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Abstract

This zuta provides an edition of a new copy of a known piyyut by Abraham ibn Ezra, ‘Goat beautiful of voice’ (יַעְלָה יְפַת קוֹל), with translation, full collation, and commentary. This copy, now in the collection of the University of Michigan (P.Mich. inv. 531), offers some valuable new readings as well as evidence for the readership of Ibn Ezra in a provincial setting in medieval Egypt, as its provenance can be traced to the city of Medinet el-Fayyūm; the text can be added to evidence for a Jewish presence there, of which an overview is also given.
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Introduction

A paper sheet with a copy of a liturgical poem (piyyut) by Abraham ibn Ezra (1093–1167) is kept in the papyrus collection of the University of Michigan.

1 The authors thank Brendan Haug for permission to publish this text, Monica Tsuneishi for facilitating their access to it, and three anonymous reviewers of Zutot for helpful criticisms of the publication. Zellmann-Rohrer bears primary responsibility for the establishment and
The poem, ‘Goat beautiful of voice’ (יַעְלָה יְפַת קוֹל), is previously known, and is included in the critical edition by Israel Levin on the basis of four copies. The poem is re-edited here on the basis of the new Michigan copy (P.Mich. inv. 531, henceforth M), which contributes readings of text-critical interest as well as testimony to the readership of Ibn Ezra in a provincial setting in medieval Egypt. After an introductory discussion of M, its critical significance, and its context of copying and use, we present an edition and annotated translation of the poem; the edition includes a full comparison with the other known copies based on a re-collation of the four manuscripts used by Levin.

**Provenance**

Internal records kept by the Michigan collection indicate the purchase by the American classicist Francis W. Kelsey and the British papyrologist Bernard P. Grenfell in March or April of 1920. Kelsey, in collaboration with an American missionary physician based in the Fayyûm region, David L. Askren, and with Grenfell, was engaged in buying papyri from several dealers around this time. An entry in Kelsey's diary allows greater precision: he acquired the present text on 27 February 1920 in Medinet el-Fayyûm from the widow of a once-prolific antiquities dealer, Mohammed Rafaa (or Rifaa). As no other Genizah documents are known to have been acquired from this dealer, who has no known provenance.

---


3 Available via the Michigan APIS database at http://quod.lib.umich.edu/a/apis/x-5254. The information is based on the typed inventory list prepared by Arthur S. Hunt, October 1920, for the 1920 purchases (‘purchased in Egypt ... in March and April, 1920’), where the present text is entered as ‘Short Poem, 14 Cent.’


5 Kelsey describes the purchase of ‘two Greek fragments and Greek, Coptic and Hebrew smaller pieces’ from the collection of ‘the widow of Mohammed Rafa’ in Medinet el-Fayyûm, for 3 Egyptian pounds. In the entry for 26 February he mentions visiting the ‘widow of Mahommed Riffaa [sic], who had 25 boxes of fragments, very filthy, being infested with vermin.’ The diary is now kept by the Bentley Historical Library, University of Michigan, with the rest of Kelsey’s papers (Francis Wiley Kelsey papers, Box 4). The other ‘Hebrew pieces’ are presumably the only other two texts in this language in the Michigan collection, inv. 532 (further piyyutim, catalogued as ‘Parts of Hymns, from a prayer book, 13/14 Cent.’; Zellmann-Rohrer is preparing an edition of this text) and 533 (Talmudic fragment).
links with the context of such documents in Cairo, and Medinet el-Fayyūm, a relative backwater with respect to the Europe-facing antiquities trade, is not otherwise attested as an entrepot for Genizah documents, we may proceed from the assumption that the dealer picked up the text there.

Figure 1  Ann Arbor, University of Michigan, Papyrus Collection, Harlan Graduate Library, P.Mich. inv. 531, front. Reproduced courtesy of the Papyrus Collection
Figure 2  Ann Arbor, University of Michigan, Papyrus Collection, Harlan Graduate Library, P.Mich. inv. 531, back. Reproduced courtesy of the Papyrus Collection
Physical Description and Palaeography

The text (Figs 1–2) is written in dark ink on both sides of a sheet of oriental paper (9.0 cm in width and 14.8 cm in height). The paper was flipped over the horizontal to continue the text on the back, and hence the sheet was meant as a stand-alone copy and not part of a codex. There are no visible wirelines or watermarks,6 and the lines are unruled. The semi-cursive, Mizrahic script is consistent with production in Egypt in the later 12th or 13th century.7 The hand is reasonably proficient with only slight ornamentation, most often of lamed. Raphe is used once (לְבֿנוֹת, line 22); three times the end of a first hemistich is marked with sof pasuq (4–6).

The scribe has added vocalization selectively, which presents a non-standard application of the Tiberian system, with frequent conflation of the quantity and quality of the /a/ and /e/ vowels.8 Compared with the standardized Tiberian vocalization of the poem as established by Levin, the M copy offers some interesting divergences, which probably reflect a local, Egyptian pronunciation colored by Arabic. Particularly common is the replacement of patah (ʊ) with segol (ɛ), characteristic of Mizrahic Hebrew, under the influence of Arabic. This development is also common in Yemenite Hebrew, which had even more limited contact with the West.9 In M the hatef vowels are also frequently simplified to shewa, a development likely related to the lack of such vowels in Arabic.

---


8 On medieval vocalizations adhering only partially to the system of Ben Asher, see S.C. Reif, ‘The Vocalization of a Piyut in MS. Günzburg 1041,’ JQR 62 (1971) 12–19; Reif, Jewish Prayer Texts, 6; on the Palestinian system in particular, J. Yahalom, Palestinian Vocalised Piyut Manuscripts in the Cambridge Genizah Collections (Cambridge 1997). A good example of the interchange of /a/ with /e/ vowels, found frequently in M and probably reflecting contemporary pronunciation, are the prayers in the 13th-century codex fragment in Cambridge, University Library T-S H8.79, with Reif, Jewish Prayer Texts, 189–200. See further A. Dodi, ‘The Vocalization of a 13th Century Siddur,’ Leshonenu 53 (1988–1989) 67–89 [Hebrew], for the interchange of qametz with patah and tzere with segol, and the mixing of Palestinian and Tiberian systems along with the writer’s own pronunciation.

9 This feature may be a relic of the lack of distinction between patah and segol characteristic of the medieval Babylonian system of vocalization for Biblical Hebrew, on which see I. Yeivin, Hebrew Language Tradition as Reflected in the Babylonian Vocalization (Jerusalem 1985)
The Piyyut

The piyyut is attributed to Abraham ibn Ezra, both in a title in the first line and in an acrostic seal formed by the first lines of each full stanza (אברם), the first letter of which also coincides with a first-person pronoun (אני, line 4). Via contextual information in another copy, Levin correctly identified the piyyut as an ahavah, among the poetic embellishments (yotzerot) associated with the liturgical benedictions attached to the shema‘ for mornings. The M copy gives no internal indications on liturgical background. The piyyut follows an originally Arabic strophic form, the so-called muwashshah, or ‘belt,’ with rhyme at both hemistich-ends, and twelve-syllable lines in a quantitative metrical pattern,

\[
\text{- - - / - - - - - -}.
\]

Along with its Arabicizing form, the present poem exhibits the fondness for alliteration and sound parallelism in roots and endings characteristic of Spanish piyyutim.

---

10 As also in e.g. Levin, Shire ha-qodesh, 1:178–179 no. 95; on this device as characteristic of Spanish piyyutim, see L.J. Weinberger, Jewish Hymnography: A Literary History (London 1998), 97.

11 Attached to the phrase ‘Who chooses his people Israel in love’ (הḥbor ṭemmem yshrael) from MS י, the primary basis for Levin’s edition (see the following section). The copy in MS י improbably introduces it as a me’orah, attached instead to ‘Creator of the luminaries’ (יוצר哈哈哈ם) in the same morning liturgy. For both genres, see I. Elbogen, Jewish Liturgy: A Comprehensive History, trans. R.P. Scheindlin (Philadelphia 1993) 168–169.


13 Weinberger, Jewish Hymnography, 99–100; on Spanish piyyutim in general, ibid., 87–135.
Following Levin, the content may be identified as a ‘conversation’ (שִׂיחָה) in the form of a dialogue between two lovers, an allegory for the people of Israel (the woman) and their God (the man) in the tradition of the Song of Songs and its later exegesis. Levin assigns the opening couplet to God, as well as the second full strophe and the final couplet of the last, and the rest to Israel. The version of M requires a different division in the final strophe, between the first two lines for Israel and the final three for God.

Text-Critical Significance

Levin’s edition took account of four copies:

2 = Berlin, Staatsbibliothek zu Berlin, Ms. or. fol. 1233, fol. 39a: a Yemenite Siddur manuscript, on which Eger based his edition (Diwan, pref., xi); purchased by the Königliche Bibliothek in Berlin from Moses Wilhelm Shapira in 1881; re-collated here from a digital facsimile provided by the Staatsbibliothek.

5 = Frankfurt am Main, Stadtbibliothek, Genizah fr. 34, fol. 2a: defective and now destroyed, see Levin, Shire ha-qodesh 1:18; re-collated here from a digital facsimile of black-and-white photographs provided by the Friedberg Genizah Project / Schocken Institute.

5 = London, British Library MS Or. 2587, fols. 45a–46a: a Siddur manuscript acquired by the British Museum from the same Moses Wilhelm Shapira in 1882, dated to the 15th century on the basis of palaeography and probably Eastern; re-collated here from a digital facsimile provided by the British Library. The manuscript includes additional text rejected from Levin’s edition after line 17 (see the commentary below).

14 On such themes of present exile and hope of future redemption, informed on both conceptual and phraseological levels by the Song of Songs, as characteristic of Spanish piyyutim, see Weinberger, Jewish Hymnography, 11 and 100–104, including allusive instances where, as here, the speakers are not directly identified; and for the relation of earlier piyyutim to the Song of Songs, L.S. Lieber, A Vocabulary of Desire: The Song of Songs in the Early Synagogue (Leiden 2014) esp. 3–90.

The more difficult reading offered by M, involving a rarer but more pointed verbal construction for attack and the onset of calamity, is correspondingly less likely to have arisen as a banalization and has biblical parallels.16

The reading of L, which removes a metrical fault, was wrongly dismissed by Levin and is now supported in part by M. The reference to ‘enemies’ already suggested by L (‘enemies have despised you’) is paralleled by a promised ‘day of annihilation of enemies,’ יומ קלח מְשַׂנְאִים, in Ibn Ezra’s ahavah אֵי גְבוּרָתֵךְ יְמִין אֵל.17 The feminine gender here perhaps derives from the location ‘daughter of Uz,’ בַּת עוּץ, above; Ibn Ezra’s ahavah אֵי גְבוּרָתֵךְ יְמִין אֵל features the same form מְשַׂנְאִים, agreeing with בְּרִיאוֹת in the preceding line (‘fat [women]’), figuring the mockers of Israel.18 The reading of B F T (‘amid burdens’) probably represents a banalization.

The term מְשַׁמְרָה, proper to the occupation of a priestly office,19 and the present form better suits the meter than מְשַׁעֲנָה (‘their staves’), which also lacks point in the context.

16 Compare e.g. Ps. 44:18, ‘all this has come upon us,’ וּכָל־זוֹאת בָּאַתְנ.
17 Edited by Levin, Shire ha-qodesh, 1:172–173 no. 92, line 15.
18 Levin, Shire ha-qodesh, 1:183–185 no. 98, line 5.
The reading of M supplies the necessary object of תַּעַמְדִי and also suits the meter better than בִּשְׁעָרַיִ (‘at your gates’) or בְּחֲדָרַיִ (‘in your bed-chambers’).

The construction with לְאֵין has a biblical parallel and is also used by Ibn Ezra in at least one other piyyut.\(^{20}\) The noun חָלְיִי ‘my sickness’ makes good sense following כִּי (in place of פִּי in B F, as also in L T) and is perhaps preferable, in view of the following mention of a healer (מַרְפֵּא, ) to פִּי הֵ(י)לִיל ‘my mouth has howled’; אוּלַי ‘perhaps’ in L lacks point.

The copyist of M has also made two corrections, one of which likewise bears text-critical significance. The first is trivial (line 16), probably a simple rewriting of text in which the ink had smeared. The second is of greater interest: a sign in the left margin to lines 7–8, resembling two curved arrows, appears to indicate transposition of the second hemistichs of these two lines. That is, the scribe came at some point after writing to prefer an order in which the second hemistich of line 8 is read after the first hemistich of line 7, and the second hemistich of line 7 after the first of line 8; the lines are therefore presented here in the order indicated by the correction. As there is variation among the other copies on precisely this point (see the edition below), the correction may be a sign that the writer has collated the copy with a second exemplar.

**Context of Copying and Use**

Abraham ibn Ezra, a native Spaniard considered one of the chief figures in medieval Hebrew poetry in Spain, spent a large part of his later life in unwilling

\(^{20}\) 2 Chron. 36:16 with DCH 1:217 s.v. 5a, and Ibn Ezra’s piyyut אוֹר פְּנֵי מֶלֶךְ יְקַו, ed. Levin, *Shire ha-godesh*, 1:257–258 no. 138, line 8, ‘the fruit-tree they have promised to destroy, until there is no more balm,’ עֵץ פְּרִי אָמְרוּ לְהַשְּחִית עַד لְאֵין עוֹד צֳרִי.
exile in various parts of Europe. Fittingly, his poetry and prose works, achieved a similarly international circulation. Many attestations of his liturgical poems are already known from the Cairo Genizah, likely including the present poem (copy F), which was also read as far away as Yemen, having been taken up in prayer books along with many others of the poet’s. M may represent a spread of that circulation farther into Egypt, beyond the urban centers of Cairo and Alexandria. For as mentioned above, there is little reason to suppose that the antiquities dealer who sold it in Medinet el-Fayyūm was responsible for its transportation to that city; even if it began life as an ‘ordinary’ Genizah manuscript, copied at or imported to Fustat, it will more likely have been a Jewish user who brought it south.

A Jewish presence at Medinet el-Fayyūm, consistent with use or even production of the M copy there, can be documented through historical sources. The city, and the Fayyūm region that it served as administrative capital, had an organized Jewish community attested from the Ptolemaic through the Roman periods, and in medieval times produced no less a luminary than Saadia Gaon, who was himself influential in the codification of liturgical prayer, among many other areas. At the time of the visit of Benjamin of Tudela (1130–1173), the region boasted a Jewish community considerable enough to attract the notice of the traveler, even though at only around 200 members it ranks among the smallest of those enumerated and is dwarfed by Fustat-Old


23 A synagogue was founded in the city, then Krokodilopolis/Arsoioi, in the 3rd century BCE. See C.Pap.Jud. I 134 and 111 1532 (for the dedication-inscription itself, SB V 8939); a papyrus document dated to 116/117 CE attests a Jewish quarter, with ‘leaders’ (ἐξεργαστης) and two synagogues (one called προσευχη, the other εὐχεῖον: SB XXVI 16652 [P.Lond.Wasser.], lines 56–60 = C.Pap.Jud. II 432). Although the violent suppression of the Jewish Revolt no doubt affected this community, Jews did not disappear from the city, as seen in a contract from the 6th or 7th century CE made out to an ‘Abraamios, Hebrew, son of Theodotos, from the city of the Arsinoites’ (Ἀβρααμίῳ Ἑβρείῳ υἱῷ Θεοδότου ἀπὸ [τῆ]ς Ἀρσι νοιῶν πόλεως, C.Pap.Jud. III 512 [P.Lond. 1, 223–224, no. 113]).
Cairo and Alexandria, with 7,000 and 3,000 respectively. From the following century, the account of Fahraddin ‘Utmān ibn Ibrahim an-Nabulusi as-Safadi (1192–1261), an official who based himself in Medinet el-Fayyūm for over two months in the 1240s while surveying the Fayyūm region on behalf of the sultan Salih Ayyub (1239–1249), contains a detailed description of the city and its communities, mosques, monasteries, and religious congregations, but no explicit mention of a Jewish presence. These ‘congregations’ (كنائس), however, of which the author counts 25, though often rendered ‘churches,’ could conceivably include one or more synagogues: although none is marked explicitly as Jewish, the ‘two Damascene congregations’ (دشتين كنيستان) might be so identified.

Provincial though it may have been at the time M was copied, the community was in contact with the international literary currents by which the works of Ibn Ezra were so widely diffused, a connection to be added to the links previously known between the region and the major urban centers further north, attested in the Genizah documents. Among the examples that can be found in the documents surveyed by Shelomo D. Goitein, a few may be noted: a community of Jewish glassmakers in the Fayyūm region is attested in a Genizah letter of the Nagid Mevorakh b. Sa‘adya, who was exiled there for a time in the 1080s; a married Jewish man from Alexandria eloped to the region with a Cairene slave-girl, the subject of a query submitted to Maimonides; a Jewish tax-farmer working in the region was imprisoned after falling short in his collections; a letter sent from a small Jewish community in the village of Qalaha on the Bahr Yusuf between the Fayyūm region and the Nile refers to devastation in the area in the ‘pillaging of the Fayyūm’ (nahb al-Fayyūm) probably during the civil


wars of 1069–1072; a copy of legal proceedings from the region in Hebrew, for the settlement of marital difficulties, is preserved from the late 10th or early 11th century; a letter sent from the region accompanied a present of dates and chicken for the celebration of Yom Kippur. The increased distance from the Mediterranean in any case need not correspond to a lower intellectual level: the copying process for this particular text was careful enough to involve, probably, collation with a second exemplar (see above).

Uniquely among the known copies of the poem, M was clearly written as a stand-alone copy, and not part of a codex. This disposition, coupled with the extensive addition of vocalization, suggests that it may have been intended for liturgical recitation, perhaps primarily so, in addition to private study. Signs of this liturgical use may also include the non-standard vocalization, which likely reflects the copyist’s speech, as would the Arabic loan-word כמל that marks the end of the copy (line 25). A parallel for the format can be found in another paper sheet with a liturgical poem by Ibn Ezra, ‘The time of my redemption is delayed’ (אֵיחֲרָה עֵת פְּדוּתִי), now in Cambridge. More broadly comparable is Cambridge, University Library T-S K 16.6i, three liturgical poems by Ibn Ezra copied on the back of a draft of an Arabic official document, a petition to an Ayyubid ruler. Stefan Reif has identified this sort of informal copying of prayers as serving professional cantors, among others, one of whom might have been behind the present text.


27 Cambridge, University Library Lewis-Gibson Misc. 57 (ex-Westminster College). This sheet is flipped over the vertical, not the horizontal, however. The manuscript represents a new copy of the poem with respect to the edition of Levin, Shire ha-qodesh, 1:206–208 no. 11. Recently the Lewis-Gibson collection was jointly acquired by the Cambridge University Library and Bodleian Library, Oxford, and will be divided between them; in the meantime digital facsimiles are available via the Friedberg Genizah Project.

28 For the document, see G. Khan, Arabic Legal and Administrative Documents in the Cambridge Geniza Collections (Cambridge 1993) 375–376 no. 92, dated to the mid-13th century (after 1238); the back was also used for additional redrafting of two sections of the petition. The poems are, in the edition of Levin, Shire ha-qodesh, 1:45–46 no. 22, 1:181–183 no. 97 (Levin’s MS Q).

29 Reif, Jewish Prayer Texts, esp. 5 on copies of prayers as ‘no more than “cribs” to remind the worshipper what to recite and when; commissions likely served ‘a worshipper for a specific synagogue use’ and ‘a cantor or a teacher, or ... use within a family’; See in particular Cambridge, University Library T-S H6.6 fol. 4, discussed at 129–138, identified as the first folio of ‘a small codex written by a cantor to provide guidance for himself’ in leading prayer for Sabbath mornings.
לָאֵבֹרְם בּוֹעָרָה וּצְלָל

יִעְלֶה יִשְׁתַּכֵּל חָלִיל יִפְּדוּ דַּבֵּרֵךְ
(יִעְלֶת הַעֵלֶת) לֹא בַּחֲכֵמָה סְפָרִד

אֶן שֹׁחֵדוּ הָשָׁמֶשׁ שֵׁפָטֶנָה
יִכְּתַם וּחֲכַדְרֵיהֶם שַׁבַּת וְאֵאֵכְהָנְה
בַּת שֵׁאָמְרַה מֶלֶךְ בֵּכָּה הָכְרַתְנָה
סָעַר קְעַדְרֵיהֶם יָכְרוּ דוֹרֵם
נֶפֶל אֲמִירֵיהֶם יָכְרוּ דוֹרֵם

כָּזֶה מְשַׁנְאוֹת כָּיֵנָא כָּאָיָה
נְעָקָה פַּלְאָנוֹת קָר אַתָּנְא בָּנְאָה
דֶּרֶךְ (בּוּנָא) אֶפָרְדָךְ לְךָ בֵּית
עֶל מְשַׁמְרוֹתָם יָכְרוּ דוֹרֵם
בִּשְׁאָפִיתָם מְרָתָא אֶעָרָךְ

לְפוּ רוּחֵּי כָּחָלִי לָאֵנֶא מְרָפֵא
בַּרְבּ דְּנֵנָא כְּמַסְתָּה קָטָא נְגֵפָה
לְרוּ הָעָלִיל רַע לְלָעָיָה וּוּרְכְּבָה פֶּה
לָבְא אֵדָוָד אֲלָבְיָא לִיָּעָרָךְ
עַרְיוֹ מִשְׁאָפִיתָה אֶסִּמְרָא

קְהֵמָי יְוַיָּרָו מִכְּמַיְיָא בְּנֵי אָמָר
צָרֵי יְשׁוּרָן מְשֶׁמֶרֲוַה בַּכֶּסֶם תָּכְרָא
הָעֵת יְשׁוּרָן קוֹמַתְךָ דְּמוּת תָּמָר
דוּרִיִּי [בּוּנָא] עֶשָּבֲהָא אֵנַי לְבָנְא [ד]יָרְדָךְ
[בּוּנָא] יָבְהָרָא לְחָסְק נְעָוְיָה

2 יִעְלָלִיוֹ [M : עִלֵּל B F L T | לָהַמ M || 3fections L : עִלֵּל עִלֵּל B F T as required by the meter | עַל B F L T | מֵה M || 3fections M L : עִלֵּל עִלֵּל B F T as required by the meter | מֵה B F L T | 4 and passim M and passim | שֶׁפֶתְנִי M || 5fections M : רֶאֶתִי B F L T || 6fections B F L T | M || 5fections | זַיָּרָה B F L T | מֵה M || 5fections | אֲמַרְיָה : אֲמַרְיָה B F L T | 7fections מֵה M || 8 and passim מֵה M || 7fections | אֲמַרְיָה : אֲמַרְיָה B F L T | 8fections מעיון בֵּשָׁר / בַּלַּמִּר יָכְרוּ דוֹרֵם
Translation

Of Avram ben Ezra – may the memory of the righteous be a blessing

‘Goat\(^{30}\) beautiful of voice, how beautiful are your words!
You have surpassed all in the wisdom of your books.’

— ‘I am dark, the sun’s gaze has lingered on me:\(^{31}\)
For law and Torah have I transgressed,\(^{32}\) and there has come upon me
The daughter of Uz, and spoken words wherewith she killed me:
“You your grain harvest has passed away, your grape harvest is finished.\(^{33}\)
Your crest has fallen, your branches wither.’

\(^{30}\)\(^{\text{יַעֲלָה}}\): specifically, a she-goat. The she-goat serves as term of address for the beloved in another ahavah of Ibn Ezra, ed. Levin, Shire ha-qodesh 1:219–221 no. 117, lines 1 and 18; from the Cairo Genizah, compare the anonymous piyyut edited by J. Schirmann, Shirim hadashim min ha-Geniza (Jerusalem 1965) 317–318 no. 197, where the address ‘ruddy goat,’ \(^{\text{יַעֲלָה אֲדֻומָּה}}\), opens the poem.

\(^{31}\)\(^{\text{אְנִי … שְזָפֶתְנִי}}\). The image is drawn from Songs 1:5 and reflected also in Ibn Ezra’s ahavah ed. Levin, Shire ha-qodesh 1:80–82 no. 45, lines 1–2.

\(^{32}\)\(^{\text{חוֹק וְתוֹרָה}}\). The collocation appears also in Ibn Ezra’s ahavah ed. Levin, Shire ha-qodesh, 1:197–198 no. 105, of the enemies of Israel as ‘destroyers of law and Torah,’ \(^{\text{מְאַבְּדֵי חֹק וְתוֹרָה}}\) (line 6).

\(^{33}\) Levin compares the lament of the exiles in Jer. 8:20, ‘harvest-time has passed, summer has finished, and as for us, we have not been saved,’ \(^{\text{וּעָבַר קָצִיר כָּלָה קָיִץ וַאֲנַחְנוּ לוֹא נוֹשָׁעְנ}}\).
— ‘Enemies have despised you, for you have been divided from me. I will work wonders with you only when my time comes. Walk the path of the prophecies of your watchmen, my daughter: In their posts appoint\(^34\) your chosen ones, And in their footsteps tend your flocks.’

— ‘My arms have gone slack, for my sickness is such that there is no cure. Many are my wounds, in only a little while\(^35\) I will be dead. A foe watches my stumblings, mocks, and opens wide his mouth,\(^36\) “Let your lord come, then for your pangs he will bring Balm, and redeem you, and release your prisoners.”\(^37\)

When\(^38\) will the sons of Omer depart from your house? The foes of Jeshurun,\(^39\) give them a foaming cup to drink!’\(^40\)

\(^34\) To fit the meter the hifil תַּעֲמִדִי must be sounded תַּעֲמִדִי, compare הַעַלֵּי in the opening line.

\(^35\) כִּמְעַט קָט. From Ezek. 16:47, used elsewhere by Ibn Ezra in the piyyut ed. Levin, Shire ha-qodesh, 1:233–235 no. 125, lines 10–11, ‘withdraw only a little,’ חֲבִי מְעַט קָט; see also Levin, Shire ha-qodesh, 1:268–270 no. 144, line 10, ‘only a little more,’ עוֹד קָט מְעַט.

\(^36\) יִרְאֶה … פֶה. As vocalized here צְלָעַי is rather ‘my ribs,’ but it is probably to be understood as an alternative vocalization metri gratia for צַלְעִי, in light of an allusion to Ps. 35:15, ‘at my stumbling they exult,’ וּבְצַלְעִי שָׂמְח, and 21, ‘and they open wide their mouth against me,’ וַיַּרְחִיבוּ עָלַי פִּיהֶם (so Levin ad loc.); or perhaps a post-biblical, poetic plural of צֶלַע.

\(^37\) וִישַלַח אְסִירַיִך. Probably an allusion to Zech. 9:11 as also in Ibn Ezra’s piyyut ed. Levin, Shire ha-qodesh, 1:229–231 no. 123, line 15, ‘your word will be confirmed, to release your captive.’

\(^38\) The additional text given by L between lines 18 and 19, found in no other copy and rightly excluded by Levin as inconsistent with the strophic form of the rest of the poem, may be translated as: ‘Wait for me still, I will clothe you again in glory, and you will ascend to your house and your mother’s chamber, and my banner will be over you. As a flock of goats is your hair, the hair of the head of your nazirites, your is head like Carmel, your king and your prince <??>.’ For the figure of the banner compare Songs 2:4, for that of the flock of goats, ibid., 4:1, 6:5, and for that of Carmel, ibid., 7:6; for the mother’s chamber also compare ibid., 8:2.

\(^39\) יַעְלֹז יְשֻׁרוּן בְּהִッチוֹלֲלוֹ לָאֵל. The appellation is biblical (Deut. 33:26, Isa. 44:2), as also in Ibn Ezra’s ge’edlah ed. Levin, Shire ha-qodesh, 1:348–350 no. 187, which opens, ‘Let Jeshurun exult in their longing for God,’ יִלְלוּ שִׁירֵי הָבֵית הַהָבֵית וְאֵל.

\(^40\) כֹּס חֶמֶר. The figure of the cup recalls Ps. 75:9 (a ‘cup in the hand of the LORD and wine that foams,’ כּוֹס בְּיַד־יְהוָה וְיַיִן חָמַר, whereof the wicked are destined to drink) and Isa. 51:17–22 (the ‘cup of wrath,’ כֹּס חָמָה).
— ‘When, Jeshurun, your stature is the like of a palm tree, Repent, and I myself will return\(^{41}\) to building your walls.\(^{42}\) For I have remembered for your sake the piety of your youth.’\(^{43}\)

(The end.)

\(^{41}\) 
Until the construction with ל is introduced, the verse recalls Mal. 3:7, ‘return to me, and I will return to you,’ שֶׁנָּבַע אֵלַי וּשְׁוָּבַה אָנִי; Ibn Ezra similarly phrases an exhortation of God in the person of the lover to Israel in the me’orah ed. Levin, Shire ha-qodesh, 1:105–107 no. 59, line 14, ‘return to me,’ שָׁבֵעַ אֵלַי.

\(^{42}\) 
Levin compares Hosea 2:8, of putting up hedges (אָחֳזַה) as obstacles; in Ezek. 13:5 and 22:30, however, the hedge-walls appear instead as fortifications, which concords better with the verb בָּנוּה. Apparently Levin takes ושבתי as a form of שָׁבַת, i.e. ‘I will cease to build hedges (against you)’; the construction of שָׁבַת with ל is unparalleled in Biblical Hebrew, 며 being used instead, and hence a form of שָׁבַת seems preferable, compare e.g Deut. 24:4 (לָשֹׁב לְקַחְתָּ ‘(to take her again,’ sc. to wife). Another of Ibn Ezra’s ahavot, adduced here above in the note to line 4 (ed. Levin, Shire ha-qodesh, 1:80–82 no. 45), has Israel in the figure of a woman asking her beloved, ‘fence up the breach in my wall’ (גְּדֹר פִּרְצִי, line 6), while the reverse is wished upon the enemy of Israel in another poem (ed. Levin, Shire ha-qodesh, 1:339–340 no. 181, line 5, גִּדְרוֹ פְּרֹץ; for the vocabulary of mending, see further the poems ed. Levin, Shire ha-qodesh, 1:225–227 no. 120, lines 8–9; 1:249–251 no. 134, line 22; and 1:254–256 no. 137, line 22).

\(^{43}\) 
The phrase is taken from Jer. 2:2, which Ibn Ezra likewise borrows for the final verse of another ahavah (ed. Levin, Shire ha-qodesh, 1:337–339 no. 180, line 17; for further adaptations see also Levin, Shire ha-qodesh, 1:384–185 no. 98, line 17; 1:203–204 no. 109; 1:210–213 no. 113, lines 29–30).