PART C

Conclusion
In Part A and B, I outlined the frameworks in international human rights law (HRL) and international humanitarian law (IHL) that prohibit deliberate starvation of a state’s own population. IHL and HRL both protect the food security of the population. Yet, these two bodies of rules provide different protection because they are applied in different circumstances. In this third Part, I compare and evaluate the distinct scope of protection provided by HRL and IHL, and consider their specific features (I). I then examine the relationship between IHL and HRL and seek to harmonise their different regimes (II). Finally, I base suggestions for change on the arguments I have made throughout the book (III).