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This engaging, multilayered study proposes, poetically and superbly, “to analyze food in the Caribbean not only as a basic need but also as a form of cultural expression, a form of political resistance, and a form of civilization” (p. x), setting it apart from an increasing number of scholarly books on the subject. More than simply describing foods of creole/Caribbean provenance, or their preparation, within Caribbean texts of the French Caribbean as indices of cultural expression, Valérie Loichot analyzes food as a politic in multiple ways. She addresses food in the Caribbean as a “creole” trope of resistance, unbound “by clear spatial, political, or linguistic borders” (p. x), subverts the trope of cannibalism imposed upon Caribbean people as a means to enforce and legitimize their violent subjugation, and examines food as an index of exilic identifications serving to subvert colonial patterns of consuming the Caribbean and its people through sexual exchanges and exploitation. Finally, she shows how Caribbean writers have utilized “literary cannibalism” as an aesthetic mode to ingest, rewrite, reproduce and transform literary colonial texts to carve out a space moving Caribbean literature away from ongoing neocolonial literary cannibalism. Through five incisively written and insightful analytical chapters focusing on literary works by both male and female writers from Guadeloupe, Martinique, and Haiti, Loichot navigates the complex theoretical layers set out in her introduction to achieve a riveting study, ultimately to suggest that what is normally thought of as the domestic terrain of food/food preparation can be as subversive politically and aesthetically as the military coups that have shaken the Caribbean throughout its tumultuous, varied history.

The study begins with a thorough introduction outlining Loichot’s theoretical paradigms of choice anchored in the notion of “Columbus’s mistake”—that is, Columbus’s corruption of Carib Amerindians’ self-naming, “caribá,” as “canibal,” a term carrying with it etymological precursors linking the Amerindians to European myths of savage beasts and man-eaters. Loichot, of course, argues that this linguistic slippage or mishearing, on the part of Columbus and the conquistadores, served an ideological and economic purpose, that of displacing and inverting the violent acts of consumption that the colonial process itself entailed. Thus, the book “is as much about European and Western pathology as it is about the response of the object of the colonizing gaze” (p. xiv). Via Frantz Fanon, and others, Loichot exploits the connection colonial patterns consequently drew between “the edible and the cannibal” (p. xix), to show how Caribbean authors transform and reject the reduction of human beings to com-
commodities and cheap sources of labor (in all senses of the word). She extends
the metaphors of both to métissage, exploring how ingestion and penetration,
through the violence of colonization, inform each other, and yet also how the
figure of the métis comes to function as a productive excess, “a subject instead
of as waste” (p. xxix).

The first chapter of the book focuses on food metaphors and Édouard Glis-
sant’s use of them to articulate his concept of “creolization.” Here Loichot
astutely considers why Glissant chooses a dish (masala) that does not have
obvious African roots to typify the Caribbean world. She argues that we have
to think beyond the notion of the “circum-Caribbean” to complex networks of
transculturation and adaptation. Having already demonstrated in her opening
pages that hunger in the colonial imagination serves as a convenient means for
legitimizing the starving of enslaved and subjected peoples to exploit their bod-
ies for labor (both productive and reproductive), the second chapter addresses
the themes of hunger and gluttony in works by Patrick Chamoiseau and Aimé
Césaire. In her third chapter, Loichot turns to the already traveled ground of
exile to demonstrate how culinary metaphors in domestic spheres serve as an
index to the construction of postcolonial identities and reveal what is lost as
individuals move out from Caribbean spaces in the works of Edwidge Danticat
and Gisèle Pineau. The last two chapters refocus and extend the study to pro-
vide thought-provoking reconsiderations of the ways Dany Lafférière, Gisèle
Pineau, Suzanne Césaire, and Maryse Condé subvert and invert colonial nar-
rative by “cannibalizing” tropes of sexual and aesthetic consumption to arrive
at a politic of mutuality. Given the little attention given to Suzanne Césaire’s
works in the field, the final chapter is illuminating.

While Loichot’s analysis is persuasive throughout, a minor thread in the
argument appears loose and unresolved: she argues that Caribbean authors
come to establish “a literature in a postcannibalistic moment outside antag-
onistic or revengeful relations” (p. x). This notion returns at different junctures
of the study, usually to argue that a text is not involved in “vengeful” thinking,
making one wonder if this sub-theme in the analysis needed to be given voice
at all. Ultimately, however, Loichot convincingly demonstrates that “culinary
coups” form an aesthetic and a politic. As such, The Tropics Bite Back will serve
as a compelling guide to students and scholars of Caribbean literature intent on
navigating cultural tropes such as food and consumption not merely as mani-
festations of social organization, but as indicators of an integral aesthetic and
a regional politic of liberation.