Introduction: Emotions and Change
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This introduction to the special issue on ‘Emotions and Change’ introduces the main theories of the role of emotion in processes of social and political change, as well as how emotion is theorised to change over time. It introduces the articles within this issue as part of this literature, highlighting how they contribute and extend the field, notably in their discussion of ambivalence and stasis as part of movement.
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Why and how things change has been at the heart of scholarly endeavour, even if only implicitly in the promise of new knowledges to alter current society and to improve the human condition. For many scholars, not least historians and sociologists, explaining social, economic, political, cultural and now emotional change has been a core question for the discipline.¹ The role of emotion in processes of change, and conversely, how emotions have themselves changed over time and place, were key foundational topics for the ARC Centre of Excellence for the History of Emotions, from which this journal emerged. Thus, it seems apt as a topic for our inaugural special issue. That many events have been, or appeared to be, emotionally charged has long been recognised, with emotion central to both popular and scholarly representations of revolutions, riots, political commitments and resistance to social norms.² At an individual level too, emotions have been implicated

---

in change, with personal development and growth often articulated as emotional turmoil and struggle, and where therapeutic attempts to ‘deal with’ emotions are located as key to the making of a new self.\textsuperscript{3} Emotions also change and have changed, as socially produced phenomena related to their wider environment and culture.\textsuperscript{4} Work that explores and theorises emotions in processes of change, as well as the process of emotional change, is still relatively new. Yet, as this special issue suggests, emotions scholarship has the potential to make increasingly significant interventions into debates about the relationship between structure and agency, reproduction and evolution, movement and stasis.

Although the role of emotion in social, cultural and political change has been acknowledged, it has often been subordinated to wider social processes. Emotions were often considered as evidence of movement – of the crowd, of public opinion, of changing ideas and values, of resistance – from one state to another.\textsuperscript{5} They were treated as the by-products of injustice, education, physical discomfort or embodied pleasure, affirming experience and motivating action. Tying emotion to its etymological root ‘to move’, emotion was the
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\textsuperscript{3} See note 2.
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physical embodiment of the ideas and experiences that produced social, political, cultural and other types of change. For some, emotion was then less interesting, a biological response to the larger events that scholars could identify, study, explore and ultimately modify. For others, emotions became a key mediator of change, implicated in decision-making and morality; they were ‘felt judgements’. Drawing from scholarship, particularly in philosophy, that sought to collapse the distinction between emotion and cognition, emotions were not just responses to the world but a mechanism through which humans interpreted their experience.

Felt judgements place emotion in an active position of mediator between self and society, where emotion is not only a response to events which motivates action, but is also capable of shaping the meaning that inheres in events. Sara Ahmed similarly locates emotions as the interface between the individual and the social; they are ‘neither “in” the individual or the social’ but produce the relationship between both. Thus, for Ahmed, emotions circulate, ‘stick’ to objects and people, and shape power relationships – an ‘affective economy’. An economy of emotion shapes social relationships through its role in producing injustice and responses to it, enabling resistance to norms and ultimately change. These are models for social change that locate emotion as central to interpretation and meaning, situating the origins of change in an emotional-discursive framework.

That emotions might provide a structure for human behaviour and action has also been posited by historians of emotion. Barbara Rosenwein argues for ‘emotional communities’ composed of individuals who share the same system for valuating emotion. I suggest emotions, particularly love, as emotional

frameworks that reproduce particular forms of social order. William Reddy offers emotional regimes that set normative standards for emotional behaviour which in turn shape the nature and site of political power. These are theories that embed emotions not in but as social structure, as systems designed to produce continuity of behaviours and social power relationships, and thus become open to resistance and transformation. Change for these scholars draws on models found elsewhere in the humanities and social sciences. Rosenwein implicitly ties such change to language and society; new communities and new expressions of emotion produce new norms. Following Foucault, I argue for a reiterative process of negotiation, where change evolves slowly and incrementally. Reddy locates change in ‘emotional refuges’ that form as communities of resistance to wider regimes, growing and ultimately coming to power. Emotions are central to these models of change, in as much as it is through commitments to new expressions or experiences of emotion that people come to resist contemporary structures, and it is in the reforming of emotion that change is enabled.

Emotion can also be a technology of change, a tool used to enable processes of transformation. This is not just a case of emotion motivating action, but of the uses of emotion by actors to shape the world. A growing body of scholarship on sympathy and empathy, as well as on marketing techniques and political protest, highlights the uses of emotional rhetoric, imagery and most recently digital forms to shape the opinions and feelings of actors, transforming not only individuals but their society, culture and nation. Embodied performances of emotion can be similarly active, shaping

personal interaction and the meaning of human engagements. Whether such performances are intentional and political, or personal and individual, they nonetheless can have real effects in shaping responses and environment.\textsuperscript{15} This can extend to the production of ‘affective atmospheres’, the emotionally laden meanings that produce space, and the latter’s ability to determine how particular places are interpreted, used and shape power.\textsuperscript{16} A technology of emotion that is operationalised through performance, or practice in the tradition of Bourdieu, is implicated in the production of identity, where the experience and expression of emotion become part of the making of self.\textsuperscript{17} As emotions become key to identity, personal emotions can have social and political effects. Moreover, as ideas about self and emotion vary over time and culture, the corresponding change in how people perform emotion alters the communities, regimes and frameworks that operate as a structure for human experience.

If emotions ‘do things’, how emotions themselves change is not yet well articulated by change theorists. Scholars of the evolution of emotions, as concepts and experiences, locate change in larger developments in ideas, practices and technologies. New experiences and events, new interpretations of the body, of personal relationships, of society, require new languages and explanations of emotion. These in turn come to produce emotional experience for individuals.\textsuperscript{18} Emotions, or at least pre-discursive affect, are not passive in
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this process of change, situated as experience that fails to be accounted for under present terminologies and demanding a reimagining of what emotion is and does. Yet this is not a story where emotion is the sole actor in processes of change; rather, as a ‘mediator’, emotion adapts with society and culture in a collaborative process of reinvention. The study of changing emotion then becomes a history of larger processes of social, economic, political and cultural transformation, with emotion acting as a cogent reminder of the reciprocal operation of different domains of production.

The authors in this special issue contribute and extend these debates. Erika Bondi and Alice Poma and Tommaso Gravante in their respective articles explore political radicalisation as a process of emotional transformation. Poma and Gravante’s study of the Mexican feminist organisation, *Mujer Nueva*, argues that engagement by subalterns in political resistance generated a moment of transformation that enabled the long-running empowerment of this group of women. They highlight empowerment as an ongoing emotional process, where personal emotional experiences – both negative and positive – are reinterpreted and refelt through a political lens that leads to the production of the political, empowered self. This is a story of social and political change that originates in a shift in how individuals experience emotion; importantly for the broader scholarship, it was this emotional transformation – not the success of the (failed) political action – that had long-term personal and social effects.

Drawing from literature rather than life, Erika Bondi highlights a similar exploration of female empowerment in Reina Roffé’s *Monte de Venus* (1976). Located against the backdrop of the Argentinian resistance movements of the 1960s and ’70s, the novel’s protagonists explore left-wing political resistance as a site of female radicalisation. Roffé’s female characters engage in a process of personal emotional transformation – moving from shame and anger to pride through political activism – but are unable to realise their desires due to the continuing patriarchal structures of Argentinian society. Thus, whilst capturing the same emotional dynamic as described for women

of the *Mujer Nueva*, the novel is ambivalent about its political efficacy. It is an ambivalence produced in the novel as an ‘emotional tone’ that itself gives meaning to the events described. This is ‘affective atmosphere’ structuring meaning for the reader, and an ambivalence that destabilises the political potential of personal emotional change.

A similar ambivalence is evident in Ben Gook’s exploration of the social, political and personal functions of ecstasy for German youth in the aftermath of the fall of the Berlin Wall. The fall of the Wall and the moment of disequilibrium that followed produced both widespread confusion and possibility. Through techno music and its ecstatic potentiality, German youth sought forms of unification that exceeded long-held divisions and social and political complexities. It was an attempt to produce the self collectively through an ecstasy that was simultaneously underpinned by the melancholy of ending and loss. Gook explores a sought-after emotional transformation in response to political change, an emotional experience that symbolised the possibilities of social and economic transformation, but which could never realise them (at least in musical form). This was emotion as a ‘figuring out’ of the social and political, an example of Ahmed’s emotion as interface in the production of meaning – but which remains in the space of potential, rather than the real. As for Bondi then, for Gook emotional transformation is a site of ambivalence, of possibilities, negotiations and closures – a moment of stasis as well as movement.

The importance of emotion in enabling people to effect change also emerges in Amy Milka’s discussion of gentility in the eighteenth-century courtroom. Thinking about emotions that ‘do’ things, Milka highlights how the performance of ‘genteel’ emotions by men in the courtroom enables the eighteenth-century public to ‘manage’ developments in class relations of the period. If a rising middle class disrupts traditional models of social order, where the elite have more character as a right of their class, then the display of genteel emotion – tied closely to the achievement of civility and virtue – provides an alternative register to decode character and identity. Emotion here provides a language to enable the communication of legal ‘truth’ in a moment of change. Yet, as her case studies demonstrate, this emotional logic is fragile, as forgers and imposters – genteel men – fail to uphold the virtue their emotions convey.

Some of that fragility might be suggested by Jane Lydon in her exploration of empathy/sympathy in early nineteenth-century Australian humanitarianism. Looking at images designed to produce compassion in the public towards
the Aboriginal community, Lydon carefully decodes the political dynamics of compassionate representation. The black man is rendered a subject of pity, the white of savagery. Yet this is a politics that still locates power in the white community, as those whose emotional transformation – whose ability to exercise compassion – matters, while conversely insisting on the humanity of a group to whom that status was often denied. Compassionate emotion thus becomes implicated in the formation of the polity and nation’s boundaries. In such imagery, emotion is both a tool of transformation and something desired from the observer; together such emotion should (in theory at least) lead to social and political action by those who have been moved.

If humanitarian representations of the black body were designed to bring the Aboriginal community back into the nation (if in a restricted form), the shaming of girls and women in Australian institutions, described by Mason and Fattore, dislocated them from society. Tracking institutional shaming practices across the nineteenth and twentieth centuries, the authors not only identify the uses of shame in the production of social relations but demonstrate how shame and shaming practices evolved over time. Nineteenth-century institutions operationalised shame through the disciplining of the female body, locating such women as external to virtue and nation; twentieth-century institutions controlled not only behaviour but the self, asking women to internalise shame as part of their identity. In internalising shame, women removed themselves – or at least the part of self experienced in the institution – from public discourse, a silencing that allowed institutional abuses to go unspoken. In giving such women voice, the Royal Commissions of recent years refract that shame back onto the nation, producing a ‘felt community’ but not one that has internalised the shame placed upon it. Mason and Fattore’s careful unpicking of the different manifestations of shame, across different power relations, time and place, highlights emotion as contingent, evolving and dynamic – emotion that is changed as it changes the world around it.

Across this special issue, if emotions are operationalised in the production of social, economic and political change, their effects are not always predictable or laudatory. If change begins (if we can call it a beginning) with emotional exploration by and transformation of the individual, it is the capacity of emotion to produce society and the collective that enables change on a broader scale. Where that evolution from the individual to the group is not possible – a process that across this volume is visibly impacted by the well-worn trifecta of class, gender and race – the transformational effects of emotion are harder to realise or manifest in unexpected forms. At the same time, emotion
appears as an important resource for not only managing and processing the change around us, but giving it definition. This recursive relation between the individual and the group that emotion mediates produces change as a performative dynamic – a constant becoming, a state of continuous movement.
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