Linking Syriac Liturgies: Digitizing Card Collections and Handwritten Notes from the Archives of the Peshitta Institute

Linguistics and Literature

Wido van Peursen

Eep Talstra Centre for Bible and Computer (ETCBC), Vrije Universiteit
Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands
w.t.van.peursen@vu.nl

Geert Jan Veldman

Peshitta Institute, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands
jgveldman@hotmail.com

Abstract

The Peshitta is the Syriac translation of the Bible from the second century AD. It had an enormous cultural and literary impact in the Middle East, no less than the role that, for example, the Latin Vulgate played in large parts of (Western) Europe. Up to the present, the Peshitta plays a crucial role in the various Syriac Christian communities in the Middle East and the Syriac Diaspora. A valuable resource for Peshitta studies is the collection of handwritten notes on cards and binders from the first decades of the Peshitta Project (started 1959), which were digitized in the DANS “Klein Data Project” (KDP) *Linking Syriac Liturgies* (2018–2019). The notes relate to a wealth of information about manuscripts, liturgical traditions and calendars and various Syriac Bible translations. The KDP has made this material accessible and allows for computational research into the complex interactions between textual and structured data included in this data set, in which more than 9,000 entries containing pericopes read in liturgy are indexed according to more than 70 categories.

Keywords

Syriac – liturgy – manuscripts – Middle East – theology

- Related data set “Linking Syriac Liturgies” with DOI www.doi.org/10.17026/dans-26t-hhv7 in repository “DANS”

1. Introduction

One of the earliest traditions in Christianity is the Syriac tradition, a diverse tradition closely connected through the shared use of the Syriac language. Syriac belongs to the Aramaic branch of the West Semitic languages (cf. the [Glottolog](#) classification). The Aramaic languages cover a time period from the tenth century BC up to the present and allow for longitudinal linguistic analysis over three millennia. Syriac takes pride of place among the Aramaic languages because of its long literary tradition including poems, ancient Bible translations, apocryphal stories, historiography, Bible commentaries, philosophical tractates, and scientific works. It is the language of a long neglected branch of early Christianity, and one of the most important languages to study the religious and cultural context in which Islam emerged. Syriac translations served as a bridge between Greeks and Arabs. Syriac is still the liturgical language of Oriental Christian Churches in the Middle East and is used increasingly by these Churches in the Syriac Diaspora (on the Syriac language, see further Butts, 2018; for a short introduction to Syriac Christianity, see Murren van den Berg, 2010).

The Syriac tradition originated in the city of Edessa (nowadays Şanlıurfa in SE-Turkey). This city is located on an important trade route, in an area contested for centuries by major empires and is, therefore, a place where different cultures met. This tradition was spread through trade routes to East Asia, the Levant and Egypt. After the Christian councils of the fifth century the Syriac tradition was identifiable with four main denominations: the Syriac Orthodox (also labelled Miaphysite), East Syriac (also “Church of the East”, or Diophysite), Melkite, and Maronite community.¹

1 Simply put, “Miaphysite,” “Diophysite,” and “Melkite” are labels used to denote distinct theological doctrinal views on how to verbalize in what way Jesus Christ is to be seen as “God” and “human.” These doctrines were articulated during the fifth/sixth-century Christological debates in the Christian church following the Councils of Ephesus (431) and Chalcedon (451). The labels designating those who adhere to one nature of Christ (“Miaphysites”) and those who clearly distinguish two natures (“Diophysites”) do no justice to the complexity of theological doctrine and are usually avoided by modern scholars. The label “Melkite” (from *malkā*, “[Byzantine] emperor”) is used for Syriac adherents of the Byzantine Church who accepted the Christology proclaimed by the Council of Chalcedon. The Maronite denomination, closely connected with the West-Syriac, was named after a fourth-century religious leader. From the thirteenth century onwards it became gradually Latinized following contacts with the

The tradition's most important Bible translation is the Peshitta, which is a translation of both the Hebrew Old Testament (OT) and the Greek New Testament (NT). The translations of both corpora are dated differently and have a distinct provenance. The Peshitta OT dates from the latter part of the second century and is presumably made in Edessa. Scholarly opinions differ as to whether it is of Jewish and/or Christian origin. The Peshitta NT is a fifth-century revision of one of the earlier NT translations. The most common assumption is that it is a revision of the third-century "Old Syriac" translation. The Peshitta OT and NT became the standard translation for Syriac-speaking Christians. The name "Peshitta" is probably a later designation (the earliest reference is attested in the ninth century) and is used to suggest it being a "simple", "widespread", or even "vernacular" translation — in this respect, it has the same role as the Latin Vulgate in the West (cf. ter Haar Romeny & Morrison, 2018).

Next to the Peshitta, other translations were used for church services or exegetical purposes. ter Haar Romeny (2005) and Brock (2006a, pp. 23–29, 42–48) mention four OT-translations: Peshitta, Syro-Hexapla, Philoxenian, and Jacob of Edessa. Brock (2006a, pp. 31–37, 48–50) lists five Syriac NT-translations: Diatessaron, Old Syriac, Peshitta, Philoxenian, and Harclean. This diversity in Bible translations is reflected in the lectionaries studied for this project.

2. Materials

The archives of the Peshitta Institute, responsible for the scholarly edition of the Syriac Peshitta translation of the Old Testament (VTS: *Vetus Testamentum Syriace*), holds several handwritten collections containing valuable information for biblical research. These archives moved from Leiden to Amsterdam in 2014, when the Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam became the institute's host. This move intensified the cooperation with another research centre at the Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, the Eep Talstra Centre of Bible and Computer (ETCBC), which had been a partner in projects on the computational analysis of the Peshitta since 1999. In 2017 the ETCBC received a grant from DANS for the project *Linking Syriac Liturgies*. This project aimed to digitize some of the Peshitta Institute's handwritten collections in order to facilitate new computerised research on Syriac liturgical traditions. This *Linking Syriac Liturgies*

Roman Catholic Church. The ecclesiastical landscape presented here changed and some of the labels which to the thirteenth century could be interpreted in the aforementioned way, have nowadays to be interpreted differently.

project was combined with the CLARIAH research pilot [LinkSyr: Linking Syriac Data](#), a project involving Syriac NLP tools and Linked Data. This combination will be instrumental for the website to be established and for further research in the field of NLP connected with “liturgical” words (see below, section Concluding remarks).

The *vts* edition started in 1959. It is based on manuscript evidence that was acquired and/or photographed (stored on microfilm) in the 1960–70s. In advance of the edition, all available manuscripts were catalogued and described (Peshitta Institute, 1961),² and various handwritten collections were produced. The edition represents the earliest Peshitta text as closely as possible. Its main text is based on a sixth-/seventh-century manuscript (the earliest complete manuscript that has been preserved — earlier manuscripts, from the fifth century onwards, contain only one or two individual books of the Bible) to which variants from manuscripts up to the twelfth century are added in a critical apparatus. Only the base manuscript’s obvious errors and inferior variants are corrected depending on the most ancient manuscripts.

Two types of manuscripts were used for *vts*. These two types form indeed the materials studied for this project, that is, lectionary manuscripts and Bible manuscripts:

- Lectionary manuscripts are conceived for liturgical use since these manuscripts contain pericopes (i.e., parts of the biblical text) used for the liturgical service of a given ecclesiastical feast. One pericope, and sometimes even more pericopes, clustered under an introductory phrase (e.g., “From Genesis”) and a liturgical title (e.g., “For the second day of the week of Easter”), constitutes a reading, which is assigned to a certain ecclesiastical feast or service in commemoration of a saint. The ordering of readings follows the ecclesiastical calendar and is connected to a rite, specific to a denomination.
- Bible manuscripts are manuscripts containing a complete biblical book, either an individual book in one manuscript or clustered as a known series, for instance, the “Five Books of Moses” (i.e., the first five books of the Hebrew Bible).

The digitized materials are part of three collections. These collections are centred around a particular type of manuscript: either a lectionary or a Bible manuscript.

2 Especially its fourth supplement contained several manuscripts which are part of public and private collections in the Middle East (Peshitta Institute, 1968). Most of them are currently hard to reach, or their whereabouts are uncertain. In 2016 an agreement was reached between the Peshitta Institute and [Hill Museum & Manuscript Library](#), Saint John’s University, Collegeville, Minnesota (vHMML) to digitize a number of microfilms of manuscripts of which the deposition is uncertain.

Wim Baars meticulously studied the content of lectionary manuscripts. In the 1970s he produced two collections:

1. A card collection in which the pericopes are ordered by book, chapter, and verse, providing an overview of corresponding pericopes used in the various Syriac liturgies (see Figure 1).
2. A set of binders containing a continuous, complete description of the contents of each manuscript, presenting all available headings, colophons, and liturgical titles in Syriac, as well as presenting the readings in order of their appearance in the described manuscript (see Figure 2).

Jenner (1993) studied the liturgical titles in Syriac Bible manuscripts and brought them together in several lists. From these, we derived the third collection for our digitized data.³

For this project manuscript materials of all pre-ninth-century Bible manuscripts containing liturgical titles, a total of 39 (cf. Jenner, 1993, p. 11), and the total number of all lectionary manuscripts that were at the time available to

FIGURE 1 Example from the card collection. This card describes a pericope in a Maronite manuscript, shelfmark: Salamanca, University Library, 2647 (Peshitta Institute designation: 1317). The card contains entry no. 060-0455, Daniel 7:7–8.

PESHITTA INSTITUTE ARCHIVES

- 3 Brock (2006b) distinguished five stages in how a Bible manuscript or lectionary was prepared or designed for liturgical needs. The digitized data correspond to Brock's first and third phase, that is, (A) readings, singled out in Bible manuscripts through the insertion of a title into the body of the biblical text or by placing a title in the margins of the manuscript, and (B) pericopes, clustered under an overarching liturgical title in lectionaries. Jenner's materials reflect stage (A); Baars' materials stage (B).

FIGURE 2 Example of a manuscript description from a binder. This is the description of a Melkite manuscript, shelfmark: Sinai, Monastery of St. Catherine, Ms. 132 (Peshitta Institute designation: 1311), containing entries no. 064-0112 till 064-0123. PESHITTA INSTITUTE ARCHIVES

Baars (also a total of 39 manuscripts) were digitized (for an example of a page of a lectionary manuscript, see Figure 3). These manuscripts are dated differently, Bible manuscripts are presenting older materials than lectionary manuscripts. The total number of folios on which the data was found is 8,982, but since a folio is written on both the *recto* and the *verso* side, this number may safely be multiplied with two in order to reflect the more common “book pages”. The data set is quantified and placed into context in Tables 1–3.

The data containing the readings is presented in 9,056 entries (for the precise contents of an “entry”, see below section Data set). Most of these entries are taken from the 39 lectionary manuscripts. These are dated later than the Bible manuscripts studied by Jenner (1993), containing liturgical titles inserted in the main text to denote pericopes to be read. In the margins of several of these Bible manuscripts, annotations have been added in later centuries, which are difficult to date with certainty (more than half of the 920 liturgical titles; see Table 2).

Readings contained in a lectionary are ordered according to an ecclesiastical calendar, which is connected to a rite specific to a denomination. These specifications make it possible to assign even the unprovenanced lectionary manuscripts which are part of the data set to a denomination. All analysed lectionary manuscripts can therefore safely be distributed among the main

FIGURE 3 Folio 10r of manuscript 78 from the Church of St George in Bartella (Northern Iraq), AD 1466 (Peshitta Institute designation: 1512). This folio contains the last part of entry 069-0018, that is, a pericope from Romans 11:25–36, part of the readings for the feast of the “Annunciation of Mary the God-Bearer”, and the title to the pericopes for the feast of the “Journey of Mary towards Elizabeth” including a part of the first reading for this feast from Genesis 25:19–23 (entry 069-0019). PHOTOGRAPHS OF THIS MANUSCRIPT ARE PRESENT ON WWW.VHMML.ORG/READINGROOM/VIEW/132198. THE FOLIO IS REPRODUCED HERE UNDER CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION-NONCOMMERCIAL 4.0 INTERNATIONAL LICENSE (CC BY-NC 4.0).

TABLE 1 Summary of the used Bible and lectionary manuscripts

	Number of manuscripts	Number of folios	Century
Lectionary manuscripts	39	5,412	9–16
Bible manuscripts	39	3,570	5–8

TABLE 2 Overview of entries in relation to the manuscripts

	Number of entries	Century
Lectionary manuscripts	8,136	9–16
Bible manuscripts	920	5–8
– liturgical titles inserted in the biblical text	457	5–8
– liturgical titles inserted in the margins of the manuscript	463	later(?) ^[a]

^[a] See below, section Data Set.

TABLE 3 The lectionary manuscripts assigned to Syriac denominations

Denomination	Number of manuscripts	Century
Syriac Orthodox	13	9–16
Melkite	14	9–13
East Syriac	11	9–16
Maronite	1	13

Syriac denominations. A summary of these manuscripts related to the denomination is found in Table 3.

The Bible manuscripts prove to be more difficult to assign to a specific denomination. Moreover, only six of them contain a date of writing, the other manuscripts are dated based on palaeographical grounds. All Bible manuscripts studied contain liturgical titles, which are either preceding the pericopes in the biblical text itself, inserted in the margin near the intended pericope or found in an inventory of titles appended to the biblical text.

Given the fact that all of the manuscripts were collected in preparation for a text edition of the Peshitta OT, available lectionaries only containing pericopes from the NT were not considered. Nevertheless, 1,733 pericopes out of the 8,136 entries from the lectionary manuscripts are found extracted from the NT. The NT-pericopes found in the data set were part of lectionaries which were studied in preparation of *VTs* because they consist of mainly OT-pericopes.

All entries containing readings from the Bible manuscripts are taken from the Peshitta (920), but with the lectionary manuscripts the situation is slightly different. Most of the 8,136 entries are also from the Peshitta (7,607). Of the remaining 529 entries, 61 contain references to earlier pericopes in a lectionary manuscript (and are not giving the actual text of the intended pericope), 79 pericopes are from a yet unidentified translation (to be precise: 77 from the OT, including two references; and two from the NT, including one reference), 99 pericopes are from the Harklean, 289 are from the Syro-Hexapla, and one pericope is from the translation of Jacob of Edessa. The Philoxenian translation seems not attested in the data set, as is the case with the second-century Gospel Harmony, the *Diatessaron*. The use of divergent translations is widespread: out of the 39 studied lectionary manuscripts, 30, covering all four denominations, contain pericopes taken from other translations than the Peshitta.

A divergent translation is sometimes indicated by the scribe in the introductory phrase (e.g., *ak mašlmānutā dšab'in* “according to the Seventy”, i.e., taken from the Syro-Hexapla), but is sometimes only established by comparing the text of the pericope with modern-day editions.

3. Methods

The steps taken to arrive at the final presentation of the materials will be explained in chronological order.

The Latin script and the Arabic numerals as contained in Baars' card collection were digitized in a text document (cf. Figure 1). The resulting data was cross-checked with the collection contained in the binders. Based on these binders the Syriac text was added (i.e., introductory phrases, liturgical titles, and colophons; cf. Figure 2.) For cross-checking the Syriac text, the card collection could not be used, since this only contains details in Western script. The resulting data was imported into a spreadsheet program (Microsoft Excel). Uncertain passages were checked against the microfilms or digital images of the manuscripts, and hyperlinks were inserted in order to connect the data to the digitized manuscripts available online.

Subsequently, the data in Syriac script taken from Jenner (1993, pp. 46–63, 402–421) was digitized. The computational facilities of the ETCBC were used to extract the relevant data from files kindly made available by Jenner (the initial data was processed using Multi-Lingual Scholar 3);⁴ and the codification of the Syriac font as used in the files was decoded. The resulting list was cross-checked with the print edition of the dissertation and the resulting text file was imported in Excel, and hyperlinks were inserted.

Both data sets were consolidated into one workbook. Finally, because of the filtering and sorting tools of Excel, the Syriac texts were translated in the spreadsheet file itself.

4. Data Set

- Linking Syriac Liturgies deposited at DANS – DOI:www.doi.org/10.17026/dans-26t-hhv7
- Temporal coverage (subject material): 5th–16th century AD
- Temporal coverage (digitized records): 1959–1979, 1993

4.1. Entries and Categories

The data of the pericopes is presented in 9,056 entries, and in total 76 categories are used to describe any entry.

An entry contains at least the following five main building blocks of a reading. We first explain these building blocks and the 32 categories used, and give two examples also (for the remaining categories, see Appendix A):

1. One or more pericopes. The chapter and verse numbering is based on a scholarly edition of the Syriac Bible translation, but when there is a discrepancy between the Syriac translation and the Greek or Hebrew text, the numbering of both is given. One category, parts of which are divided up by plus-signs, round brackets, square brackets (cf. Figure 4, column C), and an additional six categories for pinpointing start and end of the pericope(s).
2. The introductory phrase, i.e. words used to introduce the reading. Nine categories: three for the Syriac text, the translation in English, and additional remarks; and six to give the exact position of the phrase in the

4 Multi-Lingual Scholar 3 (MLS3) is a 1987 specialized word processing program capable of processing a great number of non-Roman languages (see, e.g., Murphy 1987). Such programs were indispensable when there were no standards yet for Unicode (the first release in 1991; Syriac was included in Unicode 3.0 in 1999).

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P
1																
2																
3																
4																
5																
6																
7																
8																
9																
10																
11																
12																
13																
14																
15																
16																
17																
18																
19																
20																
21																
22																
23																
24																
25																
26																
27																
28																
29																
30																
31																
32																
33																
34																
35																
36																
37																
38																
39																
40																
41																
42																
43																
44																
45																
46																
47																
48																
49																
50																
51																
52																
53																
54																
55																
56																
57																
58																
59																
60																
61																
62																
63																
64																
65																
66																
67																
68																
69																
70																
71																
72																
73																
74																
75																
76																
77																
78																
79																
80																
81																
82																
83																
84																
85																
86																
87																
88																
89																
90																
91																
92																
93																
94																
95																
96																
97																
98																
99																
100																

FIGURE 4 Screenshot taken from “Pericopes.xlsx”. This screenshot covers the first sixteen categories, describing entries 060-0686 – 060-0723. It represents the pericope, introductory title, translation, place of the title in the actual manuscript, the liturgical title, and its translation in English.

manuscript referring to the folio, column and lines of both the start and end of the phrase (cf. Figure 4, columns D–F, I, K–O).

3. The liturgical title, which contains the data to connect the reading to the liturgical year. Eleven categories: five for the Syriac text (cf. Figure 4, column P, “Taksa”), translation in English, and additional Syriac remarks as found in the manuscript, an translation in English, and additional remarks; six categories giving folio, column and lines, thus denoting start and end of the phrase.
4. The Syriac translation from which the pericope is taken. Four categories: the Bible translation used, the Syriac text used in the manuscript to denote the Bible translation, a translation of the Syriac text in English, and additional remarks.
5. The denomination in which the reading was used. One category.

4.2. *Two Examples*

The first example is taken from a lectionary manuscript.

Example 1

- entry 060-0688, pericope “Jb#23:01-12a.(sepwātēh)” (cf. Figure 4):
 - The pericope is taken from the book of Job (Jb), chapter 23, verse 1 to 11, and the first part of verse 12 until the Syriac word which is to be translated as “his lips”.
 - The introductory phrase is “From (the book of) Job the righteous”, and spans fol. 271a, column 2, lines 12–13.
 - The liturgical title is “Furthermore, the order of the readings for the ascent of our Lord to heaven”, the title spans fol. 270b, col. 1, lines 5–7, and the complete pericope spans: fol. 271a, col. 2, line 12 to fol. 271b, col. 1, line 17.
 - The denomination is “Maronite”. The pericope is found in manuscript 1317, which is a Maronite lectionary (nr. 60 of the list of manuscripts).
 - The pericope is taken from the Peshitta translation.

More categories are not used for describing this particular entry.

The second example is taken from a Bible manuscript.

Example 2

- entry 036-0007, pericope “Ex#06:02”
 - This pericope starts at Exodus 6:2, the end is not as such indicated in the manuscript.
 - It concerns a reading for “The fifth day (i.e., Thursday) of the first week of Fast”. The title is found on fol. 9a, column 3, lines 20–21.

- The title is scarcely readable and this is indicated by Jenner (1993) using a series of abbreviations: 1; GR; bz>r; vr; h2+gr, meaning: the title is preceded by the word *qeryānā* (“reading”) (1), the complete title is effectively erased, some traces are legible (GR); it is made out of two ink-colours: a brownish-black ink and a red ink (bz>r); where the ink is accumulated, its edge contains traces of a moist (vr); the title which spans two lines is written on the left part of the first line and a complete second line (h2+gr).
 - The pericope is taken from manuscript 8a1 (nr. 36 on the list of manuscripts, see Figure 5). Since the manuscript is found online, a [link](#) to the relevant folio is given.
 - The denomination for which the manuscript was intended is not clear; in later times it was certainly used in the East Syriac denomination.
- More categories are not used for describing this particular entry.

4.3. Further Considerations

A reconstruction of the intended order following the ecclesiastical calendar can only properly be attempted when the data set is connected to the calendar of the [ThALES](#) database developed by Stökl Ben Ezra. This database provides a representation of early Jewish and Christian liturgies based on scholarly descriptions and its website runs a complex reconstructed liturgical calendar. When the link is established, it will connect the digitized data to this calendar and will advance future research of the data within the broader Jewish and Christian liturgical context. For this important connection, two categories have been inserted to facilitate a future link to ThALES.

334 readings from lectionary manuscripts are evidently used for more than one occasion. In those cases, the second occurrence often is only a reference to the first occurrence within the manuscript itself. The Syriac words used for denoting a reference are (variants of) *hzi* (“see” or “look up”), *ktib* (“as is written”), *simā* (“as is placed”), *hā* (“now then” or “behold!”), or *b’ai* (“look for”), for instance, *ktib bdukrānā dastpnws pāsoqā hrāyā* “As is written in the Commemoration of Stephan, the last section”. These references are not always pointing to a well-defined set of readings. Such issues concerning references may be solved when the ThALES liturgical calendar is inserted.

Some pericopes are only indicated by giving just the first and the last words of a pericope (a total of 160 entries, 130 of which are found in ninth-century manuscripts, mostly NT pericopes).

Since the data set is construed on the basis of the manuscripts themselves, the various codicological features have been given an important place in the data set. The codicological data presented in Jenner (1993) is inserted in the data set, together with a register of the used abbreviations (cf. Example 2, above).

Excel-format														
Manuscripts														
1	No.	Siglum	Depository	Shelfmark	Fols	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
2														
3	23	22 07902	London, British Library Add. 12.136		105 vellum		9.1/8" x 6"		1.13-25					
4	24	23 07904	London, British Library Add. 12.172 (f.157)		38 vellum		8.1/8" x 5.7/8"		1.13-22					
5	25	24 07906	London, British Library Add. 14.426		105 vellum		8.1/8" x 5.1/2"		1.20-25					
6	26	25 07908	London, British Library Add. 14.443 (f.15-)		37 vellum		8.1/2" x 5.1/4"		1.21-24					
7	27	26 07907	London, British Library Add. 14.446		127 vellum		7.2/8" x 5.1/4"		1.21-25					
8	28	27 07908	London, British Library Add. 17.106 (f.1-7)		75 vellum		9.1/4" x 5.5/8"		1.18-21					
9	29	28 07910	London, British Library Add. 17.131		91 vellum		9.1/4" x 5.5/8" (1)		1.20-23					
10	30	29 07912	Mt. Sinai, Monastery of		38		25 x 14 cm		1.20-23					
11	31	30 07912	Mt. Sinai, Monastery of		35.109 (1-5 and 7 in armo vellum)		21 x 13 cm		1.20-23					
12	32	31 07913	London, British Library Add. 12.133 (f.1-1)		108 vellum		9.1/8" x 6.1/2"		1.20-22					
13	33	32 07901	London, British Library Add. 14.496 (f.650)		95 vellum		9" x 6.1/8"		2.7 (21)					
14	34	33 07903	London, British Library Add. 14.442 (f.1-2)		35 vellum		8.1/4" x 5.3/8"		1.19-21					
15	35	34 07904	London, British Library Add. 14.444 (f.1-2)		24 vellum		9" x 6"		1.22-25					
16	36	35 07912	Paris, National Library		242 vellum		32.5 x 24.2 cm		2	30				
17	37	36 08001	London, British Library		341		31 x 23 cm		3	60				
18	38	37 08001	London, British Library		341		31 x 23 cm		2	63				
19	39	38 08001	London, British Library		341		31 x 23 cm		2	63				
20	40	39 08004	London, British Library Add. 12.133 (f.1-4)		73 vellum		10.3/8" x 7"		1.21-23					
21	41	40 09001	London, British Library Add. 14.485		121 vellum		10.3/8" x 7"		2.14-34					
22	42	41 09002	London, British Library Add. 14.486		81 vellum		9.5/8" x 6.3/4"		2.18-32					
23	43	42 09003	London, British Library Add. 14.487		71 vellum		9.5/8" x 6.3/4"		2.18-33					
24	44	43 09004	London, British Library Add. 14.488		104 vellum		8.7/8" x 7"		1.16-20					
25	45	44 09005	Rome, Vatican Library		153 vellum		26 x 19 cm		2	49				
26	46	45 09006	Coligny, Bodmer Library		105 vellum		27.75 x 20.25		1.20-32					
27	47	46 10001	St. Petersburg, Russian MS no. 137		57 vellum		25 x 20 cm		1.20-32					
28	48	47 10001	St. Petersburg, Russian MS no. 137		57 vellum		25 x 20 cm		1.20-32					
29	49	48 11002	London, British Library Add. 12.133 (f.1-4)		138 vellum		28 x 21 cm		1.21-32					
30	50	49 11002	London, British Library Add. 14.705		84 paper		10.7" x 5.7/8"		1.25-29					
31	51	50 11003	London, British Library Add. 17.218 (f.61-)		19 vellum		8.1/2" x 6.3/4"		2.22-26					
32	52	50 (11004)	Mt. Sinai, Monastery of		139 vellum		22 x 18 cm		1	14				
33	53	51 11004	Mt. Sinai, Monastery of		139 vellum		25 x 13 cm		1.19-25					
34	54	52 11005	Mt. Sinai, Monastery of		89		135 (but this is a f. 13) vellum		1.19-25					

FIGURE 5 Screenshot taken from "Manuscripts.csv" exported to Excel-format. This screenshot shows the description of manuscripts no. 22–52 including Bible manuscripts described by Jenner (no. 7h2–8h4) and the earliest lectionary manuscripts described by Baars (9h1–115).

Some peculiarities of the manuscript materials deserve to be mentioned. Titles and some liturgical indications are written in red ink unlike the text itself, which is written in a blackish or brownish ink most of the time. This change of colour could not be included since in most cases it proved impossible to establish the colour on the basis of the monochromatic microfilms or photographs.

The data set combines liturgical data from the fifth century till the sixteenth century including revisions and reparations which are sometimes dated even later. The data stems not only from a large span of time but also from the four main denominations of Syriac Christianity (cf. Tables 1–3).

Whereas lectionaries, as ecclesiastical constructs, are directly linked to a given denomination, it proved impossible, *prima facie*, to connect Bible manuscripts to a specific denomination, since most of the time colophons or other information needed is lacking (cf. above, section Materials). The data set may serve as a means to study the liturgical use of these Bible manuscripts, but not as a simple means to establish the milieu for which the manuscript is written. What may be possible, however, is to examine the (inter-)denominational use of these Bible manuscripts by studying the 457 entries which are part of the biblical text separately from the 463 liturgical titles which are to all probability added later and placed in the margins of the manuscript.

The greater part of the liturgical terminology found in lectionary manuscripts is present in Baars' notes and is therefore included in the data set. Some liturgical terms, however, are not found in either Baars' notes or in the data set. The data is slightly affected by the fact that the description of the lectionary manuscripts in the 1960s and 1970s served the preparation of the critical edition of the OT. Because of this focus, liturgical chants (i.e. a *prokeimenon* contained in Melkite lectionary manuscripts, or an *'anāyutā* in East Syriac lectionary manuscripts), or references to psalms (i.e. a *šurāyā* or a *māzmorā* as found in Melkite or East Syriac lectionary manuscripts) are not inserted. This holds also true for other liturgical instructions (e.g. the first words or the title of a commonly known prayer or a creed). Inclusion of these instructions in the data set has been started to get a fuller picture of the liturgical settings in which the biblical passages functioned.

Since more and more libraries and institutions are providing high resolution images of manuscripts in their collections, a hyperlink to the digital image of the relevant folio of the manuscript is added to 3,225 entries.

The resulting data set contains:

1. a workbook including the entries;
2. a detailed list of the manuscripts used—including links to digitized manuscript catalogues and/or other relevant secondary sources;

3. a preliminary glossary of liturgical terms;
4. a list of the categories used to describe the categories; and
5. a register to the abbreviations used by Jenner (1993).

5. Concluding Remarks

The data set is beneficial for various research areas. Here we mention just a few areas that may benefit from it:

1. The reception history of the Bible. Information about the various Syriac Bible translations in the liturgy of Syriac-speaking Christian communities provides insight into the way the biblical text was used and experienced.
2. History of Syriac Bible translations. At least five translations are found in the data set and several pericopes could not be linked to a known translation. Do these pericopes reflect a yet unknown tradition or a known translation of which there is only scant manuscript evidence, or do they reflect a tailored translation from Greek or Latin?
3. The pericopes taken from the Peshitta will be linked to the electronic Peshitta text, now available in the ETCBC repository on [GitHub](#). The hope is that electronic versions of other Syriac Bible translations will follow in due time.
4. Textual criticism. Baars' description of the lectionary manuscripts was made in preparation of the *VTs* text edition. Although lectionaries are first and foremost ecclesiastical constructs, their text-critical value for reconstructing the textual transmission of the Peshitta cannot be denied.
5. History of liturgy. It could be worthwhile to study the different denominational liturgies in relation to other liturgical traditions. Given the insertion of the liturgical calendar of the ThALES database, it will increase the possibility to research the early Syriac lectionaries in relation to the Jewish and early Jerusalemite lectionaries.⁵
6. History of exegesis. How and why are certain pericopes linked to, or placed after, each other and does this reflect a certain tradition? Are these links, for instance, also reflected in homiletic traditions? One of the completely new possibilities to investigate the materials is to study the "liturgicalness" of words in the Peshitta: the frequency of words within the scriptural readings (see the [tutorial](#) by Roorda, 2019).

5 In this respect the Melkite material is interesting since it is a "Syriacized" Byzantine liturgical tradition, which in relation to the Greek material betrays in several places a certain local liturgical tradition. This relationship deserves more attention (see Galadza, 2018).

7. Codicology. The information about physical aspects of the manuscripts, about their origins and usage, will contribute to the re-edition of the *List* (Peshitta Institute, 1961), which will meet the current standards of codicological information.
8. Scribal culture. A further study of the colophons could result in more knowledge about the environments in which the manuscripts were written. The place names and personal names mentioned in the colophons were only in part given by Baars and could therefore only in part be incorporated into the data set. More study could establish links to the enlisted place names in [Pelagios](#) or [Syriaca](#), continuing the work that has been done by the ETCBC thanks to a Pelagios Research Development Grant (2018; see van Peursen, 2019).

In due course, a website based on the deposited materials will be established which could be a starting point to facilitate research into questions and opportunities such as those mentioned above (van Peursen & Veldman, 2018). The connection with the pilot project LinkSyr: Linking Syriac Data (see above, section Materials) may enable further research into the liturgical terms given in the glossary, the frequency of the names of saints in the lectionaries, or personal names in the colophons of manuscripts.

The data contained in the data set are not only an important historical source, covering many centuries, but also part of a living tradition up to the present. Therefore, it is valuable not only for liturgical and Syriac scholars but also for the Syriac communities who nowadays use these centuries-old liturgies.⁶

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to express their gratitude to Joas Haster for his correction of the English text.

References

- Brock, S. P. (2006a). *The Bible in the Syriac tradition* (Rev. ed.). Gorgias Press.
- Brock, S. P. (2006b). The use of the Syriac versions in the liturgy. In R. B. ter Haar Romeny (Ed.), *The Peshitta: Its use in literature and liturgy. Papers read at the Third Peshitta Symposium* (pp. 3–25). Brill Publishers.

6 On 20 February 2019, W. T. van Peursen, M. Coeckelbergs, and J. G. Veldman presented some results of this project (together with results of the CLARIAH research project “LinkSyr”) in the Syriac Orthodox Monastery of Mor Ephrem in Glane-Losser, the Netherlands.

- Butts, A. M. (2018), Syriac language. In S. P. Brock, A. M. Butts, G. A. Kiraz, L. van Rompay, D. Michelson, U. Possekel, & D. L. Schwartz (Eds.), *Gorgias encyclopedic dictionary of the Syriac heritage: Electronic edition*. Beth Mardutho. <https://gedsh.bethmardutho.org/Syriac-Language>.
- Galadza, G. (2018). *Liturgy and byzantinization in Jerusalem*. Oxford University Press.
- Jenner, K. D. (1993). *De perikopentitels van de geïllustreerde Syrische kanselbijbel van Parijs (MS Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale, Syriacque 341): Een vergelijkend onderzoek naar de oudste Syrische perikopenstelsels*. Leidse universitaire drukkerij.
- Murphy, D. K. (1987), Software review [Review of *Multi-lingual scholar*, version 3.0. by Gamma Productions Inc.], *Computers and Translations*, 2, 281–282. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01682186>.
- Murre-van den Berg, H. (2010), Syriac Christianity. In K. Perry (Ed.), *The Blackwell companion to eastern Christianity* (pp. 249–268). John Wiley & Sons.
- Peshitta Institute (1961). *List of Old Testament Peshitta manuscripts (preliminary issue): Edited on behalf of the Peshitta Institute Leiden University*. E. J. Brill.
- Peshitta Institute (1968). Fourth supplement to the List of Old Testament Peshitta manuscripts (Peshitta Institute communications VII). *Vetus Testamentum*, 18(1), 128–143. www.jstor.org/stable/1516607.
- ter Haar Romeny, R. B. (2005). The Syriac versions of the Old Testament. In M. Atallah (Ed.), *Nos sources. Arts et littérature syriaques (Sources syriaques, 1; pp. 75–105)*. Centre d'Études et de Recherches Orientales.
- ter Haar Romeny, R. B., & Morrison, C. E. (2018), Peshitta. In S. P. Brock, A. M. Butts, G. A. Kiraz, L. van Rompay, D. Michelson, U. Possekel, & D. L. Schwartz (Eds.), *Gorgias encyclopedic dictionary of the Syriac heritage: Electronic edition*. Beth Mardutho. <https://gedsh.bethmardutho.org/Peshitta>.
- Roorda, D. (2019). *Use lectionaries in the Peshitta (OT and NT)*. www.github.com/annotation/tutorials/blob/master/linksyr/useLectio.ipynb.
- van Peursen, W. T. (2019). Linking Syriac geographic data working group: Place name detection and comparison with Hebrew (Final report). www.medium.com/pelagios/linking-syriac-geographic-data-working-group-place-name-detection-and-comparison-with-hebrew-aad230f35c15.
- van Peursen, W. T., & Veldman, J. G. (2018). *Linking Syriac Liturgies*. DANS. <https://doi.org/10.17026/dans-26t-hhv7>.

Appendix: Details of the Data Set

In subsection Entries and categories above, we present the five building blocks that make up the description of each entry of our data set. These building blocks account for 32 of the 76 categories used to describe any entry.

The other 44 categories cover:

- numbering the manuscripts and entries (two categories);
- linking with the ThALES database (two); for ThALES, see above, subsection Further considerations;
- linking the pericope with the list of manuscripts, giving the Peshitta Institute designation, and hyperlinks to online images of the manuscript (five);
- cross-references to Peshitta manuscripts to be described in the revision of Peshitta Institute (1961); cf. section Concluding remarks (two categories);
- denoting whether an entry contains an abbreviated pericope (one);
- references to scholarly literature and hyperlinks (two);
- codicological remarks (one);
- additional remarks to the pericope (two);
- inner-manuscript cross-references, giving the Syriac text and English translation (two);
- categories regarding the Syriac text and English translation of extra text placed directly before or after the pericope, including the placement in the manuscript (eight);
- references found in several lectionaries to link the pericope to the Syriac section-division (one);
- extra remarks (one);
- Syriac words found in the margin of a pericope (one);
- codicological information given by Jenner (1993) and the abbreviations he used (six);
- headers or subtitles found in the lectionaries as well as their places in the manuscript (eight).

In case a reading contains more than one pericope from the same translation (809 readings), pericopes are separated by a plus-sign, since these are then clustered under one introductory phrase and are to be counted as one entry.

Most of the readings are placed in one entry (8,876). However 88 readings are presented in two or more entries (180, each with a letter attached to its number). These 88 are taken from more than one translation or are split up in the manuscript due to its physical state (e.g. in case of decay, or an incorrectly executed rebinding of the manuscript). This has been done to enable selection of entries from a given translation, or to present all entries in the order of the manuscript's present-day state.