

The Crisis of the Imamate and the Institution of Occultation in Twelver Shi'ism*

The formative period of Imami Shi'ism from the mid-8th century to the mid-10th century remains obscure in many respects. This study is an attempt to organize the historical information about the period around a central problematic: the twin crisis of the nature of the Imamate and the succession to this office. The crisis of the Imamate and the efforts to resolve it serve as a focal point for constructing a conceptually coherent overview of these two formative centuries from a sociohistorical perspective. This perspective requires that the endeavors to create a stable system of authority in Imami Shi'ism be considered in the context of the social change and politics of the early 'Abbasid era: 'Alid-'Abbasid relations, massive conversion of the population of Iran to Islam, and the dialogue and competition between Shi'ism and other contemporary religious and intellectual trends and movements. Our approach suggests a new periodization of the early history of Imami Shi'ism.

1 Authority and Organization in the Imami Sect during the Period of Revolutionary Chiasm: 744–818

The impressive feat of unifying sundry pro-'Alid groups into the Imami sect by the fifth and sixth imams, Muhammad al-Baqir (d. 733) and Ja'far al-Sadiq (d. 765), was premised on keeping aloof from political activism. It is remarkable that Ja'far al-Sadiq avoided involvement in politics during the revolutionary era that began with the murder of Walid II in April 744. In that year, the Hashemite dignitaries met at the Abwa' near Mecca to elect a leader, and the Talibid 'Abd Allah ibn Mu'awiya inaugurated the Hashemite revolution on

* Originally published as "Crisis of the Imamate and the Institution of Occultation in Twelver Shi'ism: a Sociohistorical Perspective," *International Journal of Middle East Studies*, 28.4 (1996): 491–515. I am grateful to Professor Wilferd Madelung for his comments on an earlier draft of this article.

behalf of “the one agreed-upon (*al-Riḍā*) from the house of Muhammad.”¹ Ja‘far was the one dissident at the Hashemite meeting who refused to recognize his young cousin, Muhammad ibn ‘Abd Allah ibn al-Hasan, as the Mahdi of the House of the Prophet. Another Hashemite present at the meeting, the ‘Abbasid Ibrahim ibn Muhammad, was leading his father’s clandestine movement in Khurasan. Ibrahim’s son Muhammad studied with Ja‘far al-Sadiq and reported traditions from him.² According to several traditions, Ja‘far was invited by the Kufan revolutionary leader Abu Salama, presumably upon the death of the ‘Abbasid Ibrahim al-Imam, to assume the leadership of the revolutionary movement, but he refused to get involved.³

Once the ‘Abbasids emerged as the victors in the Hashemite revolution, Ja‘far showed no signs of opposition to the new regime and visited the second ‘Abbasid caliph, Abu Ja‘far ‘Abd Allah ibn Muhammad al-Mansur (754–45) in Iraq. Mansur, a seasoned revolutionary who is reported to have been among the participants in the Abwa’ meeting and who had served ‘Abd Allah ibn Mu‘awiya, was ruthless in his violent treatment of the ‘Alids in general. Yet his relations with Ja‘far al-Sadiq were good. He solicited Ja‘far’s legal advice and reportedly restored the tomb of ‘Ali in Najaf at his request.⁴ Furthermore, Mansur retained some of Ja‘far’s important followers in his service, thus creating a permanent niche for an Imami office-holding aristocracy within the ‘Abbasid state.

The origin of these families of Imami officials can be traced to the ‘Alid-‘Abbasid revolutionary coalition against the Umayyads. Yaqtin ibn Musa (d. 801), a Persian client of the Banu Asad, was a revolutionary with a remarkable ability to change sides. He must have preferred a Talibid “Rida from the House” over an ‘Abbasid one when operating underground in Kufa under Marwan II, and was close to Abu Salama. Yet he was called *yak dīn* by Abu

1 H. Halm, *Die Schia* (Darmstadt, 1988), 27–70; P. Crone, “On the Meaning of the ‘Abbasid Call to *al-Riḍā*,” in *The Islamic World. Essays in Honor of Bernard Lewis*, ed. C. E. Bosworth, C. Issawi, R. Savory, and A. L. Udovitch (Princeton, N.J.: Darwin Press, 1989).

2 The correspondence between Ibrahim and Ja‘far al-Sadiq was still extant in the eleventh century (Aḥmad ibn ‘Alī al-Najāshī, *Rijāl al-Najāshī* [Qumm, 1986–87], 355–56).

3 S. Husain M. Jafri, *Origins and Development of Shī‘a Islam* (London and New York: Longman, 1979), 273.

4 Muḥammad ibn ‘Umar al-Kashshī, *Rijāl*, abridged by Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan al-Ṭūsī as *Ikhtiyār Ma‘rifat al-Rijāl*, ed. H. Muṣṭafavī, (Mashhad, 1970), 245; Muḥammad ibn Muḥammad ibn al-Nu‘mān, al-Shaykh al-Mufid, *al-Irshād* (Qumm: Baṣīratī, n.d.), 12–23, English trans. I. K. A. Howard, *Kitāb al-Irshād. The Book of Guidance* (London: The Muhammadi Trust, 1981), 6; Ibn Shahrāshūb, *Manāqib Āl-i Abī-Tālib* (Najaf, 1956), 3:378–89, 389. For an overview, see Halm, *Die Schia*, 34–45.