual morphological variant of European red deer, this poorly understood elaphine deer has enjoyed little scientific attention.

Lydekker (1915) regarded Strongyloceros Owen as a junior synonym of Cervus Linnaeus and this viewpoint was generally followed since then. Friant (1952) on the other hand raised Strongyloceros to the generic status and accepted Owen’s species as valid. Apart from the very large size of antlers, Friant (1952, 1957) indicated other diagnostic characteristics of Strongyloceros spelaeus such as the oblique position of antler base with respect to the pedicle, and the complete fusion of the radius and the ulna. It is important to note that Friant (1957: 61) reported both Strongyloceros spelaeus and Cervus elaphus in the fauna of the Kent’s Cavern. Friant (1957) also ascribed the remains of a large-sized deer from continental Europe, earlier described as Cervus primigenius Kaup, to Strongyloceros spelaeus; even though, as she notes, the antlers of this continental large-sized stag remained unknown.

According to Lister (1987), some of specimens from Kent’s Cavern ascribed by Friant (1952, 1957) to Strongyloceros spelaeus actually belong to M. giganteus: the palatal fragment Nr. 5646 with upper tooth series with weak lingual cingulum in upper molars (Friant, 1957: Pl. III, fig. 1), the left hemimandible Nr. 14.11.35 (Friant, 1957: Pl. III, fig. 3) with well-expressed pachyostosis (the thickness of mandible in front of the third molar amounts to 37 mm), which falls within the range of variation of Megaloceros giganteus samples from Ireland (28.1-41.5 mm) curated at the Natural History Museum of London), the metacarpal Nr. 24.1.1938 (Friant, 1957: Pl. IV, fig. 6), and the metatarsal Nr. 16.3.1936 (Friant 1957: Pl. IV, fig. 7). Indeed, the metapodials from Kent’s Cavern perfectly correspond to metapodial measurements of the robust short-limbed form of giant deer (Lister, 1994; Croitor et al., 2014). The radius-
ulna Nr. 5.22, which was regarded by Friant (1957: Pl. IV, fig. 8) as evidence for the complete fusion of radius and ulna in the Kent’s Cavern giant stag, actually belongs to a horse (Lister, 1987). Lister (1987), however, confirmed that the osteological remains of the cave stag from Kent’s Cavern are much larger than those of any living European red deer and equal in size to modern American and Siberian wapiti. Lister (1987) also indicated stockier limb bones of the wapiti-like deer from Kent’s Cavern (“too short for their breadth”: ibidem), which approach the proportions of westernmost living wapiti C. canadensis songaricus (C. elaphus songaricus according to Lister, 1987).

Finally, the analysis of mitochondrial DNA of elaphine deer remains from Kent’s Cavern revealed that they belong to European red deer Cervus elaphus (Meiri et al., 2013), therefore the question on the presence of wapiti in the fauna from Kent’s Cavern remains open. According to Lister (personal communication) the presence of wapiti in the fauna of Kent’s Cavern is disproven, since the bones with Cervus elaphus mtDNA are large. The diagnostic distal antlers parts are missing in the European palaeontological record, representing the main obstacle for the confirmation of wapiti (Cervus canadensis) in Western Eurasia (Friant, 1957).

The present communication proposes a description of antler fragments from the Palaeolithic site of Climăuți II (Dniester valley, Șoldanești District, Republic of Moldova) that undoubtly attest the presence of wapiti remains in this Late Pleistocene human settlement.

The site of Climăuți II (Fig. 1) was discovered in 1989 and represents a Palaeolithic site, exceptional in Moldova, of specialized mammoth hunters with remains of a dwelling made of mammoth skulls, tusks, and scapulae (Borziac et al., 2007). The majority of the fossil animal remains comes from the upper layer dated to 20 350 ± 230 y. BP (David and Obada, 1996). The osteological material unearthed from the upper layer of the Climăuți site includes 1323 mammal bone fragments, the majority of which (83%) belongs to Mammutthus primigenius (19 individuals). The fragments of large cervid antlers ascribed to Cervus elaphus by Borziac et al. (2007) were also retrieved from the upper layer. These antler fragments bear tool marks and are the only remains representing this cervid at the site, strongly

Figure 2. The distal fragment of the left antler of Cervus canadensis from Climăuți II CLM-II-381: A, lateral view; B, upper view; C, view from the broken proximal side.
suggesting that the antler remains have been brought by the ancient humans to the site, possibly as raw material for product manufacture. The exceptionally large size of the antler fragments, in comparison to those of modern red deer, allowed Borziac et al. (2007) to conclude that the elaphine deer from Climăuți II had “des conditions excellentes de vie”. Besides the remarkably large size, the antlers possess some important diagnostic characteristics at the distal portion that permit us to attest to the presence of a new peculiar faunal element in the Climăuți II site: wapiti (*Cervus canadensis*).

**Material and research methods**

The antler remains from Climăuți II are stored at the Paleontological Museum of the Institute of Zoology of the Academy of Sciences of Moldova. The material is represented by a distal portion of the left antler (CLM-II-381) and a fragment of the left antler from the area of the middle (trez) tine bifurcation (CLM-II-382). Both specimens bear traces of human activity: specimen CLM-II-381 is cut below the middle tine (Fig. 2, C), while specimen CLM-II-382 bears multiple cut marks and impact pits on the medial side (Fig. 4, C).

The systematic description is focused on the morphology of the distal crown, taxonomically meaningful for systematic classification of the genus *Cervus* at the species and subspecies level (Heptner and Zalkin, 1947; Flerov, 1952; Geist, 1998). The abbreviations used in the text are: DLM, lateromedial diameter; DAP, anteroposterior diameter; L, length; D, breadth; M, mean value; min, minimum; max, maximum.

**Systematic Description**

Family Cervidae Goldfuss, 1820
Subfamily Cervinae Goldfuss, 1820
Genus *Cervus* Linnaeus, 1758
*Cervus canadensis* Erxleben, 1777

**Synonymy**
1836: *Cervus canadensis* Brisson: Schreber, p. 990, tab. 246A.
1836: *Cervus strongyloceros* Schreber, p. 1074, tabs. 247F-G.
1846: *Cervus (Strongyloceros) spelaeus* Owen, p. 469, fig. 193.
1846: *Cervus (Strongyloceros) canadensis* Brisson: Owen, p. 469.
1846: *Cervus (Strongyloceros) strongyloceros* Schreber: Owen, p. 469.
1853: *Cervus intermedius* de Serres: Pomer, p. 104.
1883: *Cervus (canadensis?)* Brisson: Belgrand, p. 24, pl. 22, fig. 1.
1888: *Strongyloceros spelaeus* Owen: De Rance, p. 11.
2010: *Cervus elaphus spelaeus* Owen: Van der Made, p. 434.

**Taxonomic remarks**

Schreber (1836) granted the authorship of the species *Cervus canadensis* to Brisson (1756). Nonetheless,
Brisson’s “Regnum Animale” is regarded today as unavailable for zoological taxonomy, since Brisson (1756) did not apply binominal zoological nomenclature in his species descriptions. Also, according to the Article 3.2 of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, no name published before 1758 enters zoological nomenclature. Although a number of important generic names are currently accepted as from Brisson (Gentry, 1994), none of his specific names are accepted as available. Regarding the case of *Cervus canadensis* in particular, we add that “Cervus Canadensis” as mentioned by Brisson (1756) is directly quoted from Ray (1693: p. 84) who used it together with “Cervus Europaeus” as an indication of the geographic provenance of the common deer *Cervus*, not as a binomial term. Therefore, these quotations should not be regarded as available species designations.

Thus, Erxleben (1777) was the first who introduced the binominal species name *Cervus canadensis* for the Canadian stag or wapiti. Schreber (1836) proposed a new species name for a large form of North American deer *Cervus strongyloceros* accompanied by a brief description and figures representing a pelage color of a female and a shed antler. In the same work, Schreber (1836) published a description of “Cervus canadensis Brisson” supplemented with a figure of a stag with fantastic undulated antlers, suggesting that the author was poorly acquainted with this species.

Severtzoff (1873, 1876) was the first who noticed the striking resemblance between Siberian “*Cervus maral*” (not to be confused with *Cervus elaphus maral* Ogilby currently given to the Caspian red deer) and North American *Cervus canadensis*, indicating that the only difference between those two cervid forms is that Canadian deer lack the seasonal change in pelage color (based on an observation of only two captive individuals).

Lydekker (1898) regarded wapiti as a valid species *Cervus canadensis* and introduced a new subspecies name *C. canadensis asiaticus* for Asian wapiti which apparently represents a modification of *Cervus maral asiatica* as quoted from Severtzoff (1873). According to Allen (1930), Severtzoff (1873) used the term *asiatica* in a group sense, not as a technical name, and therefore it should be rejected, while the name *C. canadensis asiaticus* should then derive from Lydekker (1898).

Heptner and Zalkin (1947: 69) consider that populations of Siberian wapiti in natural conditions are genetically isolated from Western and Central Asian red deer (i.e. European forms of *Cervus elaphus* and the Asian subspecies *C. elaphus yarkandensis*, *C. elaphus hanglu*, *C. elaphus affinis*, and *C. elaphus bactrianus*), stressing, however, that the areas of distribution of Asian wapitis and red deer forms never overlap, therefore most probably we are dealing with subspecies of the same species. The easy hybridization between wapiti and red deer is also regarded by Heptner and Zalkin (1947) as an argument for including various geographical elaphine forms as subspecies in the single species *Cervus elaphus*.

Flerov (1952: 175) included Asian wapitis in the subspecies *C. elaphus canadensis* together with North American wapitis. Sokolov (1959) rejected Flerov’s (1952) viewpoint and suggested that the remarkable similarity in pelage coloring and antler shape of *Cervus elaphus sibiricus* Severtzov and *Cervus elaphus canadensis* Erxleben should be regarded as an evolutionary parallelism and preferred to keep them as distinct subspecies of *Cervus elaphus* Linnaeus.

Schonewald (1994), however, concluded that the differences in behavior (male vocalization), differences in sexual dimorphism, and semi-lethal (less viable) hybridization, support a species rank of *Cervus canadensis*.

Geist (1998) regards wapitis as an eastern radiation of red deer that moved into dry, cold, continental regions and adapted to a grazing lifestyle in open landscapes. Although Geist (1998) continues to regard wapitis as a group of subspecies within *Cervus elaphus*, he confirms an effective reproductive isolation under natural conditions between Asian wapitis and the red deer subspecies *C. elaphus yarkandensis*. Although hybrids between wapiti and European red deer are obtained in captivity, Geist (1998) reports a poor health condition of such hybrids that likely would cause a low survival rate under natural conditions. Geist (1998) also reports ethological, physiological, and biological differences between wapiti and European red deer: the character of rutting call, courtship postures, differences in annual biological cycles, etc.

The species rank of *Cervus canadensis* is supported by recent genetic studies. Kuwayama and Ozawa (2000) revealed a closer phylogenetic relationship of Asian and North American wapiti with sika deer (*Cervus nippon*) than with Western (European) red deer (*Cervus elaphus*) inferred from mitochondrial DNA sequences. The mitochondrial DNA analysis carried out by Pitra et al. (2004) and Ludt et al. (2004) confirmed the monophyletic character of both Western red deer group and Eastern wapiti group and a closer association of wapiti with *Cervus nippon* and *Przewalskium albirostris*. Taking into consideration...
the evidence on reproductive isolation of wapiti and Western red deer populations under natural conditions (Heptner and Zalkin, 1947; Geist, 1998), the physiological, ethological, and ecological differences reported by Geist (1998), confirming that Western red deer and wapiti occupy different ecological niches, and the genetic data opposing Western red deer to wapiti and sika deer, we regard wapiti as a valid species, *Cervus canadensis*, that is clearly distinct from red deer *Cervus elaphus*.

**Wapiti remains from Climăuți II**

The specimen CLM-II-381 represents a distal portion of left antler with basal part of middle (trep) tine and partially preserved crown tines (Figs. 2, 3). The antler surface bears weak longitudinal ribs and furrows and is covered with fine pearling, which is weak compared to that seen in European *Cervus elaphus*. The middle tine springs off sideward and forms an angle of 80° with the beam. The crown shape represents a comb-like morphological pattern typical for *Cervus canadensis*: the antler crown consists of three tines that are situated almost in the same plane (more or less parasagittal with respect to the animal’s main body axis). The first crown tine is the strongest and apparently the longest as well, as is typical for *Cervus canadensis*. The two distal tines are somewhat inwardly curved (towards the sagittal plane). The antler beam is cylindrical between the middle tine and the distal crown (Table 1); however, the antler becomes somewhat flattened in the area of bifurcations. The cross-section of the middle tine is circular, while the crown tines are significantly medio-laterally compressed.

The circumference of the antler beam between the bez and trez tines of specimen CLM-II-381 (Tab. 2) is just slightly below the mean value of antler circumference above the bez tine of the sample of modern *C. canadensis* from North America reported by Lydekker (1898) and is slightly larger than the maximal value of the sample of antlers of modern *C. canadensis* from Alaska reported by Boeskorov (2005). It should be noted that the sample described by Lydekker (1898) includes exceptionally large hunter trophies. The antler from Climăuți II corresponds to the most robust antlers of *C. canadensis cherskii* from the Late Pleistocene of Eastern Siberia (Tab. 2). The length of the beam segment between the trez tine and the first crown tine is absolutely and relatively significantly longer in the antler from Climăuți II than in the holotype of *C. canadensis cherskii* (ca. 147 mm; measured from Fig. 2d in Boeskorov, 2005) with respect to the circumference of the beam segment between the bez and trez tines, which attains 190 mm in the holotype of *C. canadensis cherskii*. The relative length of distal beam segment is regarded by Boeskorov (2005) as one of the diagnostic characters of his subspecies. The distance between the trez tine and the first crown tine in the antler from Climăuți is also much longer than the analogous measurement in *C. canadensis fossilis*

### Table 1. *Cervus canadensis* from Climăuți II: measurements of the antler CLM-II-381.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurements</th>
<th>mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distance between the trez and first crown tines</td>
<td>410.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLM of the antler beam between the trez tine and the crown</td>
<td>52.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAP of the antler beam between the trez tine and the crown</td>
<td>55.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D max of the trez tine</td>
<td>43.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D min of the trez tine</td>
<td>42.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D max of the beam below the trez tine</td>
<td>74.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D min of the beam below the trez tine</td>
<td>55.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circumference of the beam below the trez tine</td>
<td>211.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Circumference of the antler beam (mm) between the middle (trep) tine and the crown in fossil and modern *Cervus canadensis*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen / sample</th>
<th>Geographical provenance</th>
<th>Beam circumference (max – M – min)</th>
<th>Number of specimens</th>
<th>Data source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CLM-II-381</td>
<td>Moldova</td>
<td>176.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLM-II-382</td>
<td>Moldova</td>
<td>206.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. canadensis cherskii</td>
<td>Eastern Siberia</td>
<td>165.0 – 186.7 – 210.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Boeskorov (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. canadensis</td>
<td>North America</td>
<td>174.6 – 218.1 – 228.6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Lydekker (1898)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. canadensis</td>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>168.0 – 187.5 – 210.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Boeskorov (2005)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Zdansky, 1925 (255 mm) from the Pleistocene of China (Zdansky, 1925: Pl. XV, fig. 1). However, the antler of Chinese wapiti has a longer crown part.

The second specimen CLM-II-382 represents a portion of the beam (ca. 37 cm in length) from the area of the trez tine bifurcation and belongs to a somewhat larger antler (Fig. 4): DLM and DAP of the beam above the middle tine bifurcation are 64.3 mm and 64.5 mm respectively. The beam circumference above the middle tine places this specimen among the most robust wapiti antlers (Tab. 2). Therefore, the antlers from Climăuți II are as robust and large as the larger antlers of modern North American *Cervus canadensis* and exceed the largest specimens of fossil wapiti from Eastern Siberia in robusticity.

**Discussion**

The modern distribution of Asian *C. canadensis* ranges from Tian-Shan and Altai to the Far East and includes mountainous areas and lowland boreal forests (Flerov, 1952; Sokolov, 1959). Three subspecies of Asian wapiti are recognized by Flerov (1952): the most advanced and largest *C. canadensis canadensis* (= *C. canadensis sibirica*) with large, six-pointed antlers that occupies mountain biotopes and that is practically indistinguishable from North American wapiti; the smaller southern form *C. canadensis alashanicus* with an advanced pattern of rump patch and antlers of typical wapiti form but somewhat smaller; and the lowland-forest dweller *C. canadensis xanthopygus* with primitive (or paedomorphic) characters of body color and social organs, including smaller antlers with a short, poorly developed distal portion and a poorly distinguished rump patch (Heptner and Zalkin, 1947; Flerov, 1952; Sokolov, 1959; Geist, 1998). The northern border of the area of distribution of modern Asian wapiti lies in Central Yakutia; however, the palaeontological record indicates a much broader Late Pleistocene area of distribution reaching the far Northeast Asia (Boeskorov, 2005). *Cervus canadensis cherskii* from the Late Pleistocene of Eastern Siberia, is slightly smaller than modern North American *C. canadensis canadensis*, but its antlers are relatively larger (Boeskorov, 2005). Boeskorov (2005) also reports the relatively long distance between bez and trez tines as a distinguishing taxonomic character of...
Late Pleistocene Siberian wapiti. Nonetheless, the peculiar antler proportions in Late Pleistocene wapiti seem to be caused by the shortened distal portion of the antler that approaches C. canadensis cherskii to modern C. canadensis xanthopygus, thus suggesting the primitive condition of the short distal part of antlers in these wapitis. The relatively broad metapodials of C. canadensis cherskii represent a remarkable affinity with the robust metapodials of the cave stag from Kent’s Cavern reported by Lister (1987).

The finding of wapiti antlers in the Paleolithic site of Climăuți II confirms the dispersal into Western Eurasia of wapiti, coinciding in Moldova with a local faunal turnover shortly before 20 ky BP: the local extinction of Megaloceros giganteus, Crocuta spelaea, and Ursus spelaeus, as well as the substitution of local forest reindeer (Rangifer tarandus ssp.) with Siberian grazing tundra-steppe subspecies Rangifer tarandus constantini (Croitor, 2018). The poor preservation of the wapiti remains at Climăuți II (represented so far only by two humanly-imported specimens) may be explained by the cultural tradition of mammoth-specialized hunters at Climăuți (Borziac et al., 2007). Although we have only one individual, the relatively longer distal portion of antler from Climăuți II may suggest that we are dealing here with a quite advanced form of wapiti, more advanced than C. canadensis xanthopygus and C. canadensis cherskii, however, this conclusion should be taken with caution. The presence of wapiti in South-Eastern Europe was earlier attested by the analysis of mtDNA sequences from Late Pleistocene remains of elaphine deer from the Emine-Bair-Khosar Cave in Crimea, Ukraine (Stankovic et al., 2011). According to these authors, two Crimean specimens dated back to 33,100 ± 400 BP and 42,000 ± 1200 BP belong to a wapiti closely related to the modern southern subspecies C. elaphus palmidactyloceros (synonymized with C. canadensis canadensis by Flerov, 1952, or with C. canadensis sibirica by Sokolov, 1959). Stankovic et al. (2011) regard the arrival of wapiti in Crimea as a part of invasion of cold-loving forms into Eastern Europe at the end of the Würm II/Würm III Interstadial period. According to Stankovic et al. (2011), the modern Altai environmental conditions, where Asian wapiti survived, represent the recent analogue of the environment of the full-glacial period of Central Europe. The exceptionally large postcranial and dental remains of an elaphine deer (larger than elaphine remains from Kent’s cavern) were reported from the Peștera cu Oase site, Romania (Parfitt and Lister, 2013). The mitochondrial DNA extracted from the Romanian sample indicate clearly that the Romanian elaphine deer falls within the “wapitoid” clade (Parfitt and Lister, 2013; Meiri et al., in press).

The confirmed presence of wapiti in Europe is important for our understanding of the poorly known elaphine deer with palmated antlers from the Late Pleistocene and Early Holocene of Italy. Cervus elaphus palmydactyloceros De Stefano, 1911 is a peculiar and still poorly understood large-sized form of elaphine deer with distal portions of the antlers extending into palmations. The distal antler part consists of a flattened beam with several tines situated in the parasagittal plane (with the exception of the specimen in Tab. XIV, fig. 1 in De Stefano, 1911, where a crown tine is split into a transversally-oriented fork), unlike the multiaxial crown as typical for European red deer. De Stefano (1911) clearly distinguished this large-sized elaphine deer with palmated antlers from C. elaphus maral Ogilby, which he also reported from Italy. De Stefano (1911), however, rejected the idea that C. elaphus palmydactyloceros could be related to Cervus canadensis. The elaphine deer remains with palmated antlers come from the Late Pleistocene and the cold phase of the Younger Dryas in Italy (De Stefano, 1911; Abbazzi, 1995). The body size of De Stefano’s deer is very large: the condylobasal skull length, as measured from the photo published by Abbazzi (1995), is ca. 430 mm and falls within the range of variation of modern Siberian C. canadensis canadensis (411-444 mm) reported by Flerov (1952).

C. elaphus palmydactyloceros is characterized by remarkable distal palmations of its antlers with the palmination tines situated more or less in the same plane as in wapiti. Abbazzi (1995) casted doubts on the validity of C. elaphus palmydactyloceros, suggesting that the palmated distal portion of antler rather represents an individual variation of European red deer, noticing that some of the specimens ascribed by De Stefano (1911) to C. elaphus palmydactyloceros are not palmated. Abbazzi (1995) also quotes some examples of occasional development of palmination under certain conditions in some individuals of wapiti, which she regards as a subspecies of Cervus elaphus. Indeed, unlike European red deer, wapiti Cervus canadensis generally grows more flattened antlers that occasionally may develop palimations. The “palmated” variants of antlers are found in some individuals of modern Asian wapiti (Heptner and Zalkin, 1946: 25), as well as in North American wapiti (Nelson, 1902: fig. 3). Actually, the variability of palmination in the Italian
Conclusions

The distal part of the large elaphine deer antler from Climăuți II provides important diagnostic characters confirming the presence of wapiti (*Cervus canadensis*) in Western Eurasia during the last glaciation. The wapiti antler from Climăuți II is characterized by a relatively long beam segment between the middle (trez) tine and the first crown tine, regarded here as an advanced character opposite to the short distal antler part of modern *C. canadensis xanthopygus* from the Far East and the Late Pleistocene *C. canadensis cherskii* from Eastern Siberia. The comb-like crown of the antler from Climăuți II is composed of three tines situated in almost the same parasagittal plane. The dispersal of wapiti into the East Carpathian area may have been caused by climate changes that triggered the local extinction of *Megaloceros giganteus*, *Crocuta spelaea*, and *Ursus spelaeus*, and the substitution of local forest reindeer with Siberian grazing tundra-steppe subspecies *Rangifer tarandus constantini*. The Late Pleistocene wapiti reached the far North-West of Europe, where it was described as *Strongyloceros spelaeus* Owen, 1846 (a junior synonym of *Cervus canadensis* Erxleben, 1777) and even dispersed into the Italian Peninsula, where it survived until the cold phase of Younger Dryas as an endemic glacial holdover, *C. canadensis palmidactyloceros* De Stefano, 1911.
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