

### Cinzia Arruzza

*Plotinus Ennead II 5. On What is potentially and What Actually*, Parmenides Publishing, Las Vegas/Zurich/Athens, 2015.

*Ennead II.5. On what is potentially and what actually*, with an introduction, translation and commentary by Cinzia Arruzza, is the sixth volume out of the nine already published in the Plotinus Series (The *Enneads* of Plotinus with Philosophical Commentaries) of Parmenides Publishing. As in the previous volumes, the book opens with an *Introduction to the Series* (p. 1-10) by its editors, presenting the most important facts of the life of Plotinus, together with the fundamental themes and intuitions of his philosophy. It is followed by a list of *Abbreviations* (p. 11-2)—comprising the editions of the *Enneads*, the *Addenda* to the text and the instruments concerning the Greek language and the history of Ancient philosophy used in this volume—and the *Acknowledgments* (p. 13-14) of the author.

*Ennead II 5* is quite small, counting only five chapters, but it is a difficult and important treatise in that it discusses two technical notions with a long philosophical history. In her *Introduction* to the treatise (p. 15-43) Arruzza gives a useful overview of the basic moments of this history, namely the appearance of the notion of *dunamis* in Plato; the evolution of this notion, together with its counterpart *energeia* (or *entelecheia*), into an explanatory scheme of great philosophical importance in Aristotle; and the notion of *dunamis* and its correlate *logos* in the Stoics. Arruzza starts by examining the definition of *dunamis* in the *Republic* as the capacity to act or be acted upon, belonging to a specific class of beings; and the formulation in the *Sophist* of a new definition of the term: it is now the genus 'being', itself conceived of as *dunamis koinonias*, as a "power of communication", which enables beings to relate with one another. She then turns to the pair *dunamis-energeia*, which constitute two central notions in Aristotle's physics, metaphysics and biology. Arruzza gives an enlightening outline of the different meanings of *dunamis* in Book Δ, of the discussion of the difficulties associated with the use of the pair *dunamis-energeia*, in Book Θ of the *Metaphysics*,<sup>1</sup> and of the use of *dunamis* to talk about the faculties of the soul in the *De Anima*. She shows that a number of points

1 I don't agree with the interpretation Arruzza gives of Aristotle's criticism of the Megarian claim in *Metaphysics* Θ 3. According to Arruzza, the Megarian claim, which Aristotle thinks should be rejected, stipulates that "something is in potentiality before its actualization and regardless of whether the actualization can take place" (p. 26). It seems to me, though, that the Megarian claim, as stated by Aristotle, is, contrary to what Arruzza writes, that nothing is in potentiality if it is not at the same time in actuality, *i.e.* that potentiality and actuality are

which emerge from this outline are important for Plotinus: the distinction between active and passive potentiality; that *energeia*, being ontologically prior to *dunamis*, refers to the form and substantial existence of a thing, whereas *dunamis* denotes its matter; that *dunamis* also denotes a capacity to act and in this sense it can be used to refer to the faculties of the soul; that *energeia*, as the actualization of a *dunamis*, refers either to an actual thing or to an activity. Finally, Arruzza rightly stresses that in *Metaphysics* Λ eternal substances are pure acts, containing no potentiality whatsoever. Lastly, she examines the Stoic conception of their active principle as a self-moving *dunamis* which shapes and informs the universe, noting that although Plotinus rejects the corporealism of the Stoics, his thought is heavily indebted to their conception of the seminal *logoi* as formative powers. The *Introduction* continues with an outline of the Plotinian conception of potentiality and actuality in the *Enneads*. Arruzza examines the notion of active power (*dunamis*) which Plotinus attributes to the intelligible realities in order to explain their efficient causality. The *Introduction* closes with a brief outline of *Ennead* II 5.

The *Introduction* is followed by a *Note on the Text* (p. 45) stating that the Greek text adopted is that of the Oxford edition (*i.e.* HS2, taking into account the *Addenda ad Textum* in vol. 3, *i.e.* HS4); and the *Chronological Order of the Enneads* (p. 46), presenting the correspondence of the systematic order in Porphyry's edition with the chronological order, according to his *Vita Plotini*. The *Translation* is preceded by a helpful *Synopsis* (p. 47-50) comprising the basic questions and theses of each of the chapters. The translation itself (p. 51-60) is careful, precise and fluid. There are no notes accompanying the translation, but in the *Commentary* Arruzza offers careful discussions of the alternative readings she adopts, and of emendations that have been proposed by other translators.<sup>2</sup>

The *Commentary* (p. 51-173) takes up the biggest part of the book. I found the discussion of Plotinus' reading of Aristotle in the first chapter of the *Commentary* particularly interesting. In the first chapter of the treatise Plotinus interprets Aristotle with the view of establishing the identification of

---

identical. That is why Aristotle presents the Megarian claim as absurd, because it makes both movement and becoming impossible: *Met.* Θ, 1046 b 29-1047 a 20.

2 There are nine such discussions: In 1.4 she follows HS3; in 1.9-10, she follows Kirchoff and Narbonne; in 2.10-11 she takes into account the indication of HS2; in 2.21-22 she follows Igal in the construction of the phrase; in 3.5-6 she follows HS5 and the manuscript family y; in 3.12-13, the manuscript family y and Narbonne (1998); in 3.30, 32 and 33 she follows HS1 and HS2 instead of Kirchoff; in 3.40 she adopts the reading of HS2 against Kirchoff's emendation; and in 4.12-13 she follows Kirchoff and HS2.