SUMMING UP

*HALAKHIC MAN IN THE CONTEXT OF ITS TIMES*

This study offers an interpretation of *Halakhic Man*’s key ideas through a detailed analysis of the essay and against the background of R. Soloveitchik’s early writings. My central claim is that halakhic man is a pure, one-dimensional type, and the dialectic presented in *Halakhic Man* is only apparent. Another claim is that his one-dimensionality leads to lack of communication with the *homo religiosus* and the mystic, and even to a latent but sharp hostility against them. My summary opens with a crucial question touching on the mutual relationships between R. Soloveitchik’s early writings: are they mutually contradictory and opposed or rather complementary? A series of conclusions concerning the unity of R. Soloveitchik’s writings in light of the sequential interpretation of *Halakhic Man* presented so far will be followed by several additional implications of my interpretation.

**Conclusions**

R. Soloveitchik’s early writings, as noted, are intertwined and mutually complementary. *Homo religiosus* is the crucial loop linking the various essays, according to the following claims:¹

1. *The Halakhic Mind* posits the very need for a renewed and distinct definition of *homo religiosus* and for the description of his unique cognition, outlining the methodology (phenomenological, idealist, and scientific) to be used for this purpose.

2. “From Thence You Shall Seek” accomplishes the aim postulated in (1). It describes at length the dialectic features of *homo religiosus*’ consciousness and its various stages by applying the methodology outlined in (1). This essay describes halakhic-practical consciousness in the real world.

¹ See above, ch. 2.
3. *Halakhic Man* describes *homo religiosus* through the prism of halakhic man. *Homo religiosus* appears here as a fully fashioned type, according to propositions (1) and (2), and is subject to sharp criticism from the perspective of halakhic man.

4. R. Soloveitchik’s dissertation on Hermann Cohen’s epistemology provides suitable philosophical tools for the description of halakhic man, who is diametrically opposed to *homo religiosus*. R. Soloveitchik may have written it to create a lexicon for this description. *Halakhic Man* cannot be fully understood without the foundations that R. Soloveitchik prepared in this early work.

5. “From Thence You Shall Seek” offers a synthesis of halakhic man and *homo religiosus*. From the vantage point of pure halakhic men, such a synthesis is impossible. The conclusion is that R. Soloveitchik does not identify with halakhic men.

This harmonic perception of R. Soloveitchik’s early writings relies on the claim that, in these works, he ascribes two meanings to the term *Halakhah*:

1. A set of laws and norms that shape the Jewish way of life in the concrete world. This is the meaning of Halakhah that R. Soloveitchik uses in *The Halakhic Mind* and “From Thence You Shall Seek.” The *homo religiosus* of “From Thence You Shall Seek” “bends and twists in his dialectical discourse-consciousness, entangled in a thicket of opposites—without exit or release. According with the suffering is the reward; according to the rift in the heart is the worship of the heart.” Halakhah, meaning the halakhic way of life, reflects the conscious rift and gives it rich expression. Halakhah is also the objective aspect of religious life in the sense of being a concrete and extra-cognitive expression of the inner-subjective world of religion.

2. An analytical process where cognition, through analytical methods, creates pure halakhic structures out of their infinitesimal origin. This process characterizes the Brisk method of study and, as clarified in this study, is almost entirely unrelated to meaning (1). In this process, halakhic objects are constituted from their infinitesimal foundations up to the level of halakhic-creative lawfulness.
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